

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Control No.	: 90/012,878	Art Unit	: 3992
Patent No.	: 7,868,912	Examiner	: Adam L. Basehoar
Filed	: May 24, 2013	Conf. No.	: 3806
Customer No.	: 06449	Atty. No.	: 4079-117

Title: VIDEO SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM EMPLOYING VIDEO PRIMITIVES

Mail Stop *Ex Parte* Reexam
Central Reexamination Unit
Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT AND REPLY

This Amendment and Reply (“Reply”) is in response to the Final Office Action dated March 27, 2014.

Amendments to the Claims begin on page **2** of this paper.

A Listing of the Status of Claims and Support for Requested Claim Changes begins on page **3** of this paper.

Remarks begin on page **4** of this paper.

Amendments to the Claims

Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.530 (d)(2) and (f)(2), please cancel claims 34-41 and amend claim 32 as follows:

32. (New/Amended) A video system, comprising:
an input in communication with a communications channel;
a processor configured to receive from the input a stream of detected attributes received over the communications channel, the attributes being attributes of first and second objects detected in a video, the processor configured to determine an event that is not one of the detected attributes by analyzing a combination of the received attributes and configured to provide, upon a determination of the event, at least one of an alert to a user, information for a report and an instruction for taking an action, the event being the first and second objects coming together, wherein analyzing the combination of the received attributes comprises filtering,
wherein the attributes received over the communications channel are independent of the event to be determined by the processor, and
wherein the processor is configured to determine the event without reprocessing the video.

34-41. (Canceled)

Status of Claims and Support for Requested Claim Changes

Claims 1-4 and 6-44 are pending in this reexamination. Claim 5 was previously canceled. By way of this response, Patent Owner seeks to amend claim 32 and to cancel claims 34-41. Support for the amendment to claim 32, which adds “the event being the first and second objects coming together,” is found throughout the specifications of U.S. Patent No. 7,868,912 and U.S. Patent Application No. 09/987,707 (“the ‘707 application”), filed on November 15, 2001. *See* ‘707 application at ¶ 99 (disclosing “two objects come together” as an example of “an event discriminator for multiple objects”). *See also* 37 C.F.R. § 1.132 Declaration of Dr. Kenneth A. Zeger Dec. (“Zeger Dec.”), ¶ 62.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.116(b)(1) and (2), Patent Owner respectfully submits that the requested claim amendments be entered since the amendments present the objected to claim 32 in the form indicated as being allowable in the final Office Action and cancel the rejected claims 34-41. No new matter is added as a result of entry of the requested claim amendments. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.530(j), none of the requested amendments enlarges the scope of the patented claims.

Patent Owner respectfully requests entry of the proposed amendments.

Remarks

In response to the Final Office Action dated March 27, 2014, Patent Owner respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the objection and rejection.

I. Patentability and/or Confirmation

Patent Owner wishes to thank the Examiner for the indication of the confirmation of claims 1-4 and 6-22 and that claims 23-33 and 42-44 are patentable. *See* Final Office Action at p. 14.

II. Claim Objection

The Office objected to claim 32 for “not contain[ing] the new limitation of ‘first and second objects coming together’” and required “[a]ppropriate clarification and/or correction.” Final Office Action at p. 12. By way of this response, claim 32 is amended to additionally recite “the event being the first and second objects coming together.” Patent Owner respectfully requests entry of the amendment and withdrawal of the objection to claim 32.

III. Claim Rejection

The Office rejected claims 34-41 under 35 U.S.C. § 112 (pre-AIA), second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention. Final Office Action at pp. 12-13. In order to expedite reexamination and without acquiescing to the Office’s position, Patent Owner, by way of this response, is cancelling without prejudice or disclaimer claims 34-41. Patent Owner respectfully requests cancellation of claims 34-41 and withdrawal of the rejection.

IV. **Conclusion**

Patent Owner respectfully requests issuance of a Notice of Intent to Issue *Ex Parte*
Reexamination Certificate.

Respectfully submitted,
ObjectVideo, Inc.

/Martin M. Zoltick/_____

Nancy J. Linck
Reg. No. 31,920
Martin M. Zoltick
Reg. No. 35,745
Michael V. Battaglia
Reg. No. 64,932

April 16, 2014

ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, PC
607 14th Street, NW
Suite 800
Washington, DC 20005
TEL: (202) 783-6040
FAX: (202) 783-6031
E-MAIL: nlinck@rfem.com, mzoltick@rfem.com, mbattaglia@rfem.com

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.