Paper 18 Entered: April 23, 2019

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES S.A. and DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, INC., Petitioners,

v.

INDIVIOR UK LIMITED, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2019-00328 (Patent No. 9,687,454 B2) Case IPR2019-00329 (Patent No. 9,687,454 B2)<sup>1</sup>

Before SUSAN L. C. MITCHELL and RICHARD J. SMITH, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

SMITH, Administrative Patent Judge.

## **ORDER**

Granting Petitioners' Motion for *Pro Hac Vice*Admission of Robert Frederickson III

37 C.F.R. § 42.10

<sup>1</sup>This Order addresses issues that are common to both cases. We, therefore, issue a single Order to be entered in both cases. The parties may use this style caption when filing a single paper in both cases, provided that such caption includes a footnote attesting that "the word-for-word identical paper is filed in each proceeding identified in the caption."



Having considered Petitioners' Renewed Motion for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* of Robert Frederickson III (Paper 13),<sup>2</sup> and the corrected Declaration in support by Robert Frederickson III (Ex. 1051), it is:

ORDERED that Petitioners' unopposed motion for admission *pro hac vice* of Robert Frederickson III is *granted*; Mr. Frederickson is authorized to represent Petitioners only as back-up counsel in the instant proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioners are to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for the instant proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Frederickson is to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide (and updates thereto) and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Frederickson is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et. seq.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The paper and exhibit numbers refer to documents filed in IPR2019-00328. The corrected *pro hac vice* Declaration is marked as Exhibit 1052, but appears in the record as Exhibit 1051. Similarly, the corrected *pro hac vice* Declaration in IPR2019-00329 is marked as Exhibit 1027, but appears in the record as Exhibit 1026. The corresponding *pro hac vice* paper and exhibit filed in IPR2019-00329 are substantially identical to those filed in IPR2019-00328.



## IPR2019-00328 and IPR2019-00329 (Patent No. 9,687,454 B2)

## PETITIONER:

Ira J. Levy John Coy Stull GOODWIN PROCTER LLP ilevy@goodwinprocter.com jstull@goodwinprocter.com

## PATENT OWNER:

David A. Garr
Peter P. Chen
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
dgarr@cov.com
pchen@cov.com

