Filed on behalf of Google LLC By:

Jonathan Tuminaro, Reg. No. 61,327 Robert E. Sokohl, Reg. No. 36,013 Karen Wong-Chan, Reg. No. 69,235 Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox P.L.L.C. 1100 New York Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20005 Tel: (202) 371-2600

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Inter Partes Review of:

U.S. Patent No. 8,213,970

Issued: July 3, 2012

Application No.: 12/324,122

Fax: (202) 371-2540

For: Method of Utilizing Forced Alerts for Interactive Remote

Communications

FILED VIA E2E

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE LLC,
Petitioner

V.

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT, LLC,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2018-01079
Patent 8,213,970



PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,213,970

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| I. | INT | RODUCTION | . 1 |
|------|--------------------|--|-----|
| II. | STA | NDING | .2 |
| III. | TEC | CHNICAL BACKGROUND | .3 |
| | A. | Overview of the '970 patent (Google Exhibit 1001) | .3 |
| | B. | The concepts of the '970 Patent were well known in the prior art | .3 |
| | C. | Summary of the prosecution history | 6 |
| IV. | CLAIM CONSTRUCTION | | |
| | A. | Relevant law and person of ordinary skill in the art | 8 |
| | B. | "data transmission means"1 | 0 |
| | C. | "means for attaching" | 0 |
| | D. | "means for requiring" | 0 |
| | E. | "means for receiving and displaying a listing of which recipient PDA/cell phones have automatically acknowledged" | 1 |
| | F. | "means for periodically resending" | 1 |
| | G. | "means for receiving and displaying a listing of which recipient PDA/cell phones have transmitted" | 2 |
| V. | IDE | NTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE1 | 2 |
| | A. | Ground 1: Claims 1 and 3-9 are obvious over Kubala and Hammond—references that are prior art to the '970 patent's <i>actual</i> filing date (November 26, 2008). | 3 |
| | | 1. Overview: Kubala discloses PDAs that send and receive mandatory-response messages, and Hammond tracks acknowledgements of and responses to such messages | 8 |
| | | 2. Independent claim 1 | 23 |



| | 3. | Dependent claim 3 | 40 |
|----|------|---|----|
| | 4. | Dependent claim 4 | 40 |
| | 5. | Dependent claim 5 | 41 |
| | 6. | Independent claim 6 | 42 |
| | 7. | Dependent claim 7 | 47 |
| | 8. | Dependent claim 8 | 50 |
| | 9. | Dependent claim 9 | 50 |
| В. | view | und 2: Claims 1 and 3-9 are obvious over Hammond in v of Johnson and Pepe—references that are prior art to the patent's <i>earliest effective</i> filing date (September 21, 2004) | 51 |
| | 1. | Overview: Hammond tracks acknowledgements of and responses to mandatory-response messages; Johnson prevents a user from closing a mandatory-response message that has not been responded to; and Pepe discloses PDAs that provide an on-screen menu of possible responses to an incoming message. | 52 |
| | 2. | Independent claim 1 | 54 |
| | 3. | Dependent claim 3 | 66 |
| | 4. | Dependent claim 4 | 66 |
| | 5. | Dependent claim 5 | 67 |
| | 6. | Independent claim 6 | 68 |
| | 7. | Dependent claim 7 | 75 |
| | 8. | Dependent claim 8 | 76 |
| | 9. | Dependent claim 9 | 77 |
| C. | | und 3: Claims 1 and 3-9 are obvious over Hammond in of Johnson, Pepe, and Banerjee | 77 |



| VI. | THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS PETITION CONTAINS NEW ARGUMENTS AND PRIOR ART NOT PREVIOUSLY | |
|-------|--|----|
| | PRESENTED TO THE OFFICE DO NOT JUSTIFY DENIAL UNDER § 314(a) OR § 325(d). | 78 |
| VII. | SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS | 79 |
| VIII. | MANDATORY NOTICES | 79 |
| IX. | CONCLUSION | 81 |



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

