

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APOTEX INC.
Petitioner,

v.

UCB BIOPHARMA SPRL,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2019-00400
Patent 8,633,194

PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. THE '194 PATENT AND ITS PROSECUTION.....2

III. PETITIONER'S OBVIOUSNESS GROUNDS.....4

IV. INSTITUTION SHOULD BE DENIED PURSUANT TO THE BOARD'S DISCRETION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 325(d).7

A. The Legal Standard for 35 U.S.C. § 325(d)7

B. Factor 1: The Similarities and Material Differences Between the Asserted Art and Prior Art Involved During Examination.8

C. Factor 2: The Cumulative Nature of the Asserted Art and the Prior Art Evaluated During Examination.12

D. Factor 3: The Extent to Which the Asserted Art Was Evaluated During Examination, Including Whether the Prior Art Was the Basis for Rejection.13

E. Factor 4: The Extent of the Overlap Between the Arguments Made During Examination and the Manner in Which Petitioner Relies on the Prior Art or Patent Owner Distinguishes the Prior Art.17

F. Factor 5: Whether Petitioner Has Pointed Out Sufficiently How the Examiner Erred in Its Evaluation of the Asserted Prior Art.22

G. Factor 6: The Extent to Which Additional Evidence and Facts Presented in the Petition Warrant Reconsideration of the Prior Art. ..25

V. INSTITUTION SHOULD BE DENIED PURSUANT TO THE BOARD'S DISCRETION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 314(a).....27

A. The Legal Standard for 35 U.S.C. § 314(a).....27

B. The Co-Pending District Court Litigation.....28

C. Petitioner's Petition Creates Judicial Inefficiency By Asking the Board to Consider Arguments that the Office Already Considered

During Prosecution and the District Court Will Consider (*General Plastic Factors 1, 6, and 7*).29

D. Petitioner’s Petition Results in Prejudice Against UCB (*General Plastic Factors 2 and 3*).31

E. Petitioner Has No Reasonable Excuse to Explain Its Delay (*General Plastic Factors 4 and 5*).33

VI. CONCLUSION33

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

Apotex Inc. v. Celgene Corp.,
No. IPR2018-00685, Paper No. 8 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 27, 2018).....12, 17, 24

Becton, Dickinson & Co. v. B. Braun Melsungen AG,
No. IPR2017-01586, Paper No. 8 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 15, 2017)*passim*

Ben Venue Labs., Inc. v. Novartis Pharm. Corp.,
146 F. Supp. 2d 572 (D.N.J. 2001).....32

General Plastic Indus. Co. v. Canon Kabushiki Kaisha,
No. IPR2016-01357, Paper No. 19 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 6, 2017).....*passim*

Genetics Institute, LLC v. Novartis Vaccines and Diagnostics, Inc.,
655 F.3d 1291 (Fed. Cir. 2011)21

Hologic, Inc. v. Biomérieux, Inc.,
No. IPR2018-00567, Paper No. 9 (P.T.A.B. Aug. 4, 2018).....25

Intel Corp. v. Godo Kaisha IP Bridge 1,
No. IPR2018-00753, Paper No. 11 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 9, 2018).....*passim*

Mylan Pharms, Inc. v. Bayer Intellectual Property GmbH,
No IPR2018-01143, Paper No. 13 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 3, 2018)28

Neil Ziegmann, N.P.Z., Inc. v. Stephens,
No. IPR2015-01860, Paper No. 11 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 24, 2016).....8, 9, 13, 17

NHK Spring Co. v. Intri-PlexTechs., Inc.,
No. IPR2018-00752, Paper No. 8 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 12, 2018).....28

In re Peterson,
315 F.3d 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2003)21

Smith & Nephew v. ConvaTec Techs. Inc.,
No. IPR2013-00097, Paper No. 76 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 24, 2014).....26

Unified Patents Inc. v. Berman,
No. IPR2016-01571, Paper No. 10 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 14, 2016)24

Winter v. Nat. Res. Def. Council, Inc.,
555 U.S. 7 (2008).....30

Statutes

21 U.S.C. § 355(j)28
35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2)(A)28
35 U.S.C. § 313 1
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)2, 27, 28
35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(11).....29
35 U.S.C. § 325(d)*passim*

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.