

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
\_\_\_\_\_  
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD  
\_\_\_\_\_

GUEST TEK INTERACTIVE ENTERTAINMENT LTD.,

Petitioner,

v.

NOMADIX, INC.,

Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No. 8,626,922 to Short et al.

Issued: January 7, 2014

Filed: April 26, 2011

Title: SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR DYNAMIC DATA TRANSFER  
MANAGEMENT ON A PER SUBSCRIBER BASIS IN A COMMUNICATIONS  
NETWORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IPR2019-00258  
\_\_\_\_\_

**Declaration of Dr. Peter Dordal**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|       |   |    |
|-------|---|----|
| I.    | INTRODUCTION .....  | 1  |
| II.   | QUALIFICATIONS AND PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE .....                            | 1  |
| III.  | MATERIALS CONSIDERED IN FORMING MY OPINIONS.....                            | 3  |
| IV.   | SUMMARY OF OPINION .....  | 3  |
| V.    | UNDERSTANDING OF LEGAL PRINCIPLES .....                                     | 4  |
| VI.   | THE ‘922 PATENT.....  | 7  |
| VII.  | CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....  | 11 |
| VIII. | LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....                                     | 13 |
| IX.   | TECHNICAL BACKGROUND AND STATE OF THE ART AT TIME OF ALLEGED INVENTION..... | 14 |
| X.    | OVERVIEW OF SPECIFIC PRIOR ART.....   | 23 |
| A.    | U.S. Patent No. 5,864,540 (“Bonomi”).....                                   | 23 |
| B.    | U.S. Patent No. 7,392,279 (“Chandran”).....                                 | 26 |
| C.    | U.S. Patent No. 6,587,433 (“Borella”).....                                  | 29 |
| D.    | INDEX Project Report #98-010P (“Report #98-010P”).....                      | 31 |
| E.    | U.S. Patent No. 5,623,492 (“Teraslinna”).....                               | 34 |
| XI.   | OPINIONS REGARDING OBVIOUSNESS OF CLAIMS 1 AND 9 OF THE ‘922 PATENT.....    | 36 |
| A.    | My Opinion Regarding the Combination of Bonomi and Borella.....             | 37 |
| B.    | My Opinion Regarding the Combination of Chandran and Report #98-010P.....   | 46 |
| C.    | My Opinion Regarding the Combination of Teraslinna and Bonomi.....          | 52 |

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

1. My name is Dr. Peter Dordal, and I have been retained as a technical expert by counsel for Petitioner Guest-Tek Interactive Entertainment Ltd. to provide assistance in the above captioned *inter partes* review proceeding. Specifically, I have been asked by counsel to opine on the validity of claims 1 and 9 of U.S. Patent No. 8,626,922 (“the ‘922 patent”). This report contains a statement of my opinions formed in this matter and provides the bases and reasons for those opinions. I make the following statements based on my own personal knowledge and, if called as a witness, I could and would testify to the following.

## **II. QUALIFICATIONS AND PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE**

2. I have included as Attachment A a copy of my current *curriculum vitae*, which provides an overview of my qualifications and professional experience in relation to this matter. To summarize, I received my undergraduate and masters’ degrees in Mathematics in 1978 from the University of Chicago, and my Ph.D. in Mathematics from Harvard University in 1982.

3. Since receiving my doctorate degree in 1982, I have been employed as a faculty member at Loyola University Chicago, first in the Mathematical Sciences Department and then, starting in 2001, in the newly formed Computer Science Department. I received tenure in 1988, and was at that time promoted to Associate Professor, my current rank. For the past 36 years, I have focused on teaching

computer programming courses to undergraduate and graduate students, including Programming Languages, Computer Networks, and Advanced TCP/IP Networks. During part of my tenure, I was Acting Chair and Graduate Program Director for the Computer Science Department. I am also currently supervising a student thesis called *Software-defined Networking and Dynamic Traffic Rerouting*.

4. From 1984-2003, I was the System Administrator for departmental computing facilities at Loyola University. As System Administrator, my responsibilities included managing University computers and network services, supporting University workstations, and maintaining University computer labs. Sometimes this work entailed significant software development; for example, I implemented a TCP/IP layer for the University that allowed communication between computers supporting only the 3BNET networking software and a server supporting TCP/IP. My other exemplary experiences in system management and programming through the University are set forth in Attachment A.

5. Since 1982, I have also spent a significant amount of time, as part of my everyday course preparation and teaching, conducting research on, as well as performing and demonstrating, computer programming and network device management and support.

6. In addition to my professional experience, I have published a substantial number of books and articles on computer programming networks. For

example, I authored the book *An Introduction to Computer Networks*, published by Loyola University of Chicago in 2012. I also significantly contributed to the book *Computer Networks: A Systems Approach*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, Peterson & Davie, Morgan-Kaufmann 2000, which provides computer network and protocol exercises for students. I fill list of my publications and contributions is shown in my attached *curriculum vitae*.

7. I am a member of the Mathematical Association of America and the Association for Symbolic Logic.

### **III. MATERIALS CONSIDERED IN FORMING MY OPINIONS**

8. In preparing this declaration, I have reviewed and relied on various materials, including but not limited to the following exemplary materials: (1) certain prior art, including the prior art cited in this declaration; (2) the '922 patent; (3) the '922 patent's prosecution history; and (4) all of the other materials referenced in this declaration.

### **IV. SUMMARY OF OPINION**

9. As described in more detail below, it is my opinion that claims 1 and 9 of the '922 patent would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art as of October 22, 1999, which I understand is the earliest date of the purported invention claimed in the '922 patent, based on any one of the following grounds:

(1) Obviousness over U.S. Patent No. 5,864,540 ("Bonomi") in view of

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.