

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re *Inter Partes* Review of:)
U.S. Patent No. 8,213,970)
Issued: July 3, 2012)
Application No.: 12/324,122)

For: **Method of Utilizing Forced Alerts for Interactive Remote Communica-
tions**

FILED VIA E2E

**DECLARATION OF DAVID HILLIARD WILLIAMS IN SUPPORT OF
PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,213,970**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Overview.....	1
II.	List of Documents Considered in Formulating My Opinion	2
III.	Qualifications.....	5
IV.	Legal Principles	7
	A. My Understanding of Claim Construction.....	7
	B. My Understanding of Obviousness.....	8
V.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	11
VI.	Overview of the'970 Patent.....	12
VII.	Understanding of Certain Claim Terms	12
	A. “data transmission means”	13
	B. “means for attaching . . .”.....	13
	C. “means for requiring . . .”.....	13
	D. “means for receiving and displaying a listing of which recipient PDA/cell phones have automatically acknowledged . . .”	14
	E. “means for periodically resending . . .”.....	14
	F. “means for receiving and displaying a listing of which recipient PDA/cell phones have transmitted . . .”	15
VIII.	Overview of the State of the Art at the Time of Filing	15
	A. Sending and Receiving Mandatory Responses in Electronic Messaging were Known.....	16
	B. Industry Trend: Applications on Cell Phones and/or Personal Communications Devices.....	18

C.	Tracking Delivery and Responses of Electronic Messages was Known	23
D.	Personal Digital Assistant (PDA) with Touchscreen and Stylus were Known	25
E.	Sending Alerts to a Recipient of an Email Message with a Mandatory Response was Known	25
IX.	Analysis of Disclosure in Earlier-Filed Applications.....	29
X.	Ground of Unpatentability	33
A.	Ground 1: Claims 1 and 3-9 are obvious over Kubala in view of Hammond	33
1.	Overview of Kubala	33
2.	Overview of Hammond.....	37
3.	Overview of the Combination of Kubala and Hammond	39
4.	Motivation to Combine Kubala and Hammond.....	40
5.	Claims 1-13 are obvious over Kubala in view of Hammond.....	44
B.	Ground 2: Claims 1 and 3-9 are obvious over Hammond in view of Johnson further in view of Pepe.....	82
1.	Overview of Hammond.....	83
2.	Overview of Johnson	86
3.	Overview of Pepe.....	86
4.	Overview of the Combination of Hammond, Johnson, and Pepe	87
5.	Motivation to Combine Hammond, Johnson, and Pepe	87
6.	Claims 1 and 3-9 are obvious over Hammond in view of Johnson further in view of Pepe	89

C. Ground 3: Claims 1 and 3-9 are obvious over Hammond in
view of Johnson, Pepe, and Banerjee 115

XI. Conclusion 116

EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit No.	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 8,213,970 B2 to Beyer (“970 patent”)
1002	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 8,213,970 (Application No. 12/324,122) (“970 Pros. Hist.”)
1003	Declaration of David H. Williams
1004	<i>Curriculum Vitae</i> of David H. Williams
1005	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2006/0218232 to Kubala <i>et al.</i> (“Kubala”)
1006	U.S. Patent No. 6,854,007 to Hammond (“Hammond”).
1007	U.S. Patent No. 5,325,310 to Johnson <i>et al.</i> (“Johnson”)
1008	U.S. Patent No. 5,742,905 to Pepe <i>et al.</i> (“Pepe”)
1009	U.S. Publication No. 2003/0128195 to Banerjee <i>et al.</i> (“Banerjee”)
1010	<i>Simon Says “Here’s How!” Simon™ Mobile Communications Made Simple</i> , Simon Users Manual, IBM Corp., 1994. (“Simon”)
1011	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent Application No. 10/711,490 (“490 application”)
1012	Prosecution History of U.S. Application No. 11/308,648 (“648 application”)
1013	Prosecution History of U.S. Application No. 11/612,830 (“830 application”)
1014	McKinsey & Company, <i>The McKinsey Report : FDNY 9/11 Response (2002)</i> (“The McKinsey Report”)
1015	<i>History of Mobile Phones</i> , Wikipedia.com, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_mobile_phones (last visited May 10, 2018) (“Hist. Mobile Phones”)
1016	<i>Apple Newton</i> , Wikipedia.com, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Apple_Newton (last visited May 10, 2018) (“Apple”)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.