#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

#### **BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

AQUESTIVE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

Petitioner

v.

HALE BIOPHARMA VENTURES, LLC

Patent Owner

Case: IPR2019-00449

U.S. Patent No. 9,763,876 B2

## DECLARATION OF NICHOLAS A. PEPPAS, Sc.D.

### IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW

OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,763,876

1

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Table of Contents	
I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT	5
II. BASIS FOR OPINIONS GIVEN	6
III.BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS	13
IV. THE '876 PATENT'S FILING HISTORY	22
V. EARLIEST APPEARANCE OF CERTAIN CLAIM ELEMENTS	35
A. Claim 1 (and All Claims Dependent Therefrom)	37
B. Claims 8-10	38
C. Claim 15	40
D. Claims 30-32	42
E. Claim 33	43
F. Claims 34-36	44
VI. THE PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	46
VII. OTHER RELEVANT CONSIDERATIONS	49
VIII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	50
A. "Vitamin E" in Claims 1 and 30-33	51
B. "Bioavailability" in Claims 34, 35, and 36	53
C. "% (W/W)" in Claim 1 and "% (W/V)" in Claims 8, 15, and 30-33	56
IX. GENERAL STATE OF THE PRIOR ART – A VERY BRIEF HISTORY	.57
X. THE '876 PATENT (EXHIBIT 1001)	62
XI. INTRANASAL ADMINISTRATION OF PHARMACEUTICALS	63
A. Brief History of Intranasal Administration	63
B. Benefits of Intranasal Administration	64
C. Problems Associated with Intranasal Delivery	67

D. Solution #1 For Solving Permeation Problem – Increasing the Drug Solubility to Allow More Drug to Dissolve Per Unit Solvent/Formulation
E. Solution #2 For Solving Permeation Problem – Using Penetration Enhancers to Increase the Permeability of the Nasal Mucosa With Respect to the Drug79
1. Alkyl Glycosides In General
2. Dodecyl Maltoside in Particular
XII. SUMMARY OF THE PRIOR ART REFERENCES
A. Cartt'865 (Exhibit 1010)
1. Problem to be Solved and Solution
2. Cartt'865 (Exhibit 1010) Was Well Before Claims 8, 9, 10, 15, and 30-3695
3. Cartt'865's (Exhibit 1010) Teachings as Applied to Claim 1 of '876 Patent .95
4. Cartt'865 (Exhibit 1010) as Applied to '876 Patent's Claims105
B. Meezan'962 (Exhibit 1011)144
1. Problem to be Solved and Solution145
2. Meezan'962's (Exhibit 1011) General Teachings146
C. Jamieson (Exhibit 1012)153
1. Problem to be Solved and Solution
2. Jamieson's (Exhibit 1012) General Teachings
D. Ueda (Exhibit 1019)161
XIII. MOTIVATION TO COMBINE
XIV. THE '876 PATENT CLAIM CHARTS167
XV. GROUND 1 – CLAIMS 8-10, 15, and 30-33 AS ANTICIPATED BY
CARTT'865 (EXHIBIT 1010)169
A. Claims 8 and 15
B. Claims 9 And 10
C. Claims 30-33
3

1. Claims 30-31173
2. Claims 32-33178
XVI. GROUND 2 – CLAIMS 8, 9, 10, 15, AND 30-33 AS OBVIOUS OVER CARTT'865 (EXHIBIT 1010) EITHER ALONE OR COMBINED WITH UEDA (EXHIBIT 1019)
A. Cartt'865 Alone182
B. A Posita Would Have Ample Motivation to Combine Cartt'865 With Ueda184
<ul> <li>XVII. GROUND 3 – CLAIMS 34-36: THERE IS CLEAR MOTIVATION TO COMBINE CARTT'865 (EXHIBIT 1010) WITH MEEZAN'962 (EXHIBIT 1011) AND JAMIESON (EXHIBIT 1012)</li></ul>
XVIII. CONCLUDING OPINION
XIX. APPENDIX A – CLAIMS CHART
A. Grounds 1 and 2
B. Ground 3

I, Dr. Nicholas A. Peppas, do hereby make the following declaration:

#### I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- I have agreed to provide expert testimony in support of Aquestive, Inc.'s Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 9,763,876 B2, entitled "Administration of Benzodiazepine Compositions" ("the '876 Patent").
- I have been asked to provide my opinion in regards to the state of technology relevant to the '876 Patent's disclosure and its claims based on knowledge existing prior to March 27, 2009, prior to June 14, 2011, and prior to December 13, 2011.
- 3. I have been asked to provide my opinion regarding whether one of ordinary skill in the art at the relevant time would have understood that certain prior art references, alone or in combination, disclose or teach each of the elements and limitations recited in the claims of the '876 Patent. I have also been asked to provide my opinion regarding whether a person of ordinary skill in the art (a "POSITA") would have been motivated or had a rationale or reason to modify or combine those certain prior art references to arrive at the elements recited in the claims of the '876 Patent. In my opinion, the

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.