## Lack of effect of single and repeated doses of levocetirizine, a new antihistamine drug, on cognitive and psychomotor functions in healthy volunteers

#### J. M. Gandon<sup>1</sup> & H. Allain<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Biotrial clinical pharmacology unit, rue Jean-Louis Bertrand, Technopole Atalante Villejean, 35000 Rennes and <sup>2</sup>Faculté de Médecine, 2 avenue du Professeur Léon Bernard 35043 Rennes Cedex, France

*Aims* Levocetirizine (R-cetirizine), is the active enantiomer of cetirizine, an antihistamine indicated in the treatment of allergic rhinitis and chronic idiopathic urticaria. The purpose of this trial was to analyse the effects of levocetirizine single and multiple doses on CNS using integrated measures of cognitive and psychometric performance.

*Methods* A battery of psychometric tests was used: critical flicker fusion (CFF), choice reaction time (CRT), body sway (BS), learning memory test (LMT) and subjective assessments of alertness compared with placebo. Nineteen (19) healthy male volunteers received either levocetirizine 5 mg (therapeutic dose), diphenhydramine 50 mg or placebo once daily for 5 consecutive days (3-way cross-over). Diphenhydramine was used as a positive control. CFF tests were performed on days 1 and 5 at baseline and up to 24 h following drug intake. Subjects used the Bond-Lader visual analogue scales (VAS) to assess their mood and vigilance.

**Results** In contrast to diphenhydramine, when compared with placebo, levocetirizine did not modify the CFF (primary endpoint), regardless of the dosing scheme (-1.62 Hz [-2.61, -0.64] and -0.81 Hz [-1.80, 0.19], respectively, 3 h after dosing on day 1). CRT was decreased with both levocetirizine and placebo up to 5 h after dosing on day 1 and up to 3 h after dosing on day 5. Body sway data were similar with levocetirizine and placebo but increased with diphenhydramine. LMT was similar in all three groups. No relevant difference between placebo and levocetirizine was recorded by the subjects on their assessment of alertness using the VAS, whilst decreased alertness was reported following diphenhydramine 50 mg.

**Conclusions** This study showed that levocetirizine does not produce any deleterious effect on cognitive and psychometric functions compared with placebo in healthy male volunteers.

Keywords: antihistamine, critical flicker fusion, levocetirizine, psychomotor performance, reaction time

#### Introduction

Recently developed antihistamine drugs have an increased benefit-risk ratio compared with first generation antihistamines. As a matter of fact, the efficacy of new antihistamines is not correlated with sedation, which has been demonstrated to be minimal [1]. However, it remains

Received 5 September 2001, accepted 14 February 2002.

© 2002 Blackwell Science Ltd Br J Clin Pharmacol, 54, 51–58

that the effect of a given drug on the CNS has to be explored specifically, especially when this drug could be administered to people involved in potentially dangerous activities. Methodological aspects are central to this exploration, and some study designs were sometimes controversial in appreciating CNS effects of a given drug [2, 3]. Therefore, a battery of psychometric tests is essential to characterize the level of CNS impact of a new drug.

Cetirizine is a chiral molecule with potent antiallergic effects and clinically insignificant sedative effects with minimal impact on routine daily activities [2–5].

Levocetirizine (R-cetirizine) is the active enantiomer of cetirizine. This molecule has been shown to have both

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Correspondence: Dr Jean-Marc Gandon, Biotrial clinical pharmacology unit, rue Jean-Louis Bertrand, Technopole Atalante Villejean, F-35000 Rennes, France. E-mail: jean-marc.gardon@Biotrial.com

important affinity and selectivity for  $H_1$ -receptors [6]. Its affinity is 2 times and 25 times higher compared with cetirizine and dextrocetirizine (S-cetirizine), respectively. Levocetirizine 5 mg has at least equivalent inhibitory effects on cutaneous wheal and flare responses as cetirizine 10 mg. Conversely, dextrocetirizine does not differ from placebo [7]. Like cetirizine, levocetirizine is indicated for the treatment of allergic rhinitis (seasonal and perennial) and chronic idiopathic urticaria.

The purpose of this trial was to study the effect of single and repeated doses of levocetirizine on integrated measures of cognitive and psychometric performances in healthy volunteers. Both a negative (placebo) and a positive control (diphenhydramine) were used, in order to assess both the sensitivity of the tests used and the effects of levocetirizine.

#### Methods

#### Drugs profile

Levocetirizine is rapidly absorbed and has a fast onset of action, peak plasma concentrations are reached about 1 h after dosing and plasma half-life in adults is between 8 and 10 h [6]. More than 85% of levocetirizine is excreted unchanged in the urine; the remaining fraction being excreted in the faeces.

