Paper No. 13 Filed: July 8, 2019

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CANON INC., CANON U.S.A., INC., and AXIS COMMUNICATIONS AB, Petitioner,

v.

AVIGILON FORTRESS CORPORATION, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2019-00311 Patent 7,923,923 B2 & C1

Before GEORGIANNA W. BRADEN, KIMBERLY McGRAW, and JESSICA C. KAISER, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

McGRAW, Administrative Patent Judge.

DECISION
Granting Institution of *Inter Partes* Review
35 U.S.C. § 314
37 C.F.R. § 42.108



### I. INTRODUCTION

Canon Inc., Canon U.S.A., Inc., and Axis Communications AB ("Petitioner") filed a Petition (Paper 1, "Pet.") requesting an *inter partes* review of all claims (i.e., claims 1–41) of U.S. Patent No. 7,932,923 B2 & C1 (Ex. 1001, "the '923 patent"). *See* 35 U.S.C. § 311. Avigilon Fortress Corporation ("Patent Owner") filed a Preliminary Response. (Paper 9, "Prelim. Resp."). Petitioner filed an authorized Reply to respond to Patent Owner's arguments that Kellogg and Brill are not printed publications (Paper 11, "Reply") to which Patent Owner filed an authorized Sur-Reply (Paper 12, "Sur-Reply").

Applying the standard set forth in 35 U.S.C. § 314(a), which authorizes institution of an *inter partes* review when "the information presented in the petition . . . and any response . . . shows that there is a reasonable likelihood that the petitioner would prevail with respect to at least 1 of the claims challenged in the petition," we institute an *inter partes* review of claims 1–41 of the '923 patent.

Our factual findings and conclusions at this stage of the proceeding, including claim construction, are preliminary and are based on the evidentiary record developed thus far. This is not a final decision as to patentability of claims for which *inter partes* review is instituted. Any final decision will be based on the full record as developed during trial.

## A. Related Proceedings

Concurrent with the instant Petition, Petitioner filed another petition for *inter partes* review of the '923 patent. *Canon Inc. et al. v. Avigilon Fortress Corp.*, Case IPR2019-00314 (PTAB Nov. 12, 2018) (Paper 1).



We issued final written decisions in two cases filed by Petitioner involving related U.S. Patent No. 8,564,661 B2 ("the '661 patent"). Axis Communications AB et al. v. Avigilon Fortress Corp., Case IPR2018-00138 (PTAB May 30, 2019) (Paper 25); Axis Communications AB et al. v. Avigilon Fortress Corp., Case IPR2018-00140 (PTAB May 30, 2019) (Paper 25). In both of these proceedings, we determined that Petitioner had shown by a preponderance of the evidence that each of the challenged claims of the '661 patent are unpatentable. We also recently denied institution of inter partes review of the related '912 patent. Canon Inc. et al. v. Avigilon Fortress Corp., Case IPR2019-00235 (PTAB June 4, 2019) (Paper 19) (stating Petitioner did not show asserted reference qualified as a prior art printed publication); Canon Inc. et al. v. Avigilon Fortress Corp., Case IPR2019-00236 (PTAB June 4, 2019) (Paper 12) (exercising discretion under 35 U.S.C. § 325(d) to decline institution).

The '923 patent was subject to *ex parte* reexamination, during which the United States Patent and Trademark Office (the "USPTO") determined that claims 1–41 were patentable as amended. *See* Ex. 1001, Reexamination Certificate 1:29–32.

# B. The '923 Patent (Ex. 1001)

The '923 patent, titled "Video Surveillance System Employing Video Primitives," is generally directed to methods, devices, and computer-readable storage media for video surveillance. *See* Ex. 1001, at [54], [57], Reexamination Certificate 1:29–4:28. In one embodiment, the disclosed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Petitioner states the '923 patent, the '661 patent, and U.S. Patent No. 7,868,912 B2 ("the '912 patent") are related as each claim priority to U.S. Application No. 09/694,712. Pet. 8.



video surveillance system operates by (1) obtaining source video, (2) extracting "video primitives" from the video, (3) archiving the video primitives, (4) extracting "event occurrences" from the video primitives using "event discriminators," and (5) undertaking a response, as appropriate. Ex. 1001, Fig. 4, 4:30–31, 11:63–65. "Video primitive" refers to an "observable attribute" of an object viewed in a video feed, such as the size, shape, position, speed, color, and texture of the object. *Id.* at 7:6–12. The '923 patent explains that event discriminators are used to filter the video primitives to determine if any event occurrences occurred. Id. at 10:66-11:1. For example, an event discriminator can look for a "wrong way" event as defined by a person traveling the "wrong way" into an area between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. *Id.* at 11:1–4. The event discriminator checks the video primitives and determines if any video primitives exist which have the following properties: a timestamp between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., a classification of "person" or "group of people," a "position inside the area," and a "wrong direction of motion". Id. at 11:4-9.

## C. Claims

Petitioner challenges all claims (i.e., claims 1–41) of the '923 patent. Claims 1, 8, 9, 20, 22, 29, and 30 are independent. Claim 1 is representative and is reproduced below.

- 1. A method comprising:
- [a] detecting an object in a video from a single camera;
- [b] detecting a plurality of attributes of the object by analyzing the video from said single camera, the plurality of attributes including at least one of a physical attribute and a temporal attribute, each attribute representing a characteristic of the detected object;



- [c] selecting a new user rule after detecting the plurality of attributes;
- [d1] after detecting the plurality of attributes and after selecting the new user rule, identifying an event of the object that is not one of the detected attributes of the object by applying the new user rule to the plurality of detected attributes,
- [d2] wherein applying the new user rule to the plurality of detected attributes comprises applying the new user rule to only the plurality of detected attributes;
- [e] wherein the plurality of attributes that are detected are independent of which event is identified,
- [f] wherein the step of identifying the event of the object identifies the event without reprocessing the video, and
- [g] wherein the event of the object refers to the object engaged in an activity.

Ex. 1001, Reexamination Certificate, 1:34–54 (matter in brackets added for clarity; matter in italics indicates additions made to the claim during the reexamination proceeding).

# D. Asserted Grounds of Unpatentability

Petitioner argues the challenged claims are unpatentable based upon the following grounds:



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

