

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

Canon Inc., Canon U.S.A., Inc., and Axis Communications AB,

Petitioner

v.

Avigilon Fortress Corporation,

Patent Owner

---

Case: Unassigned

U.S. Patent No. 7,932,923

Issue Date: April 26, 2011

Title: Video Surveillance System Employing Video Primitives

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>I.</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>II.</b>	<b> GROUNDS FOR STANDING.....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>III.</b>	<b> IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGES.....</b>	<b>2</b>
	A. Claims for Which Review is Requested.....	2
	B. The Prior Art and Specific Grounds on Which the Challenge to the Claims is Based .....	2
	C. How the Challenged Claims are Construed .....	4
	D. How the Challenged Claims are Unpatentable .....	4
	E. Supporting Evidence .....	4
<b>IV.</b>	<b> THE '923 PATENT .....</b>	<b>4</b>
	A. Overview .....	4
	B. Prosecution History .....	6
	1. Prosecution.....	6
	2. <i>Inter Partes</i> and <i>Ex Parte</i> Reexaminations.....	6
	3. Prior Proceedings Involving Related Patents.....	8
	C. Level of Skill.....	8
<b>V.</b>	<b> CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS.....</b>	<b>9</b>
	A. “attributes of the object” (claims 1-7, 9-19, 22-28, 30-41); “attributes of each of the detected first and second objects” (claims 8, 29); “attributes of the detected object” (claims 20, 21).....	9
	B. “new user rule” (claims 1-41) .....	10
	C. Independence-based limitations (claims 1-41) .....	11
	1. Independence Argument (1) .....	11

2.	Independence Argument (3) .....	12
3.	Independence Argument (2) .....	14
D.	“wherein the applying the new user rule to the plurality of detected attributes comprises applying the new user rule to only the plurality of detected attributes” (claims 1-19, 22-29); “wherein the analysis of the combination of the attributes to detect the event comprises analyzing only the combination of the attributes” (claims 20-21); “wherein the applying the selected new user rule to the plurality of attributes stored in memory comprises applying the selected new user rule to only the plurality of attributes stored in memory” (claims 30-41) .....	19
E.	Means-plus-function elements (claims 9-19, 30-41) .....	21
1.	“means for detecting an object in a video from a single camera” (claim 9); “means for detecting first and second objects in a video from a single camera” (claim 30) .....	21
2.	“means for detecting a plurality of attributes of the object ...” (claim 9); “means for detecting a plurality of attributes of the object...” (claim 30) .....	22
3.	“means for selecting a new user rule after the plurality of detected attributes are stored in memory” (claim 9).....	22
4.	“means for identifying an event...” (claim 9); “means for identifying an event of the first object interacting with the second object...” (claim 30) .....	23
<b>VI.</b>	<b>OVERVIEW OF PRIOR ART.....</b>	<b>23</b>
A.	Dimitrova.....	23
B.	Brill.....	25
C.	Motivation to Combine .....	26
<b>VII.</b>	<b>THE PETITION PRESENTS NEW ISSUES OF PATENTABILITY AND THE BOARD SHOULD NOT EXERCISE ITS DISCRETION TO REJECT THE PETITION.....</b>	<b>30</b>

1. Dispute Regarding the Independence-Based Claim  
Elements in the Prior Proceedings .....30

**VIII. CLAIMS 1-41 OF THE '923 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE  
OVER THE PRIOR ART .....33**

A. *Dimitrova* in view of *Brill* Renders Claims 1-41 Obvious .....33

1. Independent Claim 1 .....33

2. Claims 2, 4, 7[2], 13, 16, 23, 25, 28[2], 34, and 38 .....44

3. Claims 3, 7[1], 17, 24, 28[1], and 39 .....45

4. Claims 5, 6, 15, 21, 26, 27, and 37 .....47

5. Independent Claim 8 .....49

6. Independent Claim 9 .....54

7. Claims 10 and 31 .....60

8. Claims 11 and 32 .....61

9. Claims 12 and 33 .....63

10. Claims 14 and 35 .....67

11. Claims 18 and 40 .....69

12. Claims 19 and 41 .....70

13. Independent Claim 20 .....70

14. Independent Claims 22 and 29 .....71

15. Independent Claim 30 .....71

16. Claim 36 .....73

**IX. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8 .....73**

A. Real Party-in-Interest .....73

B. Related Matters .....73

C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel and Service Information .....74

**X. CONCLUSION .....75**

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.