

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Determining Whether to Submit an ANDA or a 505(b)(2) Application Guidance for Industry

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)**

**May 2019
Generics**

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Determining Whether to Submit an ANDA or a 505(b)(2) Application Guidance for Industry

Additional copies are available from:

*Office of Communications, Division of Drug Information
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research*

Food and Drug Administration

10001 New Hampshire Ave., Hillandale Bldg., 4th Floor

Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002

Phone: 855-543-3784 or 301-796-3400; Fax: 301-431-6353

Email: druginfo@fda.hhs.gov

<http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm>

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)**

**May 2019
Generics**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II.	BACKGROUND	1
III.	ABBREVIATED APPROVAL PATHWAYS.....	3
	A. ANDAs.....	3
	B. 505(b)(2) Applications.....	4
IV.	SUBMISSION THROUGH THE APPROPRIATE ABBREVIATED APPROVAL PATHWAY.....	5
	A. Regulatory Considerations for ANDAs and 505(b)(2) Applications.....	5
	1. <i>Duplicates</i>	5
	2. <i>Petitioned ANDAs</i>	5
	3. <i>Bundling</i>	6
	B. Scientific Considerations for ANDAs and 505(b)(2) Applications.....	7
	1. <i>Type of Studies, Data, and Information Submitted in ANDAs</i>	7
	2. <i>Active Ingredient Sameness Evaluation</i>	7
	3. <i>Intentional Differences Between the Proposed Drug Product and the RLD</i>	8
	4. <i>Other Differences</i>	12
V.	REQUESTING ASSISTANCE FROM FDA	13

Determining Whether to Submit an ANDA or a 505(b)(2) Application Guidance for Industry¹

This guidance represents the current thinking of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA or Agency) on this topic. It does not establish any rights for any person and is not binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. To discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA office responsible for this guidance as listed on the title page.

I. INTRODUCTION

This guidance is intended to serve as a foundational guidance to assist applicants in determining which one of the abbreviated approval pathways under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act) is appropriate for the submission of a marketing application to FDA. Many potential drug product developers are not familiar with the different abbreviated approval pathways for drug products under the FD&C Act — the abbreviated approval pathways described in section 505(j) and 505(b)(2) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355(j) and 21 U.S.C. 355(b)(2), respectively) — or the types of data and information that are permitted to support approval under those pathways. In order to familiarize potential drug product developers with these abbreviated pathways, this guidance highlights criteria for submitting applications under the abbreviated approval pathways described in section 505(j) and 505(b)(2), identifies considerations to help potential applicants determine whether an application would be more appropriately submitted under section 505(j) or pursuant to section 505(b)(2) of the FD&C Act, and provides direction to potential applicants on requesting assistance from FDA in making this determination.

In general, FDA's guidance documents do not establish legally enforceable responsibilities. Instead, guidances describe the Agency's current thinking on a topic and should be viewed only as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are cited. The use of the word *should* in Agency guidances means that something is suggested or recommended, but not required.

II. BACKGROUND

The Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984 (Public Law 98-417) (Hatch-Waxman Amendments) added section 505(b)(2) and 505(j) to the FD&C Act, which

¹ This guidance has been prepared by the Office of Generic Drugs in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research at the Food and Drug Administration.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

describes abbreviated approval pathways under the FD&C Act for drug products regulated by the Agency. The Hatch-Waxman Amendments reflect Congress's efforts to balance the need to "make available more low cost generic drugs by establishing a generic drug approval procedure" with new incentives for drug development in the form of exclusivities and patent term extensions.² With the passage of the Hatch-Waxman Amendments, the FD&C Act describes different routes for obtaining approval of two broad categories of drug applications: new drug applications (NDAs) and abbreviated new drug applications (ANDAs).³

NDAs and ANDAs can be divided into the following four categories:⁴

- (1) A "stand-alone NDA" is an application submitted under section 505(b)(1) and approved under section 505(c) of the FD&C Act that contains full reports of investigations of safety and effectiveness that were conducted by or for the applicant or for which the applicant has a right of reference or use.
- (2) A 505(b)(2) application is an NDA submitted under section 505(b)(1) and approved under section 505(c) of the FD&C Act that contains full reports of investigations of safety and effectiveness, where at least some of the information required for approval comes from studies not conducted by or for the applicant and for which the applicant has not obtained a right of reference or use.⁵
- (3) An ANDA is an application submitted and approved under section 505(j) of the FD&C Act for a drug product that is a duplicate⁶ of a previously approved drug product. An ANDA relies on FDA's finding that the previously approved drug product, i.e., the reference listed drug (RLD),⁷ is safe and effective. An ANDA generally must contain information to show that the proposed generic product (1) is the same as the RLD with respect to the active ingredient(s), conditions of use, route of administration, dosage form, strength, and labeling (with certain

² See H.R. Rep. No. 98-857, pt. 1, at 14-15 (1984), reprinted in 1984 U.S.C.C.A.N. 2647-2648.

³ See section 505(b) and 505(j) of the FD&C Act. See generally 21 CFR part 314.

⁴ See letter from Janet Woodcock to Katherine M. Sanzo, Jeffrey B. Chasnow, Stephen E. Lawton, and William R. Rakoczy (October 14, 2003), Docket Nos. FDA-2001-P-0369 (original Docket No. 2001P-0323/CP1 & C5), FDA-2002-P-0390 (original Docket No. 2002P-0447/CP1), and FDA-2003-P-0274 (original Docket No. 2003P-0408/CP1). (Please note that the docket numbers were changed in January 2008 after FDA transitioned to a new docketing system (Regulations.gov).)

⁵ For more information on 505(b)(2) applications, see the draft guidance for industry *Applications Covered by Section 505(b)(2)* (December 1999). When final, this guidance will represent the FDA's current thinking on this topic. For the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA guidance web page at <https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents>.

⁶ The term *duplicate* generally refers to a "drug product that has the same active ingredient(s), dosage form, strength, route of administration, and conditions of use as a listed drug." See 54 FR 28872 at 28877 (July 10, 1989). However, the term *duplicate*, as used in this context, does not mean identical in all aspects to the listed drug.

⁷ The RLD "is the listed drug identified by FDA as the drug product upon which an applicant relies in seeking approval of its ANDA." 21 CFR 314.3(b). Because an ANDA applicant is relying upon FDA's finding that the RLD is safe and effective, FDA's practice is to designate as RLDs drug products that have been approved for safety and effectiveness.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.