### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

# BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Canon Inc., Canon U.S.A., Inc., and Axis Communications AB,

Petitioners,

v.

Avigilon Fortress Corporation,

Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2019-00311

U.S. Patent No. 7,932,923

# PATENT OWNER'S OPPOSITION TO PETITIONERS' MOTION TO SUBMIT SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.123(a)

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

		Patent Owner's Opp. to Petitioners' Mot. to Submit Supp. In IPR2019-002	
		TABLE OF CONTENTS	511
I.	INT	RODUCTION	1
II.	CHA	TITIONERS' EXHIBITS ARE PROPERLY ARACTERIZED AS SUPPLEMENTAL EVIDENCE, NOT PLEMENTAL INFORMATION	2
III.	EVEN IF THE EXHIBITS ARE NOT SUPPLEMENTAL EVIDENCE, PETITIONERS' MOTION SHOULD STILL BE DENIED		
	А.	Petitioners Improperly Attempt To Submit Exhibits 1049, 1051, And 1053 Without The Board's Authorization	
	В.	Petitioners' Remaining Requests Should Also Be Denied.	6
IV.	CON	NCLUSION	10

Exhibit No.	Description
2001	Declaration of Michael W. De Vries in Support of Unopposed Motion to Appear Pro Hac Vice on Behalf of Patent Owner Avigilon Fortress Corporation.
2002	Declaration of Adam R. Alper in Support of Unopposed Motion to Appear Pro Hac Vice on Behalf of Patent Owner Avigilon Fortress Corporation.
2003	Declaration of Akshay S. Deoras in Support of Unopposed Motion to Appear Pro Hac Vice on Behalf of Patent Owner Avigilon Fortress Corporation.
2004	37 C.F.R. § 1.132 Declaration of Kenneth A. Zeger (excerpt of U.S. Patent No. 7,868,912 Reexamination).
2005	Thomas Olson & Frank Brill, <i>Moving Object Detection &amp; Event</i> <i>Recognition Algorithms for Smart Cameras</i> , 1 PROC. 1997 IMAGE UNDERSTANDING WORKSHOP 159-175 (1997).
2006	Jonathan D. Courtney, <i>Automatic Video Indexing Via Object Motion</i> <i>Analysis</i> , 30(4) PATTERN RECOGNITION 607-625 (1997).
2007	U.S. Patent No. 6,628,835 to Brill et al.
2008	Young Francis Day, et al, <i>Spatio-Temporal Modeling of Video Data</i> for On-Line Object-Oriented Query Processing, Proceedings of the International Conference on Multimedia Computing and Systems 98- 105 (1995).
2009	Second Supplemental Amendment, U.S. Patent No. 7,932,923 (Feb. 4, 2011).
2010	IPR2018-00138; IPR2018-00140, Ex. 2009 (Grindon Dep. Transcript Aug. 15, 2018).

ii

DOCKET

Patent Owner's Opp. to Petitioners' Mot. to Submit Supp. Info. IPR2019-00311

Exhibit No.	Description
2011	Declaration of Jennifer A. Babbitt.
2012	SearchWorks Catalog Entry for Thomas Olson & Frank Brill, <i>Moving</i> <i>Object Detection &amp; Event Recognition Algorithms for Smart Cameras</i> , 1 PROC. 1997 IMAGE UNDERSTANDING WORKSHOP 159-175 (1997).
2013	Scanned Cover and Front Matter of Jonathan D. Courtney, <i>Automatic Video Indexing Via Object Motion Analysis</i> , 30(4) PATTERN RECOGNITION 607-625 (1997)
2014	MRC Standards Wikipedia Search.
2015	Declaration of Jennifer A. Babbitt for Sur-Reply.
2016	LinkedIn Profile of Marilyn McSweeney.
2017	Declaration of Jennifer A. Babbitt in Support of Patent Owner's Opposition to Petitioner's Motion for Authorization to Compel Testimony and/or Documents.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Patent Owner Avigilon Fortress Corporation ("Patent Owner") submits this Opposition to Petitioner Canon Inc., Canon U.S.A., Inc., and Axis Communications AB's (collectively, "Petitioners") Motion to Submit Supplemental Information Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.123(a) (the "Motion") dated August 16, 2019 (Paper 19). Petitioners seek to cure the defects in the Petition and Florio declaration (Ex. 1007) as they relate to the alleged printed publication status of the Kellogg and Brill references. Patent Owner submits that the request should be denied for at least three reasons. First, Petitioners' request is properly characterized as a request to file supplemental evidence, as the Motion makes clear that the purpose of the exhibits is to support the admissibility of the declaration of Emily Florio (Ex. 1007). Such a request is premature, as the proper time to request to file supplemental evidence is in an opposition to a motion to exclude evidence, which has not been filed. Second, Petitioners seek to file supplementary information that they present for the first time in their motion and was not discussed at the August 9, 2019 telephone conference regarding Petitioners' request to file supplemental evidence, or at any time prior in communication between the parties. The Board did not authorize Petitioners to file a motion requesting to file these exhibits, and Patent Owner respectfully submits that Petitioners' request should be denied for that reason as well. Third, Patent Owner requests that the Board deny the Motion to submit the remaining exhibits, which

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

# API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.