UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC and AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS OF NEW YORK, LLC, Petitioners

v.

ALMIRALL, LLC, Patent Owner

Case IPR2019-00207 Patent 9,517,219

PATENT OWNER ALMIRALL, LLC'S SUR-REPLY PURSUANT TO ORDER [PAPER 9]



TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
I.	Institution Should Be Denied Under § 314(a)	2
II.	Institution Should Be Denied Under § 325(d)	4



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
Abiomed, Inc. et al. v. Maquet Cariovascula, LLC (Abiomed 2), IPR2017-02134, Paper 7	3
Becton, Dickenson and Co. v. B. Braun Melsungen AG, IPR2017-01586, Paper 8	
Hologic, Inc. v. Biomerieux, Inc., IPR2018-00566, Paper 9	5
NetApp Inc. v. Realtime Data LLC, IPR2017-01195, Paper 9	1, 3
OTHER AUTHORITIES	
77 Fed. Reg. 48,680, 48,689 (Aug. 14, 2012)	2



PATENT OWNER'S EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit No.	Description
2001	International Patent Application Publication No. WO 2009/108147 ("Garrett 2")
2002	International Patent Application Publication No. WO 2010/105052 ("Hani")
2003	Redline Comparison of Petitions in IPR2018-00608 and IPR2019-00207
2004	Redline Comparison of Michniak-Kohn Declarations in IPR2018-00608 and IPR2019-00207
2005	Redline Comparison of Gilmore Declarations in IPR2018-00608 and IPR2019-00207
2006	Petitioner's Notice of Paragraph IV Certification to PO (February 22, 2019) (truncated)



The issue before the Board remains whether it should exercise its denial discretion under §§ 314(a) and/or 325(d). This Petition presents the opportunity for the Board to clarify that AIA proceedings are not test-vehicles to be gamed or gimmicked – not least by Petitioners, as here, maintaining parallel validity challenges under the Hatch-Waxman scheme.

Two paramount questions remain unmeaningfully answered by Petitioner: (1) what explains its delay in bringing this Petition relative to the earlier-instituted, virtually identical IPR of its '926 parent?; and (2) where does the Reply "correct" any "admissions in the Petition that Petitioners' duty of candor [so] obligates"-i.e., the very premise represented to the Board as justifying its extended briefing request? Where made at all, Petitioner's unresonant responses to these questions solidify the most reasonable inference: that Petitioner *purposefully* staggered the two petitions identical in Grounds, extensively *verbatim* in supporting argument and evidence, and targeting claims asserted as reciting "identical" compositions. Petitioner clearly did so to gain a tactical advantage at the expense of, and without regard for, the resources or convenience of Patent Owner ("PO"), not to mention the Board (Factor 6). Instituting this IPR "would require the Board to conduct an entirely separate proceeding involving numerous issues that have been considered already...The result would be a significant waste of the Board's resources." NetApp Inc. v. Realtime Data LLC, IPR2017-01195, Paper 9, p. 13 (October 12, 2017).



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

