Ô,

TECHNICAL PAPERS

DOCKET

 \blacktriangle

 α

@2013 SAE International. All RJghts Reserved.

2001-01-0733

Impact and Control of Canister Bleed Emissions

Roger S. Willlams and C. Reid Clontz Westvaco Corporation, Covington, VA

Copyright © 2001 Society of Automotive Engineers, Inc.

ABSTRACT

DOCKET

Current EPA and CAAB **regulations** allow a maximum of **2.0 gltest for** Hot Soak + **Diurnal evaporative** emissions. The **State** of California has **adopted LEV II regulations that** will decrease the **evaporative** emissions standard to 0.5 **g/test starting** in the 2004 model year. These **regulations also** include **a** Zero Emission Vehicle or ZEV program. The ZEV program allows car manufacturers to substitute vehicles that **meet** the SULEV tail **pipe** emission standards and have zero fuel **evaporative** emissions for electric vehicles. The increased **stringency** of these **regulations** has necessitated **significant** decreases in **hydrocarbon** emissions from **evaporative** emission canisters. For **example,** canister vent emissions may be at levels of 100-300 **mg/test** for a vehicle that meets the **current regulations. However,** canister emission **targets** should **be 50 mg/test** and less for LEV II and 10 mg/test and less for zero evaporative emission vehicles. Emissions at this level are not due to a **!ack of adsorptive capacity** in the **canister,** but rather are due to diffusion of **hydrocarbon species.** These emissions are often referred to **as** bleed emissions.

A **technique** was **developed to study** the level of bleed emissions **specifically** from canister vent **ports.** Canister **design** and purge volume were shown **to** have **a significant impact** on bleed emissions. **Further,** the **incorporation of a** small **auxiliary** chamber in series with **the primary** canister was **shown** to decrease bleed emissions **significantly.**

INTRODUCTION

Activated carbon has been used since the **eaiiy** 1970's to **capture gasoline** vapors from vehicle fuel vapor **systems. The** activated carbon **is** housed in **canisters** and is **required** to adsorb **gasoline** vapors at **high efficiency** and release them **during** the purge **regeneration cycle. The** canisters are used **as part** of a **system** to control **evaporative** emissions.

This paper is concerned with hydrocarbon emissions from **evaporative** emission canister **atmosphere ports specifically during** diurnal **testing.** Diurnal emissions **occur** while a vehicle **is parked** and the fuel tank is

Find authenticated [court documents without watermarks](https://www.docketalarm.com/) at **docketalarm.com.**

heated due **to daily temperature changes.** Both the California Air Resources Board **(GARB)** and the Environmental Protection **Agency (EPA)** have established test standards that measure and control **hot** soak and diurnal emissions **during real-time,** two- or **three-day procedures.** These **procedures, adopted** for 1995 **(GARB)** and 1996 **(EPA)** model year **vehicles,** have identical standards of 2.0 **g/test** maximum emissions for the two and **three-day** tests in addition to the SHED measured emissions from a 1 hour Hot Soak test. The **procedures** differ somewhat **in** their **temperature profile** and test fuel **volatility.**

Recently, CARB **adopted** LEV II **regulations** that will decrease the **evaporative** emissions standard for **all** cars and **light** trucks to as low as 0.5 **g/test starting** with the 2004 model year. **Additionally,** the LEV II program calls for 1 **0%** of the 2003 model year fleet to be Zero Emissions Vehicles **(ZEV** or **battery** electric **vehicles).** The law allows the car manufacturer to substitute vehicles with very low **tailpipe** emissions **(SULEV)** and zero fuel **evaporative** emissions to **satisfy** some of the ZEV **requirement.** The increased **stringency** of these **regulations** has necessitated **significant** decreases in **hydrocarbon** emissions from **evaporative** emissions canisters. For **example,** under the current **standard,** emissions from carbon canisters could be as **high** as 0.1 - 0.3 **g/test** for an **in-compliance** vehicle. **However,** canister emissions **targets** for "near-zero" **evaporative** emissions vehicles **(0.5 g/test standard)** should be 50 **mg/test** and less. **Target** canister emissions levels for **"zero-evap"** vehicles **(0.0 g/test)** are in the 3-10 **mg/test** range. Emissions at this level are not due to a lack of **adsorptive capacity** in the **canister,** but are due to diffusion. These emissions are often referred to as bleed emissions.

