



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
90/012,878	05/24/2013	7868912	4079-117	3806

6449                      7590                      03/27/2014  
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C.  
607 14th Street, N.W.  
SUITE 800  
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER
----------

BASEHOAR, ADAM L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3992

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

03/27/2014

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



**DO NOT USE IN PALM PRINTER**

(THIRD PARTY REQUESTER'S CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS)

DINESH AGARWAL, P.C.

5350 SHAWNEE ROAD

SUITE 330

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22312

**EX PARTE REEXAMINATION COMMUNICATION TRANSMITTAL FORM**

REEXAMINATION CONTROL NO. 90/012,878.

PATENT NO. 7868912.

ART UNIT 3992.

Enclosed is a copy of the latest communication from the United States Patent and Trademark Office in the above identified *ex parte* reexamination proceeding (37 CFR 1.550(f)).

Where this copy is supplied after the reply by requester, 37 CFR 1.535, or the time for filing a reply has passed, no submission on behalf of the *ex parte* reexamination requester will be acknowledged or considered (37 CFR 1.550(g)).

Art Unit: 3992

The present application is being examined under the pre-AIA first to invent provisions.

### DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office action addresses original claims 1-22 and newly presented claims 23-44 of United States Patent Number 7,868,912 B2 (Venetianer et al), for which it has been determined in the Order Granting Ex Parte Reexamination (hereafter the "Order") mailed 06/20/2013 that a substantial new question of patentability was raised in the Request for *Ex Parte* reexamination filed on 05/24/2013 (hereafter the "Request"). A Non-Final Action was mailed 08/30/2013 rejecting all original claims 1-22.
2. This is a Final Action in response to the Patent Owner's (PO) response ("Amendment and Reply") filed 10/30/2013. By virtue of an amendment in said PO Amendment and Reply, original claim 5 has been cancelled and new claims 23-44 have been added. Said amendment has been entered and made of record. Thus, claims 1-4 and 6-44 are now currently pending and subject to this reexamination.
3. Patent Owner's Amendment and Reply filed 10/30/2013 further includes accompanying 37 C.F.R. 1.132 Declarations by Dr. Kenneth A. Zeger (hereafter the "Zeger Declaration"), Christopher Capuano (hereafter the "Capuano Declaration"), and Peter L. Venetianer (hereafter the "Venetianer Declaration"). Said Declarations, including their related Exhibits, have been fully considered and made of record as discussed below.

***Reexamination***

4. The Patent Owner is reminded of the continuing responsibility under 37 CFR 1.565(a) to apprise the Office of any litigation activity, or other prior or concurrent proceeding, involving Patent No. 7,868,912 B2 throughout the course of this reexamination proceeding. The third party requester is also reminded of the ability to similarly apprise the Office of any such activity or proceeding throughout the course of this reexamination proceeding. See MPEP §§ 2207, 2282 and 2286.

***Information Disclosure Statement***

5. Regarding Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) submissions, MPEP 2256 recites the following: “Where patents, publications, and other such items of information are submitted by a party (patent owner or requester) in compliance with the requirements of the rules, the requisite degree of consideration to be given to such information will be normally limited by the degree to which the party filing the information citation has explained the content and relevance of the information. The initials of the Examiner placed adjacent to the citations on the form PTO/SB/08A and 08B or its equivalent, without an indication to the contrary in the record, do not signify that the information has been considered by the Examiner any further than to the extent noted above.”

Art Unit: 3992

Accordingly, the IDS submission filed by Patent Owner on 10/29/2013 has been considered by the Examiner only with the scope required by MPEP 2256, unless otherwise noted.

*References Discussed in This Final Action*

- **Gilge** - (German Patent Publication No. DE 101 53 484 A1, published 05/08/2003.  
Reference to the Gilge Patent Publication will be made via its accompanying certified translation (See: Attachment C of the Request))
- **Lipton** – ("ObjectVideo Forensics: Activity-Based Video Indexing and Retrieval For Physical Security Applications," Intelligent Distributed Surveillance Systems (IDSS-04), The IEE, Savoy Place, London, U.K., February 23, 2004)
- **Courtney** – (U.S. Patent No. 5,969,755, published 10/19/1999)
- **Olson** – ("Moving Object Detection and Event Recognition Algorithms for Smart Cameras," Proceedings of the 1997 Image Understanding Workshop, New Orleans, May 1997, pp. 159-175)
- **Brill** – (U.S. Patent No. 6,628,835, published 09/30/2003)
- **Day** – ("Object Oriented Conceptual Modeling of Video Data," Proceedings on the Eleventh International Conference on Data Engineering, IEEE, March 1995, pp. 401-408)
- **JP '783** – (Japanese Published Application No. 1997-130783, published 05/16/1997.  
Reference to the JP '783 Published Application will be made via its accompanying certified translation (See: Attachment J of the Request))

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.