

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CANON INC., CANON U.S.A., INC., and
AXIS COMMUNICATIONS AB,
Petitioner,

v.

AVIGILON FORTRESS CORPORATION,
Patent Owner.

Cases IPR2019-00311, IPR2019-00314¹
Patent 7,932,923 B2 & C1

Before GEORGIANNA W. BRADEN and KIMBERLY McGRAW,
Administrative Patent Judges.

McGRAW, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

ORDER

Pro Hac Vice Admissions of
Michael W. De Vries, Adam R. Alper, and Akshay S. Deoras
37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)

¹ This Order addresses issues that are identical in both above-referenced proceedings. We issue a single order in these two proceedings for convenience. The parties, however, are not authorized to use this style heading.

Avigilon Fortress Corporation (“Patent Owner”) filed unopposed motions for the *pro hac vice* admission of Michael W. De Vries, Mr. Adam R. Alper, and Mr. Akshay S. Deora in each of the above referenced proceedings. *See* Paper 7.² Petitioner did not oppose.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), we may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. A motion for *pro hac vice* admission must also contain a statement of facts showing there is good cause for us to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during the proceeding and be accompanied by an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear. *See Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639, slip op. at 3–4 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (Paper 7) (setting forth the requirements for *pro hac vice* admission).

Patent Owner asserts there is good cause for us to recognize Mr. De Vries *pro hac vice*. Paper 8, 2–5, 9–10. Patent Owner’s assertions in this regard are supported by a Declaration of Mr. De Vries. Ex. 2001. In his declaration, Mr. De Vries states he has familiarity with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding. *Id.* ¶ 5. In addition, Mr. De Vries’s Declaration complies with the other requirements for *pro hac vice* admission. *Id.* ¶¶ 1–13; *see Unified Patents*, slip op. at 3–4.

Patent Owner further asserts there is good cause for us to recognize Mr. Alper *pro hac vice*. Paper 8, 5–7, 10. Patent Owner’s assertions in this regard are supported by a Declaration of Mr. Alper. Ex. 2002. In his declaration, Mr. Alper states he has familiarity with the subject matter at

² For convenience, paper and exhibit numbers refer to IPR2019-00311; corresponding papers may be found in the record of IPR2019-00314.

IPR2019-00311, IPR2019-00314 (Patent 7,932,923 B2 & C1)

issue in this proceeding. *Id.* ¶ 4. In addition, Mr. Alper's Declaration complies with the other requirements for *pro hac vice* admission. *Id.* ¶¶ 1–12; *see Unified Patents*, slip op. at 3–4.

Finally, Patent Owner asserts there is good cause for us to recognize Mr. Deoras *pro hac vice*. Paper 8, 7–11. Patent Owner's assertions in this regard are supported by a Declaration of Mr. Deoras. Ex. 2003. In his declaration, Mr. Deoras states he has familiarity with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding. *Id.* ¶ 4. Mr. Deoras's Declaration also complies with the other requirements for *pro hac vice* admission. *Id.* ¶¶ 1–12; *see Unified Patents*, slip op. at 3–4.

After reviewing the motions and supporting Declarations, we determine that Patent Owner has established good cause for the *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. De Vries, Mr. Alper, and Mr. Deoras in each of the above referenced proceedings.

It is, therefore, ORDERED that Patent Owner's unopposed motions for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Michael W. De Vries, Mr. Adam R. Alper, and Mr. Akshay S. Deoras to represent Patent Owner in each of the above reference proceedings are granted;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. De Vries, Mr. Alper, and Mr. Deoras are authorized to represent Patent Owner as back-up counsel only in each of the above referenced proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel in each of the above referenced proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. De Vries, Mr. Alper, and Mr. Deoras shall comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, as updated by the

IPR2019-00311, IPR2019-00314 (Patent 7,932,923 B2 & C1)

August 2018 Update, 83 Federal Register 39,989 (Aug. 13, 2018), and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. De Vries, Mr. Alper, and Mr. Deoras shall be subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), as well as the Office's Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 et seq.

IPR2019-00311, IPR2019-00314 (Patent 7,932,923 B2 & C1)

For PETITIONER:

C. Gregory Gramenopoulos
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP
gramenoc@finnegan.com

Joseph Calvaruso
Richard Martinelli
ORRICK HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE
jvcptabdocket@orrick.com
rfmptabdocket@orrick.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Eugene Goryunov
eugene.goryunov@haynesboone.com

Michael W. De Vries
KIRKLAND & ELLIS
michael.devries@kirkland.com