Bleed emissions are those emissions from the canister that occur **prior** to **breakthrough. Breakthrough** is defined **by** ASTM D 2652 as "the first appearance in the effluent of an adsorbate of interest under **specified** conditions." **In** the automotive carbon **application, breakthrough** is **usually** considered to be that **point** when 2.0 g of **hydrocarbon** have been emitted from the canister or when the total **hydrocarbon** concentration **in** the effluent reaches 5000 ppm.

Canister bleed emissions **during** diurnal **testing** are **rarely** due to carbon bed inefficiencies but are rather due **to** diffusion. That **is,** the bleed emissions most **likely** consist of **hydrocarbons** that were **already** adsorbed in the canister **prior** to the start of the diurnal. **Repeated loading/purging** of carbon canisters **produce** a **hydrocarbon** concentration **gradient** within the carbon bed **(1,2).** The adsorbed **hydrocarbon** concentration will tend to be **higher** near the fuel tank **port** of the canister **(vapor inlet)** and **gradually** decreases as the vapor flow **path approaches** and exits the canister **atmosphere port (purge inlet).** There **will** exist some concentration of **hydrocarbon** at the **atmosphere port,** even after extended

DOCKET

purging. The amount of hydrocarbon remaining after purge is referred to as **hydrocarbon** heel.

During extended soak **periods,** the **hydrocarbon** vapors will tend to diffuse from areas of **higher** concentration to areas of lower concentration. **Thus,** the concentration of **hydrocarbons** in the section of the carbon bed near the **atmosphere port** will increase with time. **During** diurnal **loading,** a mixture of air and **gasoline** vapor enters the canister **through** the tank **port. Virtually** all of the **gasoline** vapor is adsorbed **by** the carbon within a **specific region** of the bed known as the mass transfer or **adsorption** zone. The **adsorption** zone will move in the direction of vapor flow with time. On the downstream side of the **adsorption** zone, a small volume of clean air will move **through** the remainder **of** the **bed, potentially causing** bleed emissions.

Diffusion can be described **by** Fick's **Law,** one form of which is:

Amount of Diffusion = $dn/dt = -A * D * dC/dx$,

Where, n = moles of a **species** $t = time$ $A = cross sectional area$ D = diffusion coefficient of a **species (temperature dependent)** C = concentration $x =$ diffusion path length

Although an exhaustive examination of this law is not within the scope of this paper, **inspection** of **it** with **respect** to **evaporative** emissions canisters **yields** several characteristics that affect bleed emissions.

The first characteristic that affects bleed emissions is time. Bleed emissions are **important** in **evaporative** emissions **testing** because of the **potential** for **long** soak times **prior** to and **during** the real-time diurnal. **Although important,** most of the time elements **in** the **procedure** are fixed and cannot be **changed.**

Another characteristic that affects bleed emissions is the concentration **gradient.** This can be viewed in two ways. **First,** the concentration **gradient** from one **portion** of the canister **to** another affects the diffusion rate. This is controlled **primarily by** the amount of purge used. **Second,** the **hydrocarbon** concentration **gradient** between the **hydrocarbons** adsorbed in the carbon pores and the **hydrocarbons** in the gas **phase** affects the diffusion rate between the **phases. Although** this is also controlled **by** the amount of purge **used,** the pore size distribution of the carbon used will influence the **equilibrium. Thus,** a **well-purged** canister filled with a **high-capacity,** low-heel carbon is desired to reduce bleed emissions.

Two characteristics that can be considered **together** are the diffusion **path length** and the cross-sectional area.

Both are elements of canister **design. Decreasing** the cross-sectional area can be **accomplished by simply narrowing** the canister bed **profile or effectively by placing** a **plate** with restricted open area across the carbon bed. Both of these **options will** increase the pressure **drop,** a critical canister **parameter particularly important for** Onboard **Refueling Vapor Recovery** and should be considered **carefully. Therefore,** a canister with an **optimized length-to-diameter ratio is** desired to reduce bleed emissions.