When compared with cetirizine 10 mg, levocetirizine 5 mg demonstrates similar absorption properties, a smaller volume of distribution (0.4 l compared with 0.6 l), a lower total body clearance, and a higher fraction of unchanged compound in the urine. Clinical studies have shown that levocetirizine 5 mg has similar effects to cetirizine 10 mg on inhibition of histamine induced cutaneous wheal and flare and histamine induced increased nasal resistance and pressure [7, 8].

Diphenhydramine is a first generation antihistamine with central sedative properties and anticholinergic effects. It is extensively metabolized;  $t_{\text{max}}$  and elimination half-life are 1.7 h and 9.2 h, respectively [9].

The most frequently reported side-effects of diphenhydramine are related to CNS depressing properties of the drug (sedation, drowsiness, lassitude and motor incoordination), making it a good candidate for a positive verum. The dose used, 50 mg, is considered to be the lowest producing a change in performance test scores [10].

#### Subjects and study design

As required by the protocol, no volunteers were found to consume alcohol abusively, nor did they smoke more than 10 cigarettes a day. They did not consume more than six cups of xanthine-containing beverages a day and none had any history of illicit drugs of abuse. No medication was allowed 2 weeks prior to recruitment, nor during the study, except for the study drugs.

Three treatment periods of 5 days separated by a wash-out period of at least 7 days were planned (Figure 1). The last visit was a follow-up visit that occurred within the week following the last study drug administration. Subjects were hospitalized in the Study Unit during the 5 day treatment periods. No blood samples were taken except for safety assessments during screening and at the end of the study. Meals were standardized and subjects had to refrain from intense physical activity, smoking, alcohol and grapefruit juice. Study drugs were assigned according to a Latin-square based randomization list. During each of the three treatment periods study drugs were administered every morning to the subjects, after breakfast, from day 1 to day 5. Capsules were identically matched in size, colour and shape, to respect the double-blind nature of the study.

Every subject gave his written consent and complied with the French law Huriet related to biomedical research. The study protocol, the Subject Information Sheet and the Informed Consent Form were approved by the Independent Ethics Committee (Comité Consultatif de Protection des Personnes se prêtant à la Recherche Biomédicale – CCPPRB) of Brest (France).

#### Study objectives

The primary objective was to assess the effect of levocetirizine 5 mg after both single and repeated doses, on psychometric and cognitive functions compared with placebo, using the critical flicker fusion test.

Secondary objectives included assessment of effects on a battery of tests including choice reaction time, body sway, and on learning memory. Besides, subjective perception of mood changes and vigilance were also measured through visual analogue scales.

#### Cognitive and psychometric tests

#### Critical flicker fusion (CFF)

The critical flicker fusion test is a widely recognized and validated tool for measuring an integrated index of CNS activity. The CFF threshold is an integrated index of CNS activity, i.e. alertness and cortical arousal particularly sensitive to impairment [4, 11, 12]. The frequency at which a light source must oscillate before it appears flicker-free is called the critical fusion frequency or critical flicker frequency. Subjects were asked to indicate when a red-light-emitting flickering source increasing in frequency, is perceived to become a continuous signal. They were also required to distinguish the threshold at which a flickering signal was perceived from a continuous signal, when frequency decreased.

DOCKE

© 2002 Blackwell Science Ltd Br J Clin Pharmacol, 54, 51-58



Figure 1 Study design.

This fusion and flicker are a reliable measure of cortical, alertness and arousal and reasonably stable in a given subject. Decrease in thresholds are indicative of altered CNS function.

#### Choice reaction time (CRT)

The CRT requires that the subject makes a decision and choose a response. CRT is based on a memory search paradigm [11, 13], where subjects are asked to react as quickly and accurately as possible in matching responses to a given stimuli. This procedure tests the time (in ms) taken by a subject to make a motor response to a sensory stimulus. The subject has to operate the correct button to switch off one of six light-emitting diodes located on a panel. The scores used for analysis are the mean values of the various times (CRT) obtained from a maximum of 50 stimuli. An increase in CRT values would be indicative of impaired alertness.

#### Body sway (BS)

BS is a technique enabling the measurement and recording of involuntary anterior-posterior and left-right postural oscillations using a vertical force platform. Both subject's positioning and equipment are standardized, in accordance with recommendations from the International Society of Posturography [14, 15]. The computerized measures used for analysis reflects the total displacement distance (cm from the centre of gravity and its corresponding surface (cm<sup>2</sup>)). The test is performed with both eyes open and closed. Body sway is increased in case of alcohol consumption; this is an indirect measure of alertness.

