	ed States Patent A	and Trademark Office	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22: www.uspto.gov	OR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
95/001,914	02/29/2012	7,932,923		1269
6449 7590 05/23/2012 ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C. 607 14th Street, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			EXAMINER	
			HUGHES, DEANDRA M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	., 202000		3992	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/23/2012	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

د



Commissioner for Patents United States Patents and Trademark Office P.O.Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Date:

#### DO NOT USE IN PALM PRINTER

THIRD PARTY REQUESTER'S CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS KENYON & KENYON LLP ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004

2323

ĩ

#### Transmittal of Communication to Third Party Requester Inter Partes Reexamination

REEXAMINATION CONTROL NO. : 95001914 PATENT NO. : 7932923 TECHNOLOGY CENTER : 3999 ART UNIT : 3992

Enclosed is a copy of the latest communication from the United States Patent and Trademark Office in the above identified Reexamination proceeding. 37 CFR 1.903.

Prior to the filing of a Notice of Appeal, each time the patent owner responds to this communication, the third party requester of the inter partes reexamination may once file written comments within a period of 30 days from the date of service of the patent owner's response. This 30-day time period is statutory (35 U.S.C. 314(b)(2)), and, as such, it cannot be extended. See also 37 CFR 1.947.

If an ex parte reexamination has been merged with the inter partes reexamination, no responsive submission by any ex parte third party requester is permitted.

All correspondence relating to this inter partes reexamination proceeding should be directed to the Central Reexamination Unit at the mail, FAX, or hand-carry addresses given at the end of the communication enclosed with this transmittal.

PTOL-2070(Rev.07-04)

DOCKE

	Control No.	Patent Under Reexamination				
ORDER GRANTING/DENYING	95/001,914	7,932,923				
<b>REQUEST FOR INTER PARTES</b>	Examiner	Art Unit				
REEXAMINATION	DEANDRA HUGHES	3992				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
The request for <i>inter partes</i> reexamination has been considered. Identification of the claims, the references relied on, and the rationale supporting the determination are attached.						
Attachment(s):	ſO/SB/08 ☐Other:					
1. X The request for <i>inter partes</i> reexamination is GRANTED.						
An Office action is attached with this order.						
An Office action will follow in due course.						
2. The request for <i>inter partes</i> reexamination is DENIED.						
This decision is not appealable. 35 U.S.C. 312(c). Requester may seek review of a denial by petition to the Director of the USPTO within ONE MONTH from the mailing date hereof. 37 CFR 1.927. EXTENSIONS OF TIME ONLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.183. In due course, a refund under 37 CFR 1.26(c) will be made to requester.						
All correspondence relating to this <i>inter partes</i> reexamination proceeding should be directed to the <b>Central Reexamination Unit</b> at the mail, FAX, or hand-carry addresses given at the end of this Order.						
		Þ				
·						
OCKET						

ALA

Control Number: 95/001,914 Art Unit: 3992

#### DECISION

1. The request for *inter partes* reexamination filed Feb. 29, 2012 asserts that

claims 1-41 of USP 7,732,923 ("'923 patent") are unpatentable.

. . .

2. Upon review, the request establishes a reasonable likelihood that Requester will prevail with respect to <u>claims 1-41</u> of the '923 patent.

**OCKET LARM** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Δ

## **References Cited in Request**

3. A total of eight references, applied alone or in certain combinations, have been

asserted in the request as providing teachings relevant to the claims of the '923 patent.

The references are as follows:

- (1) USP 5,969,755 to Courtney issued Oct. 19, 1999. ("**Courtney-US**")
- (2) EP 0967584A2 to Courtney published Dec. 29, 1999. ("Courtney-EP")
- (3) Courtney, Jonathan. Automatic Video Indexing via Object Motion Analysis. Pattern Recognition. Vol. 30. No. 4. pp. 607-625. 1997. ("Courtney-NPL")
- (4) USP 6,628,835 to Brill et al. issued Sep. 30, 2003. ("Brill")
- (5) USP 6,721,454 to Qian et al. issued Apr. 13, 2004. ("Qian")
- (6) USP 7,658,635 to Paek et al. issued Jan. 26, 2010. ("**Paek**")
- Olson et al. Moving Object Detection and Event Recognition Algorithms for Smart Cameras. Proceedings of the 1997 Image Understanding Workshop. pgs. 159-175. May 1997. ("Olson")
- (8) Shotton et al. Object Tracking and Event Recognition in Biological Microscopy Videos. 5<sup>th</sup> Int'l Conf. On Pattern Recognition (ICPR2000). Technical Report UMA-DAC-00/26. Sept. 3-8, 2000. ("Shotton")

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.