Two final characteristics that affect bleed emissions are **temperature** and **hydrocarbon species.** The diffusion coefficient is **temperature-dependent** and is **unique for** a **given species.** Since the **temperatures of** the test conditions are **fixed,** there is **little** reason to consider their effect **However,** the effect of the **hydrocarbon species** is an **important** consideration. For like **species,** the diffusion coefficient will decrease with **increasing** molecular **weight.** For **example,** n-butane **will** have a **higher** diffusion coefficient than will **n-pentane.** Prior **to** the diurnal test, the canister is **preconditioned by loading** to either **breakthrough** or 1.5 times **breakthrough** with 50% n-butane. Butane is the **lightest major component** of **gasoline** vapor **(3)** and has a diffusion coefficient **greater** than that of the other **major components** of **gasoline** vapor. That **is,** butane **will** have a **higher** diffusion rate **compared** to **"gasoline** vapor." **Thus,** it is **quite important** to purge as much of the butane loaded **during preconditioning** as **possible.**

In this paper, we will examine the effects of soak **time,** purge **volume,** canister **geometry,** and carbon **type** on bleed emissions.

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

DOCKET

Bleed emissions were evaluated **using** three different test **procedures.** Each test featured the **following** common elements: an initial canister **pre-conditioning,** vapor **load,** purge followed **by** a time-controlled **soak,** and emissions measurement **during** a final canister vapor load **simulating** a diurnal **loading** event

GASOLINE VAPOR AND BUTANE CYCLING - Gasoline vapor **cycling** was **performed using** automated **cycle** test **equipment** that **precisely** controlled and monitored all **testing** conditions. Gasoline vapors were **generated by bubbling** air at a rate of 200 ml/min **through** 2 liters of 9.0 RVP-certified test **gasoline** heated to 36°C. Under these **conditions,** the vapor **generation** rate was **approximately** 40 **g/hr** and the **hydrocarbon** concentration was **approximately** 50% **by** volume. The vapors were sent to the canister until a **breakthrough** concentration of 5000 ppm was detected **using** a flame ionization detector **(FID). If breakthrough** was not detected after **100** minutes **of** vapor **loading,** the **liquid gasoline** was **replaced with** a fresh 2-liter **aliquot.** After **breakthrough** was **detected,** the canister was **purged** for a **specified**

volume, typically 300 bed **volumes, using dry** air at a rate of 15 liters per minute. The soak time between load and purge events was no **more** than 5 minutes. The ambient **temperature** of the **equipment, including** the canister **storage compartment,** was maintained at 25°C **during** all **stages** of the **testing.**

Butane vapor **cycling** was also **performed using** automated **cycle** test **equipment. Butane,** diluted with **laboratory** air to **a** concentration of **50% by volume,** was delivered to the canister at a rate of 40 **g/hr.** The **breakthrough** concentration used was 5000 ppm. After **breakthrough** was **detected,** the canister was **purged** with **dry air, typically** for 300 bed **volumes,** at a rate of 15 I/min. The soak time between load and purge events was no more than 5 minutes. The ambient **temperature** of the **equipment, including** the butane gas **cylinder,** was maintained at 25"C **during** all **stages** of the **testing.**

BWC BLEED TEST - The BWC Bleed Test involved **measuring** emissions from the **atmosphere port** of the canister **using** a flame ionization detector while **loading** the canister with butane. The **goal in performing** the BWC bleed test was to evaluate carbon bleed **performance** under controlled conditions.

A 1.0-liter test canister was used for all BWC bleed **testing.** The **properties** of the test canister are described elsewhere in the **experimental** methods. The **temperature** of the entire **system including** canister and butane fuel source was controlled at 25°C. Canister pre**conditioning** included three butane **adsorb/purge cycles** with **loading** to **5000-ppm breakthrough** and **300** bed volumes of purge **according** to the **procedures** described above. **Following** the three **conditioning cycles,** the canister was soaked for a **specified length** of time. After the **soak,** the canister was **again** loaded with 50% butane vapors to **breakthrough** and the canister emissions were measured and recorded **during** the course of the **loading using** a flame ionization detector.

DIURNAL TESTS - Two **separate** test **procedures** were **developed** for **measuring** bleed emissions under diurnal **temperature** conditions. Both of these tests involved **measuring** emissions **directly** from the canister vent rather than from the entire vehicle as in a SHED **test,** or from an entire fuel **system,** as **with a** mini-SHED.