#### Learning memory test (LMT)

This test assesses short and long-term memory [16]. The subjects are asked first to freely recall the 15 words presented to them; then, to recall again the memorized words after a delay of 3 min during which he or she performs a digit symbol substitution test (DSST, used as a prolonged distraction task). The number of accurate words recalled determines the scores of immediate and delayed free memory recall.

#### Bond and Lader's visual analogue scale (VAS)

These subjective assessments of mood and vigilance are recorded using 16 horizontal 100 mm scales. Drugs effects on three parameters were calculated by factorial analysis, namely: alertness, contentedness and calmness [17].

CFF, CRT, BS and VAS were performed at the initial visit and on day 0 of period 1 to familiarize the subject with the procedures. They were further performed on day 1 and day 5 of each treatment period 1, 2, 3, 5 and 12 h after study drug administration, then again on day 2 and day 6, 24 h after dosing.

LMT and DSST were performed at the initial visit and on day 0 of period 1 to familiarize the subject with the procedures. They were also performed on day 1 and day 5 of each treatment period, before and 2 h after drug administration.

#### Safety assessments

A physical examination was performed by a physician at the initial visit, on day 6 of each study period and at each post-treatment visit. Cardiovascular safety was monitored

@ 2002 Blackwell Science Ltd Br J Clin Pharmacol,  ${\bf 54},\,{\bf 51}{-}{\bf 58}$ 

VET

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

by means of standard 12-lead ECGs at the initial visit, on day 1 and day 5, both before and 1 h after study drug administration, of each period and at the post-treatment visit. Vital signs (blood pressure and heart rate) were recorded at the initial visit and on day 6 of each period and at the post-treatment visit. All adverse events and undesirable experiences occurring during the study were reported.

#### Statistical analysis

The primary variable was expressed as the change in CFF score between the baseline score (predrug administration) and the one obtained at the predefined time points on day 1.

This was an exploratory study for which sample size was based on experience in similar studies [4, 21]. Sufficient volunteers had to be selected by the investigator in order to have a total number of 18 evaluable subjects for the analysis. Withdrawn subjects were replaced for this reason.

A repeated measures analysis of variance was used to compare treatment changes from baseline for this threeway crossover study [18, 19]. Statistical tests were carried out two-tailed at the 5% level of significance using SAS<sup>®</sup> software.

The fixed effects included treatment effect, period effect, time-point effect and the interaction of treatment  $\times$  time-point effect. The baseline value was included into the model as a covariate. In presence of a significant treatment  $\times$  time-point interaction, the treatment was evaluated at each time-point in the same model. The 95% confidence intervals of the least-squares means treatment differences were calculated for pairwise comparisons. Some model checks were performed: normality of residuals, absence of outliers (residual *vs* predicted values), and correlation between subject's effects and their predicted values.

Secondary variables were the change in CFF score between baseline and the predefined time points on day 5 as well as the changes between baseline scores and those obtained on day 1 and day 5 for CRT, BS, LMT and VAS parameters. Descriptive statistics and 95% confidence intervals (CI) of the mean treatment differences were calculated.

#### Results

#### Disposition of subjects

Thirty-one subjects were screened, 19 were included out of whom 18 completed the study. Out of the 19 subjects randomized, one withdrew his consent when under diphenhydramine treatment. Therefore, 19 received

DOCKE.

diphenhydramine, 18 received levocetirizine and 18 placebo treatment. They were aged between 20 and 39 years [mean (s.d.): 24.5 (4.2)], weighed between 56 and 82 kg [71.0 (7.3)], and were between 166 and 189 cm in height [178.3 (6.0)].

#### Critical flicker fusion test (CFF)

At baseline, mean  $(\pm s.d.)$  CFF baseline values were similar in the levocetirizine  $(30.9 \pm 3.2 \text{ Hz})$ , placebo  $(30.7 \pm 3.3 \text{ Hz})$  and diphenhydramine arm  $(31.0 \pm$ 2.7 Hz). During the first 24 h following drug administration, placebo and levocetirizine mean CFF values fluctuated around the baseline values within a range that never surpassed 0.5 Hz below or above baseline values, which corresponds to expected and acceptable fluctuations. Of note, levocetirizine and placebo results were never statistically significantly different from each other, neither globally over all time points (P=0.292), nor at any particular time point. By contrast, mean CFF values, after diphenhydramine administration displayed a maximum decrease within 1 h of dosing, with a mean decrease from baseline of 1.35 Hz. The difference between diphenhydramine and placebo was statistically different globally (P=0.019) and more specifically 1, 2 and 3 h after dosing (P < 0.04). The maximum difference between placebo and diphenhydramine occurred at the third hour with a mean difference of 1.62 Hz (P=0.002, Table 1). This difference was more than twice the mean difference observed between levocetirizine and placebo (Figure 2).

