

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Canon Inc., Canon U.S.A., Inc., and Axis Communications AB,

Petitioners,

v.

Avigilon Fortress Corporation,

Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2019-00314

U.S. Patent No. 7,932,923

PATENT OWNER'S SUR-REPLY TO PETITIONERS' REPLY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL DOES NOT APPLY	1
A. The ’923 Patent Presents Different Issues	2
B. The Issues In The ’923 Patent Have Not Been Actually Litigated.....	6
III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	6
A. “New User Rule” (Claims 1-41)	6
B. “Applying” (Claims 1-41).....	7
C. “Independent” (Claims 1-41)	8
D. “Only” (Claims 1-41)	9
IV. THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE PATENTABLE.....	10
A. <i>Dimitrova</i> In Combination With <i>Brill</i> Does Not Apply A New User Rule To The Plurality Of Detected Attributes	10
B. <i>Dimitrova</i> Does Not Disclose “Applying The New User Rule To <u>Only</u> The Plurality Of Detected Attributes”	13
C. A “New User Rule” Necessarily Requires A Response	14
D. <i>Dimitrova</i> Does Not Disclose “The Plurality Of Attributes That Are Detected Are Independent Of Which Event Is Identified”	15
E. <i>Dimitrova</i> Does Not Disclose “Selecting The New User Rule Comprises Selecting A Subset Of The Plurality Of Attributes For Analysis”	17
V. MOTIVATION TO COMBINE.....	19
VI. OBJECTIVE INDICIA OF NON-OBVIOUSNESS	20

VII. DIMITROVA AND BRILL ARE NOT PRINTED PUBLICATIONS.....21

A. Evidence In The Petition Fails To Establish Dimitrova And Brill Were Printed Publications.....21

B. The Supplemental Information Fails To Establish *Dimitrova* And *Brill* Were Printed Publications.....22

VIII. CONCLUSION24

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>ABS Global v. Inguran, LLC</i> , IPR2016-00927, Paper 33 (PTAB Oct. 2, 2017).....	21, 22
<i>Apple Inc. v. VirnetX Inc.</i> , IPR2016-01585, 2018 WL 1014160 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	3
<i>Axis Commc’n AB, et. al. v. Avigilon Fortress Corp.</i> , IPR2018-00140, Paper 11 (PTAB Sept. 4, 2018).....	8, 11, 18
<i>Deere & Co. v. Gramm</i> , IPR2015-00899, 2019 WL 7000102 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	3
<i>Ford Motor Co. v. Versara Dev. Grp., Inc.</i> , IPR2016-01019, Paper 9 (PTAB Oct. 4, 2016).....	22
<i>In re Freeman</i> , 30 F.3d 1459 (Fed. Cir. 1994)	3
<i>Nestle USA, Inc. v. Steuben Foods, Inc.</i> , 884 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	3
<i>Ohio Willow Wood Co. v. Alps South LLC</i> , 735 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	3, 5
<i>Rivera v. Remington Designs, LLC</i> , Case No. LA CV 16-04676 JAK, 2017 WL 3449615 (C.D. Cal. Jul. 7, 2017).....	2, 3

PATENT OWNER'S EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit No.	Description
2001	Declaration of Michael W. De Vries in Support of Unopposed Motion to Appear Pro Hac Vice on Behalf of Patent Owner Avigilon Fortress Corporation.
2002	Declaration of Adam R. Alper in Support of Unopposed Motion to Appear Pro Hac Vice on Behalf of Patent Owner Avigilon Fortress Corporation.
2003	Declaration of Akshay S. Deoras in Support of Unopposed Motion to Appear Pro Hac Vice on Behalf of Patent Owner Avigilon Fortress Corporation.
2004	37 C.F.R. § 1.132 Declaration of Kenneth A. Zeger (excerpt of U.S. Patent No. 7,868,912 Reexamination).
2005	Thomas Olson & Frank Brill, <i>Moving Object Detection & Event Recognition Algorithms for Smart Cameras</i> , 1 PROC. 1997 IMAGE UNDERSTANDING WORKSHOP 159-175 (1997).
2006	Jonathan D. Courtney, <i>Automatic Video Indexing Via Object Motion Analysis</i> , 30(4) PATTERN RECOGNITION 607-625 (1997).
2007	U.S. Patent No. 6,628,835 to Brill et al.
2008	Young Francis Day, et al, <i>Spatio-Temporal Modeling of Video Data for On-Line Object-Oriented Query Processing</i> , Proceedings of the International Conference on Multimedia Computing and Systems 98-105 (1995).
2009	Forouzan Golshani & Nevenka Dimitrova, <i>A Language for Content-Based Video Retrieval</i> , 6 MULTIMEDIA TOOLS AND APPLICATIONS, 289-312 (1998).
2010	IPR2018-00138; IPR2018-00140, Ex. 2009 (Grindon Dep. Transcript Aug. 15, 2018).

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.