	Page 125	
1	Digital Evidence Group, L.L.C.	
	1730 M Street, NW, Suite 812	
2	Washington, D.C. 20036	
	(202) 232-0646	
3		
4	SIGNATURE PAGE	
	Case: Guest Tek v. Nomadix, Inc.	
5	Witness Name: Peter Dordal, Ph.D.	
	Deposition Date: September 13, 2019	
6		
7	I do hereby acknowledge that I have read	
	and examined the foregoing pages	
8	of the transcript of my deposition and that:	
9		
10	(Check appropriate box):	
	() The same is a true, correct and	
11	complete transcription of the answers given by	
	me to the questions therein recorded.	
12	(X) Except for the changes noted in the	
	attached Errata Sheet, the same is a true,	
13	correct and complete transcription of the	
	answers given by me to the questions therein	
14	recorded.	
15	0 + 0 = 0	
16	Sept 22, 2019	
17	DATE WITNESS SIGNATURE	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	DATE NOTARY	

www.DigitalEvidenceGroup.com

Digital Evidence Group C'rt 2019

202-232-0646



9/13/2019	Guest Tek Interactive v. Nomadix, Inc.	Peter Dordal, Ph.D.
		Page 126
1	Digital Evidence Group, LLC	
2	1730 M Street, NW, Suite 812	
3	Washington, D.C. 20036	
4	(202)232-0646	
5		
6	ERRATA SHEET	
7		"
8	Case: Guest Tek v. Nomadix, Inc.	
9	Witness Name: Peter Dordal, Ph.D.	
10	Deposition Date: September 13, 2019	
11	Page No. Line No. Change	
12	SEE ATTACHED	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	0.14×10	
21	Pete In Ooll	Sept 22, 2019
22	Signature	Date

www. Digital Evidence Group.com

Digital Evidence Group C'rt 2019

202-232-0646



Errata Sheet

for

Case: Guest Tek v. Nomadix, Inc., IPR2019-00211, IPR2019-00253 Witness Name: Peter Dordal, Ph.D. Deposition Date: September 13, 2019

Page No., Line No.: Change

Page 9, line 3: "mini-net" should be "Mininet"

Page 16, line 11: "integrative" should be "integrated"

Page 16, line 16: "integrative" should be "integrated"

Page 17, line 4: "integrative" should be "integrated"

Page 17, line 12: "integrative" should be "integrated"

Page 18, line 14: the final "and" should be "along" ("the packet is then forwarded along ...")

Page 22, lines 5-7: change to "There are some drawbacks to going above 1500 bytes. <u>There've been</u> some experiments in that direction but they've"

Page 24, line 10: add "is" ("is 10 to 20 steps")

Page 24, line 13: change "," to "?" and capitalize "Layers" ("In the packet? Layers apply to the")

Page 28, line 22: "index" should be fully capitalized ("INDEX")

Page 29, line 6: "index" should be fully capitalized ("INDEX")

Page 29, line 7: "index" should be fully capitalized ("INDEX")

Page 29, line 13: "index" should be fully capitalized ("INDEX")

Page 30, line 19: "index" should be fully capitalized ("INDEX")

Page 33, line 21: "index" should be fully capitalized ("INDEX")

Page 33, line 22: "index" should be fully capitalized ("INDEX")

Page 41, line 2: "in queue" should be "enqueued"

Page 44, lines 12 and 13: "an indication of a network communication bandwidth" should be in quotation marks,

Page 47, lines 19 and 20: "Will traffic rate limit be exceeded" should be in quotation marks and in all CAPs



Page 48 line 16: change "context" to "contexts".

Page 53, line 8: replace "row" with "rho"

Page 53, line 9: replace "row" with "rho"

Page 53, line 10: "flowed" should be "flowing"

Page 53, line 13: replace "row" with "rho"

Page 54, line 1: replace "row" with "rho"

Page 54, line 4: replace "row" with "rho"

Page 54, line 16: replace "row" with "rho"

Page 55, line 8: X does in fact represent the estimated (or theoretical) arrival time, not the actual ("current") arrival time.

Page 55, line 16: replace "row" with "rho"

Page 56, line 10: replace "row" with "rho"

Page 56, line 12: replace "row" with "rho"

Page 56, line 16: the following changes should be made: "time for the new packet is X plus ; the time interval 1"

Page 56, line 17: replace "row" with "rho"

Page 56, line 19: replace "row" with "rho"

Page 56, line 20: replace "row" with "rho"

Page 57, line 11: replace "row" with "rho"

Page 57, line 15: replace "row" with "rho"

Page 57, line 22: replace "row" with "rho"

Page 58, line 3: replace "row" with "rho"

Page 57, lines 21-22: replace "row" with "rho"

Page 60, line 5: replace "row" with "rho"

Page 60, line 6: replace "row" with "rho"

Page 61, line 20: In the '540 patent, the lowercase letter b is used to denote the number of sorting bins,



rather than B. "b sorting bins" is preferred to "B sorting bins".

Page 61, line 21: In the '540 patent, the lowercase letter g is used in preference to the uppercase G used twice here.

Page 62, line 10: "B" should be "b"

Page 62, line 11: "G" should be "g"

Page 62, line 13: the following change should be made: "So we calculate c mod \underline{bg} as \underline{c} mod 2" (that is, insert c and \underline{bg}).

Page 62, line 17: "G" should be "g".

Page 62, line 21: "G" should be "g".

Page 63, line 5: "G" should be "g".

Page 63, line 12: the following change should be made: simpler integer calculations <u>are</u> faster.

Page 63, line 18: "B and G" should be "b and g"

Page 64, lines 6-10: the following should be enclosed in quotation marks: "the optimal number of sorting bins for a sorting unit in the present invention varies depending on the divergence of incoming connection bandwidth parameters."

Page 65, lines 16 and 17: the following should be enclosed in quotation marks: "The invention relates generally to controlling traffic in a communications network"

Page 68, line 11: "C mod bg over G" should be "c mod bg over g".

Page 72, line 15: eliminate "--"

Page 72, line 16: "box" should be "bounds"

Page 79, lines 1-3: the following should be enclosed in quotation marks: "the approximate sorting of the present invention advantageously reduces implementation complexity in the traffic shaper"

Page 84, line 16: "row" should be "rho"

Page 84, line 20: "row" should be "rho"

Page 86, lines 1, 2: should read "time in units of the time range of the corresponding grain"

Page 86, line 7: "G" should be "g"

Page 96, lines 3, 4: "row" should be "rho".

Page 98, line 9: "mini-net" should be "Mininet"



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

