UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
Axis Communications AB, Canon Inc., and Canon U.S.A., Inc.,
Petitioner
V.
Avigilon Fortress Corporation,
Patent Owner
Case: <u>IPR2019-00236</u>
U.S. Patent No. 7,868,912 Issue Date: January 11, 2011
Title: Video Surveillance System Employing Video Primitives

DECLARATION OF JOHN R. GRINDON, D.Sc.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	BAC	CKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS2				
II.	MAT	TERIALS CONSIDERED7				
III.	LEGAL STANDARDS FOR PATENTABILITY10					
	A.	Anticipation Analysis: 35 U.S.C. § 10211				
	B.	Obviousness Analysis: 35 U.S.C. § 103				
IV.	THE '912 PATENT					
	A.	Overview17				
	B.	Pros	ecution History	21		
		1.	Original Examination	21		
		2.	Inter partes and ex partes reexamination	22		
		3.	Prior Proceedings Involving Related Patents	23		
	C.	Leve	el of Ordinary Skill in the Art	25		
V.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION					
	A.	Single Processor or Multiple Processors (claims 6-22)27				
	B.	"filtering"2				
	C.	Inde	pendence-based limitations	31		
		1.	Independence Argument (1): requires identifying an ever refers to one or more objects engaged in an activity by analyzing the detected attributes			
		2.	Independence Argument (3): the identified event is not on the detected attributes			
		3.	Independence Argument (2): the detected attributes are "independent" of the event identified or detected	40		



	D.	analyzing "only" certain attributes4	9			
VI.	PRIO	DRITY DATE5				
VII.	OVERVIEW OF PRIOR ART5					
	A.	State of the Prior Art and Applicant Admitted Prior Art57				
	В.	Talmon59				
	<i>C</i> .	Aspectus62				
	D.	Brill63				
	E.	Motivation to Combine <i>Talmon</i> with <i>Aspectus</i> or <i>Brill</i> 6	7			
		1. Talmon and Aspectus6	7			
		2. Talmon and Brill6	8			
VIII.	NEW ISSUES OF PATENTABILITY					
	A.	Dispute Regarding the Independence-Based Claim Elements in the Prior Proceedings				
	B.	Dispute Regarding Priority Date				
IX.	CLAIMS 1-4 and 6-36 OF THE '912 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE OVER THE PRIOR ART					
	A.	Ground 1: <i>Talmon</i> anticipates claims 1-4 and 6-36	4			
		1. Independent Claim 1	4			
		2. Claims 2, 7, 10, 14, and 21	6			
		3. Claims 3, 8, 11	7			
		4. Claim 4	9			
		5. Independent Claim 6	0			
		6. Independent Claim 99	3			



		7.	Independent Claim 129	5
		8.	Claim 139	7
		9.	Claim 159	8
		10.	Claim 169	8
		11.	Claim 179	9
		12.	Independent Claim 189	9
		13.	Claims 19, 2210	4
		14.	Claim 2010	4
		15.	Claims 23-25	5
		16.	Claims 26-2910	9
		17.	Claims 30-33	3
		18.	Claims 34-3611	8
	B.	Grou	nds 2-3: Obviousness of Claims 1-4, 6-3611	9
		1.	"event that is not one of the [determined/detected] attributes" and "combination of the [received] [determined] attributes" [A Claims]	
		2.	Claims 3, 8, 1112	1
		3.	Claim 18 – <i>Talmon</i> in view of <i>Aspectus</i> or <i>Brill</i> 12	4
		4.	Claims 26-33 – <i>Talmon</i> in view of <i>Aspectus</i> or <i>Brill</i> 12	4
X.	CON	CLUS	ION12	.5

Case No. IPR2019-00236 U.S. Patent No. 7,868,912

- I, Dr. John R. Grindon, declare as follows:
- 1. I make this Declaration based upon my own personal knowledge and, if called upon to testify, would testify competently to the matters stated herein.
- 2. I have been asked by Axis Communications AB, Canon Inc., and Canon U.S.A., Inc. (collectively "Petitioner") to provide technical assistance in connection with the Petition for *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 7,868,912 ("the '912 patent"). This Declaration, which I understand will be filed with the Petition, is a statement of certain opinions I have formed on issues related to the patentability of claims 1-4 and 6-36 of the '912 patent.
- 3. It is my opinion that each of claims 1-4 and 6-36 of the '912 Patent is unpatentable in view of the identified prior art. My opinions are stated in detail in this Declaration, with reference to the exhibits attached to the Petition and any additional exhibits attached to my Declaration.
- 4. I am being paid at my customary rate of \$500 per hour for my time spent in study, preparation, and testifying in this matter. I am also being reimbursed for reasonable and customary expenses associated with my work. No portion of my compensation is dependent or otherwise contingent upon the specifics of my testimony or the results of this matter. My compensation is not contingent upon the outcome of this *inter partes* review.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

