

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PRICELINE.COM LLC AND BOOKING.COM B.V.
Petitioners

v.

DDR HOLDINGS, LLC
Patent Owner

U.S. Patent 9,639,876

PETITIONERS' MOTION FOR JOINDER
UNDER 35 U.S.C. 315(c) AND 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22 AND 42.122(b)

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

Amerigen Pharm Ltd, v. UCB Pharma GMBH,
IPR2016-01665, Paper 8 (PTAB Dec. 7, 2016)11

Celltrion, Inc., v. Genentech, Inc.,
IPR2018-01019, Paper 11 (PTAB Oct. 30, 2018).....2, 3, 8, 12

Dell Inc. v. Network-1 Security Solutions, Inc.,
IPR2013-003854

Dell Inc. v. Network-1 Solutions, Inc.,
IPR2013-00385, Paper 17 (PTAB July 29, 2013).....9

Enzymotec Ltd. v. Neptune Techs & Bioresources, Inc.,
IPR2014-005565

Hyundai Motor Co. v. Am Vehicular Scis. LLC,
IPR2014-01543, Paper 11 (PTAB Oct. 24, 2014).....8

Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn Inc.,
IPR2013-00109, Paper 15 (PTAB Feb. 25, 2013).....5

Motorola Mobility,
IPR2013-00256, Paper 10 (PTAB June 20, 2013)9

Oracle America, Inc. v. Realtime Data LLC,
IPR2016-01672, Paper 13 (PTAB Mar. 7, 2017).....7, 8

Shopify, Inc.,
Case IPR2018-010111, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12

Sony Corp. of Am. v. Network-1 Sec Solutions, Inc.,
IPR2013-00495, Paper 13 (PTAB Sept. 16, 2013).....8

Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., v. Allergan, Inc.,
IPR2017-00579, Paper 9 (PTAB March 31, 2017)5

Torrent Pharm Ltd, v. UCB Pharma GMBH,
IPR2016-01636, Paper 10 (PTAB Dec. 7, 2016)11

Rules and Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 102(a)3
35 U.S.C. § 103(a)3
35 U.S.C. § 315(b)5
35 U.S.C. § 315(c)1, 4

Other Authorities

37 C.F.R. § 42.221
37 C.F.R. § 42.122(a).....4
37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b)1, 4, 5
157 CONG. REC. S1376 (daily ed. Mar. 8, 2011) (statement of Sen.
Kyl)5

I. STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED

Priceline.com LLC and Booking.com B.V. (“Petitioners”) respectfully submit this Motion for Joinder together with a Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 9,639,876 (the “’876 Patent”). Petitioners respectfully request their Petition for *Inter Partes* Review be granted and joined pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 315(c) and 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22 and 42.122(b) with the petition for *inter partes* review (the “Shopify Petition”) filed by Shopify, Inc. concerning the ’876 Patent: *Shopify, Inc. v. DDR Holdings, LLC*, IPR2018-01011 (the “’011 IPR”), in which trial was instituted on Grounds 1, 2, 3, and 4 on November 15, 2018. Petitioners’ Motion for Joinder and accompanying Petition are being filed within one month of the decision instituting trial in the ’011 IPR, and are therefore timely. 37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b)

Petitioners’ Petition relies on the references cited and follows the arguments raised in the Shopify Petition, and is substantively identical to the Shopify Petition. The present Petition includes identical grounds as those presented in the Shopify Petition and therefore would create no additional burden for the Board, Shopify, or Patent Owner if joined. Joinder would therefore lead to an efficient resolution of the validity of the ’876 Patent.

Counsel for Petitioners and counsel for Shopify met and conferred as to the level of cooperation between Shopify and Petitioners that will be maintained if

Petitioners' motion for joinder is granted. Petitioners stipulate that if joinder is granted, Petitioners will cooperate with Shopify in the joined proceeding, whether at hearings, at depositions, in filings, or otherwise, as outlined below. Unless Shopify is terminated from the proceedings, Petitioners will proceed in a limited "understudy" role. Joinder will not impact the trial schedule because the proceeding based on the Shopify Petition is in its early stages.

The Board has granted joinder in other proceedings when presented with the fact pattern described herein. For example, the Board recently joined Celltrion, Inc. to an instituted IPR where Pfizer, Inc. was the Petitioner. *Celltrion, Inc., v. Genentech, Inc.*, IPR2018-01019, Paper 11, (PTAB Oct. 30, 2018). In that case, Pfizer had previously filed a petition for inter partes review of the patent at issue, and its petition had been instituted. Subsequently, Celltrion filed a petition with a Motion for Joinder to Pfizer's IPR2017-01923. Pfizer and Celltrion had agreed that Celltrion would take an understudy role to Pfizer and no deadlines in the original IPR were changed. Further, Celltrion agreed to not rely on expert testimony provided in support of Celltrion's IPR petition unless Pfizer was terminated from the proceeding before Pfizer's expert was deposed. The Board granted joinder, finding that doing so did not increase the burden on either the patent owner or the Board.

Here, Petitioners and Shopify have agreed to a similar arrangement, with

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.