

Filed: November 19, 2018

Filed on behalf of:

**Maia Pharmaceuticals, Inc.**

By: Benjamin B. Anger

Peter J. Law

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

2040 Main Street, 14th Floor

Irvine, CA 92614

Tel.: (949) 760-0404

Fax: (949) 760-9502

Email: BoxMAIA@knobbe.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

MAIA PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,  
Petitioner

v.

BRACCO DIAGNOSTICS INC.,  
Patent Owner

---

Case No. IPR2019-00345  
U.S. Patent No. 6,803,046

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,803,046**

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## Page No.

I.	SUMMARY OF ISSUE PRESENTED.....	1
II.	INTRODUCTION AND STATE OF THE ART.....	3
A.	The Sincalide Peptide.....	3
B.	The Old Kinevac Formulation Had Known Drawbacks.....	5
C.	Sincalide’s Known Chemical and Physical Instability .....	7
1.	Sincalide’s Chemical Instability .....	7
a.	Hydrolysis of the Sulfated Tyrosine Residue .....	8
b.	Oxidation of the Methionine Residues .....	10
2.	Sincalide’s Physical Instability .....	13
D.	Stable Lyophilized Parenteral Formulations.....	15
1.	Stabilizers.....	18
2.	Surfactants/Solubilizers .....	23
3.	Chelators .....	24
4.	Bulking agents/tonicity adjusters .....	25
5.	Buffers.....	26
E.	Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art (“POSA”).....	27
III.	THE ’046 PATENT.....	28
A.	The ’046 Patent Specification .....	28
B.	The Independent Claims.....	34

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
*(cont'd)*

	<b>Page No.</b>
C.    The Dependent Claims .....	35
D.    Prosecution History .....	36
IV.    CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	37
V.    STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED .....	37
A.    Grounds .....	38
B.    Status of References as Prior Art .....	39
VI.    THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE.....	39
A.    Ground 1: Claims 1-4, 6-11, 13, 15, 16, 19, 21-24, 26-31, 33, 35, 36, 40-42, 44-49, 51, 53, 55, and 104 Are Unpatentable as Obvious Over the PDR in Combination with Sato.....	39
1.    Overview of the PDR.....	39
2.    Overview of Sato .....	39
3.    Independent Claim 1 .....	41
a.    An Effective Amount of Sincalide .....	41
b.    At Least One Stabilizer.....	42
c.    A Surfactant/Solubilizer .....	45
d.    A Chelator.....	46
e.    A Bulking Agent/Tonicity Adjuster .....	48
f.    A Buffer .....	49
4.    Independent Claim 21 .....	51
5.    Independent Claim 40.....	52

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
*(cont'd)*

	<b>Page No.</b>
6. Independent Claim 104 .....	54
7. Claims 2, 22 .....	55
8. Claims 3, 4, 23, 24, 41, 42 .....	56
9. Claims 6-9, 26-29, 44-47 .....	56
10. Claims 10, 11, 13, 30, 31, 33, 48, 49, 51 .....	57
11. Claims 15, 16, 35, 36 .....	57
12. Claim 19 .....	58
13. Claim 55 .....	58
 B. Ground 2: Claims 5, 12, 14, 17, 18, 25, 32, 34, 37, 38, 43 50, 52, and 54 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over the PDR in combination with Sato and Nema .....	59
1. Claims 5, 25, 43 .....	59
2. Claims 12, 32, 50 .....	60
3. Claims 14, 34, 52 .....	62
4. Claims 17, 37, 54 .....	63
5. Claims 18, 38 .....	65
 C. Ground 3: Claims 77-88, 90-95, 97, 99, 100, and 105 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) in view of the PDR in combination with Sato and ENMS .....	65
1. Independent Claim 77 .....	65
2. Claim 78 .....	68
3. Claims 79-80 .....	68
4. Claims 81-82 .....	68

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
*(cont'd)*

	<b>Page No.</b>
5. Claim 83 .....	69
6. Claims 84-85 .....	69
7. Claims 86-88, 90-95, 97, 99, 100 .....	70
8. Claim 105 .....	70
D. Ground 4: Claims 89, 96, 98, 101, and 102 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) in view of the PDR in combination with Sato, ENMS, and Nema .....	71
VII. SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS .....	72
VIII. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1) .....	72
A. Real Parties-In-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)) .....	72
B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2) .....	72
C. Lead and Back-up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) .....	73
D. Service Information Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4) .....	73
IX. PAYMENT OF FEES .....	73
X. REQUIREMENTS FOR REVIEW .....	74
XI. CONCLUSION .....	74

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.