After 4 consecutive treatment days, i.e. on day 5, CFF times evolution were globally similar to those of day 1 for levocetirizine and placebo. Again, diphenhydramine

**Table 1** Mean treatment differences between levocetirizine(or diphenhydramine) and placebo in CFF from baseline on day 1by time-point.

Comparisons	Time	Mean difference and 95% CI (Hz)	P values
Levocetirizine vs placebo	1 h	-0.15 (-1.15, 0.84)	0.764
	2 h	-0.08 (-1.07, 0.92)	0.876
	3 h	-0.81 (-1.80, 0.19)	0.111
	5 h	-0.69(-1.69, 0.30)	0.168
	12 h	-0.27 (-1.27, 0.72)	0.56
	24 h	-0.34(-1.34, 0.65)	0.491
Diphenhydramine <i>vs</i> placebo	1 h	-1.04(-2.03, -0.06)	< 0.05
	2 h	-1.14(-2.13, -0.16)	< 0.05
	3 h	-1.62(-2.61, -0.64)	< 0.005
	5 h	-0.71 (-1.70, 0.27)	0.152
	12 h	-0.78(-1.76, 0.21)	0.120
	24 h	-0.20 (-1.18, 0.78)	0.685

© 2002 Blackwell Science Ltd Br J Clin Pharmacol, 54, 51-58



**Figure 2** Effect of levocetirizine 5 mg ( $\bigcirc$ , solid line), diphenhydramine 50 mg ( $\Box$ , dashed line) and placebo ( $\bigcirc$ , dotted line) on CFF thresholds in healthy volunteers: Mean ( $\pm$ s.e. mean) of CFF (Hz) on day 1 – ITT population.

produced a decrease, albeit less pronounced than that on day 1 and no longer achieving a statistically significant difference relative to placebo.

#### Choice reaction time (CRT)

The baseline mean ( $\pm$ s.d.) CRT values on day 1 were similar for placebo (433.7 $\pm$ 33.9 ms), levocetirizine (434.3 $\pm$ 50.8 ms) and diphenhydramine (422.5 $\pm$ 59.7 ms).

However, a decrease in mean CRT scores was observed during the study, both after levocetirizine and placebo administration from the first to the 24th hour after dosing (with a maximum of -34.4 ms and -41.1 ms at the 12th hour for placebo and levocetirizine, respectively). Levocetirizine was not statistically different from placebo at any time point. The reduction in CRT was much less with diphenhydramine with mean scores of -23.6 ms at the 12th hour. Mean CRT values on day 1 are shown in Figure 3. Mean CRT scores were comparable over time for the three treatments, with no significant differences for groups on day 5.

#### Body sway

Results on distance and surface displacement from the centre of gravity measured eyes open or closed, were similar for levocetirizine and placebo, whereas an increase in total displacement distance was recorded up to 3 h after dosing with diphenhydramine on day 1. This increase reached statistical significance when the test was performed with eyes closed: at 3 h the mean difference between diphenhydramine and placebo was 16.35 cm (95% CI: 5.61, 27.10). A similar pattern was observed with the mean difference in surface displacement between diphenhydramine and placebo:  $3.08 \text{ cm}^2$  (95% CI: 0.76, 5.39) at the 3rd hour after dosing on day 1.

Bond & Lader's Visual Analog Scales (VAS)

#### Alertness

Scores of alertness increased both after levocetirizine and after placebo treatment, with a maximum at the 12th hour after dosing. These increases were more marked for placebo arm compared with levocetirizine, leading to a statistical difference between the two groups, at the 3rd and 12th hour after dosing, i.e. a mean VAS score difference of -7.87 (95% CI: -15.15, 0.60) and -8.47 (14.05, -2.88), respectively.

A major and significant decrease in alertness was observed after diphenhydramine administration, on day 1, at the 2nd and 3rd hour after dosing, when compared with placebo. These mean differences (-13.51; 95% CI: -26.09, -0.92 and -17.09; 95% CI: -28.89, -4.28, respectively) were expected, diphenhydramine being a positive control.

#### Contentedness

Results pointed to a similar evolution of contentedness in all three treatments on days 1 and 5.

#### Calmness

No marked or consistent decreases in calmness were observed with any treatment.

© 2002 Blackwell Science Ltd Br J Clin Pharmacol, 54, 51–58

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

# DOCKET



## Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

