

Filed: December 30, 2019

Doug G. Muehlhauser (Reg. No. 42,018)
William H. Shreve (Reg. No. 35,678)
Payson LeMeilleur (*pro hac vice*)
Mark Lezama (*pro hac vice*)
Kendall M. Loebbaka (*pro hac vice*)
KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP
2040 Main Street, Fourteenth Floor
Irvine, CA 92614
Tel.: (949) 760-0404
Fax: (949) 760-9502
E-mail: BoxNomadix@knobbe.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GUEST TEK INTERACTIVE ENTERTAINMENT LTD.,
Petitioner,

v.

NOMADIX, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2019-00211
Patent 7,953,857

PATENT OWNER'S SUR-REPLY TO REPLY IN SUPPORT OF
PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	2
III. THE BOARD SHOULD CONFIRM THE PATENTABILITY OF THE '857 PATENT	7
A. Petitioner fails to carry its burden for Grounds 1 and 3	7
1. Bonomi does not calculate a delay period	7
2. A POSITA would not be motivated to combine Bonomi and Borella	10
3. A POSITA would not be motivated to combine Bonomi and Teraslinna	14
B. Petitioner fails to carry its burden for Ground 3	16
1. Petitioner did not meet its burden to show Rupp is prior art	16
2. Rupp does not disclose a user-selected network communication bandwidth or data transmission parameter associated with the user within the constraints of claims 1 and 9	17
3. One of ordinary skill in the art would neither be motivated to combine Chandran and Rupp, nor have a reasonable expectation of succeeding in combining the two to arrive at the claimed inventions	19
4. Petitioner fails to carry its burden of demonstrating Rupp is analogous art	23

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page No(s).

<i>Apple Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co.</i> , 839 F.3d 1034 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	15, 16
<i>Bausch & Lomb, Inc. v. Barnes-Hind/Hydrocurve, Inc.</i> , 796 F.2d 443 (Fed. Cir. 1986)	13
<i>In re Clay</i> , 966 F.2d 656 (Fed. Cir. 1992)	23, 24
<i>In re Gordon</i> , 733 F.2d 900 (Fed. Cir. 1984)	14
<i>in Eli Lilly and Co. v. Actavis Elizabeth LLC</i> , 731 F. Supp. 2d 348 (D.N.J. 2010), <i>aff'd in relevant part</i> , 435 Fed. App'x 917 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	13
<i>InTouch Techs., Inc. v. VGO Commc'ns, Inc.</i> , 751 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	13
<i>In re Klein</i> , 647 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	24
<i>McGinley v. Franklin Sports, Inc.</i> , 262 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	14
<i>Merck Sharp & Dohme B.V. v. Warner Chilcott Co., LLC</i> , 711 Fed. App'x 633 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 19, 2017)	13
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc.</i> , 789 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2015), <i>overruled in part on other grounds</i> <i>by Aqua Prod., Inc. v. Matal</i> , 872 F.3d 1290 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	3
<i>In re NTP, Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	13

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(Cont'd)

Page No(s).

<i>PPC Broadband, Inc. v. Corning Optical Commc'ns RF, LLC,</i> 815 F.3d 747 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	2, 3
<i>Power Integrations, Inc. v. Fairchild Semiconductor Int'l, Inc.,</i> 711 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	3
<i>Wang Labs., Inc. v. Toshiba Corp.,</i> 993 F.2d 858 (Fed. Cir. 1993)	23, 24
<i>In re Wesslau,</i> 353 F.2d 238 (C.C.P.A. 1965)	12

EXHIBIT LIST

<i>Exhibit No.</i>	<i>Description</i>
2001	(Withdrawn) Declaration of Dean Sirovica, Ph.D.
2002	Declaration of Payson LeMeilleur in Support of Motion to Appear Pro Hac Vice on Behalf of Patent Owner Nomadix
2003	Declaration of Kendall M. Loebbaka in Support of Motion to Appear Pro Hac Vice on Behalf of Patent Owner Nomadix
2004	Declaration of Stuart G. Stubblebine, Ph.D.
2005	Deposition Transcript of Dr. Peter Dordal, dated September 13, 2019
2006	Errata and Signature Pages – Dordal Transcript
2007	Declaration of Mark Lezama in Support of Motion to Appear Pro Hac Vice on Behalf of Patent Owner Nomadix

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.