

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GUEST TEK INTERACTIVE ENTERTAINMENT LTD.,

Petitioner,

v.

NOMADIX, INC.,

Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No. 7,953,857 to Short *et al.*

Issued: May 31, 2011

Filed: April 30, 2010

Title: SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR DYNAMIC DATA TRANSFER
MANAGEMENT ON A PER SUBSCRIBER BASIS IN A COMMUNICATIONS
NETWORK

IPR2019-00211

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,953,857

FILED ELECTRONICALLY UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(b)(1)

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"

Patent Trial and Appeal Board

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LISTING OF EXHIBITS..... iv

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8.....2

 A. Real Party-in-Interest [37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)].....2

 B. Related Matters [37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)].....2

 C. Lead and Backup Counsel; Service Information [37 C.F.R. §§ 42.8(b)(3)-(4)]2

III. REQUIREMENTS UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.103 and 42.104.....3

 A. Payment of Fees [37 C.F.R. § 42.103].....3

 B. Grounds for Standing [37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)]4

IV. RELIEF REQUESTED [37 C.F.R. § 42.22(a)]4

V. THE '857 PATENT.....4

 A. Overview of Patent.....4

 B. Prosecution History for the '857 Patent6

 C. Claims at Issue.....7

 D. Claim Construction9

VI. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART9

VII. PRIOR ART.....10

 A. '540 Patent (Ex. 1004)12

 B. '279 Patent (Ex. 1005)14

 C. '433 Patent (Ex. 1006)17

 D. 98-010P Report (Ex. 1007)18

E.	'492 Patent (Ex. 1008)	21
VIII.	CLAIMS 1 AND 9 ARE OBVIOUS OVER THE '540 PATENT IN VIEW OF THE '433 PATENT.....	23
A.	The '540 patent discloses all limitations of the claims, except for the limitations reciting a “user device” and bandwidths selected by the “user.”	24
B.	The '433 patent discloses the remaining limitations of the claims.	32
C.	A POSITA would have been motivated to combine the '540 patent with the '433 patent to arrive at claims 1 and 9.	35
IX.	CLAIMS 1 AND 9 ARE OBVIOUS OVER THE '279 PATENT IN VIEW OF THE 98-010P REPORT.	41
A.	The '279 patent discloses all limitations of the claims, except for limitations [1.C] and [9.B].	41
B.	The 98-010P Report discloses the remaining limitations of claims 1 and 9.	47
C.	A POSITA would have been motivated to combine the '279 patent with the 98-010P Report to arrive at claims 1 and 9.	50
X.	CLAIMS 1 AND 9 ARE OBVIOUS OVER THE '492 PATENT IN VIEW OF THE '540 PATENT.....	55
A.	The '492 patent discloses all limitations of claims 1 and 9, except for the limitations regarding calculating a delay period and delaying transmission based on the delay period.....	55
B.	The '540 patent discloses the remaining limitations of claims 1 and 9.	63
C.	A POSITA would have been motivated to combine the '492 and '540 patents to arrive at claims 1 and 9.	64
XI.	CONCLUSION.....	68

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

Nomadix, Inc. v. Guest-Tek Interactive Entertainment Ltd.,
Case No. 2:16-CV-08033-AB-FFM2

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 10210
35 U.S.C. § 1032, 4,10, 23, 67
35 U.S.C. § 3111
35 U.S.C. § 315(a)-(b)4

Other Authorities

37 C.F.R. § 42.82
37 C.F.R. § 42.22(a).....4
37 C.F.R. § 42.1001, 9
37 C.F.R. § 42.101 – 42.1043, 4

LISTING OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,953,857
1002	Declaration of Dr. Peter Dordal
1003	Excerpts from Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 7,953,857
1004	U.S. Patent No. 5,864,540
1005	U.S. Patent No. 7,392,279
1006	U.S. Patent No. 6,587,433
1007	IEEE, INDEX Project Report #98-010P (May 1998)
1008	U.S. Patent No. 5,623,492
1009	IEEE, INDEX Project Report #99-010W (April 16, 1999)
1010	U.S. Patent No. 6,496,504
1011	Blake et al., Request for Comments 2475: An Architecture for Differentiated Services, IETF (Dec. 1998)
1012	Declaration of Gerard P. Grenier of IEEE
1013	Printout from IEEE website regarding INDEX Project Report #98-010P
1014	IETF, Request for Comment 2597: Assured Forwarding PHB Group (June 1999)
1015	Andrew M Odlyzko, The economics of the Internet: Utility, utilization, pricing, and Quality of Service, AT&T Labs, July 7, 1998
1016	The ATM Forum, Traffic Management Specification Version 4.0, af-tm-0056.000 (April 1996)
1017	Jeffrey MacKie-Mason, Pricing the Internet, February 10, 1994
1018	Mitrabarun Sarkar, An Assessment of Pricing Mechanisms for the Internet—A Regulatory Imperative, Journal of Electronic Publishing, Volume 1, Issue 1&2, January-February 1995

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.