

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ALPHATEC HOLDINGS, INC. AND ALPHATEC SPINE, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

NUVASIVE, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2019-00362
United States Patent No. 8,361,156

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 8,361,156**

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Submitted Electronically via the Patent Review Processing System

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. PETITIONER’S STANDING	5
III. THE ’156 PATENT	5
IV. THE PRIOR ART	8
A. Brantigan	8
B. Baccelli	9
C. Berry	10
D. Michelson ’973	11
V. PROSECUTION HISTORY	14
VI. PREVIOUS CHALLENGES	16
VII. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGES	21
VIII. PATENT OWNER USED BRANTIGAN AND BERRY IN ITS PRIOR CHALLENGES	22
IX. GROUNDS FOR TRIAL ARE NOT CUMULATIVE	25
X. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.100(b), 42.104(b)(3)	26
XI. DETAILED EXPLANATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)	26
A. The Grounds for Trial Are Based on Prior Art Patents and Printed Publications	26
1. Brantigan is a prior art patent	26
2. Baccelli is a prior art printed publication	27
3. Berry is a prior art printed publication	27
4. Michelson ’973 is a prior art patent	28
B. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	28
C. Ground 1: Claims 1-3, 5, 9–10, 12-21, 23–24, and 27 are rendered obvious by Brantigan in view of Baccelli and Berry	28
1. Motivation to Combine Brantigan with Baccelli and Berry	28
2. Claim 1	33
3. Claim 2	52
4. Claim 3	53
5. Claim 5	54

6.	Claim 9.....	56
7.	Claim 10.....	57
8.	Claim 12.....	57
9.	Claim 13.....	58
10.	Claim 14.....	59
11.	Claim 15.....	60
12.	Claim 16.....	61
13.	Claim 17.....	61
14.	Claim 18.....	62
15.	Claim 19.....	63
16.	Claim 20.....	63
17.	Claim 21.....	64
18.	Claim 23.....	66
19.	Claim 24.....	67
20.	Claim 27.....	68
D.	Ground 2: Claim 9 is rendered obvious by Brantigan, Baccelli, Berry, and Michelson '973.....	69
1.	Motivation to combine Brantigan, Berry, Baccelli, and Michelson '973.	69
2.	Claim 9.....	70
XII.	THERE ARE NO SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS OF NONOBVIOUSNESS	75
XIII.	MANDATORY NOTICES – 37 C.F.R. § 42.8.....	76
A.	Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	76
B.	Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	76
C.	Lead and Backup Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).....	77
D.	Service Information Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4).....	77
XIV.	PAYMENT OF FEES – 37 C.F.R. § 42.103.....	77
XV.	CONCLUSION.....	77

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

<i>Becton Dickinson & Co. v. B. Braun Melsungen AG</i> , IPR2017-01586, Paper No. 8, 17-18 (P.T.A.B Dec. 15, 2017)	18
<i>C & D Zodiac, Inc. v. B/E Aerospace, Inc.</i> , IPR2017-01276, Paper No. 41, 12-13 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 28, 2018)	24
<i>Interactive Gift Exp., Inc. v. Compuserve Inc.</i> , 256 F.3d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	24
<i>Noah Sys., Inc. v. Intuit Inc.</i> , 675 F.3d 1302 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	19
<i>In re: NuVasive, Inc.</i> , 842 F.3d 1376	12, 15
<i>NuVasive, Inc. v. Alphatec Holdings, Inc. et al.</i> , Case No. 3:18-cv-00347-CAB-MDD (S.D.Cal.)	62
<i>Warsaw Orthopedic, Inc. et al. v. NuVasive, Inc.</i> , Case No. 3:12-cv-002738-CAB-MDD (S.D.Cal.)	24, 63
<i>Williamson v. Citrix Online</i> , LLC, 792 F.3d. 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2015) (en banc).....	19, 20

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 102(a)	21, 22
35 U.S.C. § 102(b)	21
35 U.S.C. § 102(e)	21
35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	11, 17
35 U.S.C. § 112	19
35 U.S.C. § 282(b)	18

35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319.....	1
Other Authorities	
37 C.F.R. § 42.....	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.8.....	62
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	62
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....	62
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).....	63
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4).....	63
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b).....	18
37 C.F.R. § 42.103.....	64
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B).....	20
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3).....	18
83 Fed. Reg. 51340, 51343 (Oct. 11, 2018).....	19

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.