

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

BRACCO DIAGNOSTICS INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

MAIA PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:17-cv-13151-PGS-TJB



OPENING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF
OF MAIA PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

Gregory D. Miller
Jenna Gabay
RIVKIN RADLER LLP
21 Main Street
West Wing – Suite 158
Hackensack, NJ 07601-7021
Phone: (201) 287-2474
Facsimile: (201) 489-0495
gregory.miller@rivkin.com
jenna.gabay@rivkin.com

William R. Zimmerman (admitted *pro hac vice*)
KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON &
BEAR, LLP
1717 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W., Suite
900 Washington, D.C. 20006
Phone: (202) 640-6400
Facsimile: (202) 640-6401
bill.zimmerman@knobbe.com

*Attorneys for Defendant
Maia Pharmaceuticals, Inc.*

Thomas P. Krzeminski (admitted *pro hac vice*)
KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON &
BEAR, LLP
2040 Main Street, 14th Floor
Irvine, CA 92614
Phone: (949) 760-0404
Facsimile: (949) 760-9502
thomas.krzeminski@knobbe.com

Peter Law (admitted *pro hac vice*)
KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON &
BEAR, LLP
12790 El Camino Real
San Diego, CA 92130
Phone: (858) 707-4000
Facsimile: (858) 707-4001
peter.law@knobbe.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page No.

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	NATURE AND STAGE OF THE PROCEEDINGS.....	4
III.	PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	5
IV.	BACKGROUND	5
	A. Technology Overview	5
	B. Drug Formulation.....	6
	C. Overview of the '046 Patent.....	6
V.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION PRINCIPLES.....	7
VI.	MAIA'S CONSTRUCTIONS ARE SUPPORTED BY THE INTRINSIC AND AVAILABLE EXTRINSIC EVIDENCE.....	9
	A. "Surfactant"	9
	1. Intrinsic evidence	11
	a. Claim language	11
	b. Specification	12
	2. Extrinsic evidence	12
	3. Bracco's construction of "surfactant" should be rejected	13
	B. "Surfactant/Solubilizer"	20
	1. Intrinsic evidence	21
	a. Claim language	21
	b. Specification	23

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(Cont'd)

	Page No(s).
2. Bracco’s construction of “surfactant/solubilizer” should be rejected.....	24
C. “Buffer”	30
1. Intrinsic evidence	32
a. Claim language	32
b. Specification	33
2. Extrinsic evidence	33
3. Bracco’s construction of “buffer” should be rejected.....	34
VII. CONCLUSION.....	40

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page No(s).

<i>Alloc, Inc. v. Int’l Trade Comm’n</i> , 342 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	26
<i>Beacon Adhesives, Inc. v. United States</i> , 134 Fed. Cl. 26 (2017)	19, 38, 39
<i>Becton, Dickinson & Co. v. Tyco Healthcare Grp., LP</i> , 616 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	25
<i>CAE Screenplates Inc. v. Heinrich Fiedler GmbH & Co.</i> , 224 F.3d 1308 (Fed. Cir. 2000).....	27
<i>Energizer Holdings, Inc. v. Int’l Trade Comm’n</i> , 435 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	29
<i>Generation II Orthotics Inc. v. Med. Tech. Inc.</i> , 263 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	8
<i>Helmsderfer v. Bobrick Washroom Equip., Inc.</i> , 527 F.3d 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	19, 38
<i>Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc.</i> , 52 F.3d 967 (Fed. Cir. 1995).....	8
<i>Merck & Co. v. Teva Pharm. USA, Inc.</i> , 395 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	22, 24
<i>NeoMagic Corp. v. Trident Microsystems, Inc.</i> , 287 F.3d 1062 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....	36
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc).....	7, 8, 25
<i>Praxair, Inc. v. ATMI, Inc.</i> , 543 F.3d 1306 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	14, 29
<i>SRI Int’l v. Matsushita Elec. Corp. Am.</i> , 775 F.2d 1107 (Fed. Cir. 1985).....	15, 27
<i>Teva Pharms. USA, Inc. v. Sandoz, Inc.</i> , 135 S. Ct. 831 (2015).....	7

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(Cont'd)

Page No(s).

Thorner v. Sony Computer Entm't Am. LLC,
669 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....19, 39

Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptoronic, Inc.,
90 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1996).....25

Wilson Sporting Goods Co. v. Hillerich & Bradsby Co.,
442 F.3d 1322 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....9, 16, 35, 36

OTHER AUTHORITIES

35 U.S.C. § 112.....29

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.