



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
90/008,375	12/13/2006	6629135	23	3229

26362                      7590                      04/16/2010  
LOUIS J. HOFFMAN, P.C.  
11811 North Tatum Boulevard, Suite 2100  
Phoenix, AZ 85028

EXAMINER
----------

KOSOWSKI, ALEXANDER J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3992

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

04/16/2010

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

---

*Ex parte* DDR HOLDINGS, LLC,  
Appellant and Patent Owner

---

Appeal 2009-013988  
Reexamination Control 90/008,375  
Patent 6,629,135  
Technology Center 3900

---

Decided: April 16, 2010

---

Before SCOTT R. BOALICK, ST. JOHN COURTENAY III, and KEVIN F.  
TURNER, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

TURNER, *Administrative Patent Judge*

DECISION ON APPEAL

Appeal 2009-013988  
Reexamination Control 90/008,375  
United States Patent 6,629,135

DDR HOLDINGS, LLC<sup>1</sup> appeals under 35 U.S.C. §§ 134(b) and 306 from a final rejection of claims 8, 13, and 14. We have jurisdiction under 35 U.S.C. §§ 134(b) and 306.

We heard oral arguments on October 21, 2009, a written transcript of which is included in the record.

We REVERSE.

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE

This proceeding arose from a request for *ex parte* reexamination filed by the Patent Owner on December 13, 2006 of United States Patent 6,629,135 (issued September 30, 2003) to D. Delano Ross, Jr., et al. [hereinafter the '135 Patent] based on United States Patent Application 09/398,268 (filed September 17, 1999).

A related patent, United States Patent 6,993,572 (issued January 31, 2006), based on United States Patent Application 10/461,997 (filed June 11, 2003), is a continuation of the application for the '135 Patent, is also the subject of a request for *ex parte* reexamination (Reexamination Control 90/008,374), and is also presently being appealed (Appeal 2009-013987). That appeal is being concurrently decided with the instant appeal.

---

<sup>1</sup> DDR Holdings, LLC is the real party in interest and the current owner of the patent under reexamination.

Appeal 2009-013988  
Reexamination Control 90/008,375  
United States Patent 6,629,135

Patentee's invention relates to commerce syndication where computer-based information providers receive outsourced electronic commerce facilities in a context sensitive, transparent manner (Spec. col. 1, ll. 15-18). In the process, the host's look and feel is captured by selecting an example page of the host, retrieving the sample page from the host, identifying the look and feel elements from the sample page and saving the identified look and feel elements. "Look and feel elements" include logos, colors, page layout, navigation systems, frames, "mouse-over" effects, or other elements that are consistent through some or all of a host's website (*id.* at col. 12, ll. 41-50).

Claims 1-18 are listed in the issued patent, with only claims 8, 13, and 14 being subject to reexamination (Final Office Action 2). Claim 8, which we deem to be representative, reads as follows:

8. An e-commerce outsourcing process providing a host website in communication with a visitor computer with context sensitive, transparent e-commerce support pages, comprising the steps of:
  - (a) capturing a look and feel description associated with a host website;
  - (b) providing the host website with a link for inclusion within a page on the host website for serving to a visitor computer, wherein the provided link correlates the host website with a selected commerce object; and

Appeal 2009-013988  
Reexamination Control 90/008,375  
United States Patent 6,629,135

(c) upon receiving an activation of the provided link from the visitor computer, serving to the visitor computer an e-commerce supported page with a look and feel corresponding to the captured look and feel description of the host website associated with the provided link and with content based on the commerce object associated with the provided link.

The prior art reference relied upon by the Examiner in rejecting the claims is:

Arnold

6,016,504

Jan. 18, 2000

The Examiner rejected claims 8, 13, and 14 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Arnold (Ans. 3-7).

#### ISSUE

Appellant contends that the Examiner's rejection is in error because Arnold does not disclose "capturing a look and feel description associated with a host website," as recited in claim 8 (App. Br. 10). Appellant acknowledges that Arnold allows for customization to reflect the specific virtual outlet (VO), but that does not amount to "capturing" as disclosed and claimed in the instant patent, even under the broadest reasonable interpretation standard (App. Br. 11-12). The Examiner finds that the "capturing" step only requires "that the data be obtained for use," and that the claim limitations do not specifically require a party other than the host itself to do the capturing (Ans. 9).

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.