The first test, referred to as the **compressed** diurnal **test,** was **developed** for **measuring** canister bleed emissions **during** a **one-day** diurnal **using the** 11-hour **temperature** ramp **segment** of the CARB **temperature profile.** A 1.0 liter test canister **was** used in combination with a 60-liter fuel tank and 9.0 R.V.P. fuel in order to load the carbon bed to a **near-breakthrough** condition within an 11-hour test **period.** The **compressed** test introduced the CARB **temperature profile** as a variable without the time **requirements** of the two- and **three-day** diurnal test. **However,** the results are useful **only** on a relative **basis,**

because the canister and tank size combinations were not realistic and the test time was shortened. **Also,** the vapor **generation** rates were much **higher using** 9.0 RVP fuel as **compared** to **using** 7.0 RVP fuel.

Pre-conditioning for the **compressed** diurnal test consisted of six **gasoline** vapor load / purge **cycles** with 300 bed volumes of purge and a **loading** rate **of** 40 **g/hr** as described **previously.** Within 2 hours of the final **gasoline** vapor purge, the canister was loaded with 50% butane vapors in air at a rate of 40 **g/hr** until a **breakthrough** of 5000 ppm was measured **by** a flame ionization detector. The canister was soaked for 60 **minutes** before the final purge. The final purge was **performed** at a rate **of** 15 liters per minute **with dry** air for 300 bed volumes. **Following** the purge, the canister was soaked at **20°** C for 24 hours before **starting** the diurnal test.

A second diurnal test **procedure,** referred to as the simulated real-time diurnal test, **subjected** a fuel tank and canister to the entire **two-day** or **three-day** CARB diumal **temperature profile.** A 60-liter fuel tank was used **in** combination **with** commercial automotive **canisters, typically with** a nominal size of about **2** liters. The fuel used for the simulated real-time diurnal test was a 7.0 RVP Phase II fuel as **specified** in the CARB test **procedures.** This test was **designed** to simulate the diurnal **portion** of the CARB vehicle emissions test **procedure** in order to **generate quantitative** canister emissions data.

Pre-conditioning for the simulated real-time diurnal test included **multiple gasoline** vapor load / purge **cycles** as described above. The **loading** rate **for** the **gasoline cycles** was 40 **g/hr,** and the most **typical** purge was 400 bed volumes. **Following** the **gasoline cycles,** the canister was loaded with 50% butane vapor **to** 5000 ppm **breakthrough** in **preparation** for a **two-day** diurnal test and was loaded to 1.5 times the nominal butane **working capacity** in **preparation** for a three **day** diurnal test After the butane **load,** the canister was allowed to soak for 60 minutes before **purging** for a **specified** volume. **Typical** purge volumes were 400 bed volumes **in preparation** for the **three-day** diurnal test and 150 bed volumes for the **two-day diurnal test. Following the purge, the canister was soaked at 20 °C for 24 hours before starting the diurnal test.**

After the 24-hour soak, for both the compressed and real time diurnal tests, the canister was attached to a commercial 60-liter, steel fuel tank containing 24 liters of certified test fuel, previously equilibrated to 18.3 °C overnight. A 9.0 RVP fuel was used for the compressed diurnal test and a 7.0 RVP fuel was used for the real-time diurnal test. A Tedlar bag was attached to the atmosphere port of the canister as shown in Figure 1 to collect the hydrocarbon emissions. During the 11-hour

DOCKE

temperature ramp from 18.3° C **to 40.6° C,** the canister **weight** and emissions were measured several times. **During** the **portion of** the diurnal when the **temperature decreased,** the Tedlar **bag** was removed in order to allow the **system** to back purge.

The removed Tedlar **bag was** filled to a known volume with **nitrogen.** The **hydrocarbon** concentration was determined **by** evacuation **of** the **bag** contents into an FID. Once the concentration and volume were **determined,** the mass **of hydrocarbon** was calculated and recorded.

Figure 1. CARB **temperature profile** and simulated diurnal test **setup.**

IN-USE CANISTERS • In some cases, in-use **evaporative** emission canisters were used to measure diurnal bleed emissions. Automotive Testing Laboratories Inc. was contracted for **procurement** of the canisters. The canisters were obtained from in-use **vehicles,** with odometer **readings ranging** from **16,000** km to **53,000** km. The nominal size of the canisters tested was about 2.0 liters. **The canisters** were filled with woodbased carbon from Westvaco. The carbon had a nominal specified new ASTM butane working capacity
(BWC) of 15 g/dl

 $\bar{\mathbf{r}}$

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

