

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of: Haller et al.
U.S. Patent No.: 7,039,033 IPR Control No.: IPR2015-01444
Issue Date: May 2, 2006 Atty Docket No.: 00035-0004IP1
Appl. Serial No.: 09/850,399
Filing Date: May 7, 2001
Title: SYSTEM, DEVICE AND COMPUTER READABLE MEDIUM FOR PROVIDING A MANAGED WIRELESS NETWORK USING SHORT-RANGE RADIO SIGNALS

Mail Stop Patent Board

Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT
NO. 7,039,033 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319, 37 C.F.R. § 42**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	i
I. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R § 42.8(a)(1).....	1
A. Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	1
B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....	1
C. Lead And Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).....	2
D. Service Information	2
II. PAYMENT OF FEES – 37 C.F.R. § 42.103.....	2
III. REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104.....	2
A. Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....	3
B. Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Relief Requested	3
IV. SUMMARY OF THE '033 PATENT	5
A. Brief Description.....	5
B. The Effective Priority Date of the Claims of the '033 Patent & Asserted Earlier Date of Invention	7
C. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art as of the Critical Date	7
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104(B)(3).....	8
A. “identifies whether the service is available at a particular time”	9
VI. MANNER OF APPLYING CITED PRIOR ART TO EVERY CLAIM FOR WHICH AN IPR IS REQUESTED, THUS ESTABLISHING A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE '033 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE	10
A. [GROUND 1] – Claims 1, 4, 7, and 14 are obvious over Marchand in view of Nurmman and Vilander	11
B. [GROUND 2] – Claim 5 is rendered obvious over Marchand in view of Nurmman, Vilander, and RFC 2543	32
C. [GROUND 3] – Claims 6 and 23 are rendered obvious over Marchand in view of Nurmman, Vilander, and Larsson	35
D. [GROUND 4] – Claims 12, 15, 22, 34, 39, 40, 42, and 46 are rendered obvious over Marchand in view of Nurmman, Vilander, and JINI Spec.	39
E. [GROUND 5] - Claims 25 and 28 are rendered obvious over Marchand in view Larsson and JINI Spec.	55
VII. CONCLUSION.....	60

EXHIBITS

- EXHIBIT-1001 U.S. Patent No. 7,039,033 to Haller et al. (“’033 Patent”)
- EXHIBIT-1002 S. M. Bellovin et al., Network Firewalls, Network Firewalls, IEEE Communications Magazine, Vol. 32, Issue 9, pp. 50-57, 1999 (“Bellovin”)
- EXHIBIT-1003 Declaration of Dr. Sayfe Kiaei
- EXHIBIT-1004 Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Sayfe Kiaei
- EXHIBIT-1005 PCT. Publication No. WO 01/76154 A2 to Marchand (“Marchand PCT”)
- EXHIBIT-1006 U.S. Patent Application No. 09/541,529 to Marchand (“Marchand Priority”)
- EXHIBIT-1007 Handley et al., *Request For Comments 2543 SIP: Session Initiation Protocol*, The Internet Society, March, 1999 (“RFC 2543”)
- EXHIBIT-1008 U.S. Patent No. 6,836,474 to Larsson (“Larsson”)
- EXHIBIT-1009 K. Arnold et al., *The JINI™ Specification*, Addison-Wesley, June 1, 1999 (“JINI Spec.”)
- EXHIBIT-1010 U.S. Patent No. 6,560,642 to Nurmann (“Nurmann”)
- EXHIBIT-1011 U.S. Patent No. 6,771,635 to Vilander (“Vilander”)
- EXHIBIT-1012 Claim Chart from IXI’s Infringement Contentions of U.S. Patent No. 7,039,033 in 14-cv-4428 (April 9, 2015)
- EXHIBIT-1013 Claim Chart from IXI’s Infringement Contentions of U.S. Patent No. 7,039,033 in 14-cv-4355 (March 27, 2015)

- EXHIBIT-1014 R. Droms, *Request for Comments 2131 Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol*, The Internet Society, March, 1997 (“RFC 2131”)
- EXHIBIT-1015 U.S. Patent No. 6,622,017 to Hoffman (“Hoffman”)

Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc. (collectively “Samsung”), and Apple Inc. (“Apple”) (Samsung and Apple, collectively “Petitioners”) petition for *Inter Partes* Review (“IPR”) under 35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319 and 37 C.F.R. § 42 of claims 1, 4-7, 12, 14, 15, 22, 23, 25, 28, 34, 39, 40, 42, and 46 (the “Challenged Claims”) of U.S. Patent No. 7,039,033 (the “’033 Patent”). As explained in this petition, there exists a reasonable likelihood that Petitioners will prevail with respect to at least one Challenged Claim.

I. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R § 42.8(a)(1)

A. Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)

Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and Apple Inc. are the real parties-in-interest.

B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)

Petitioners are not aware of any disclaimers, reexamination certificates or petitions for *inter partes* review for the ’033 Patent. The ’033 Patent is the subject of Civil Action Numbers 14-cv-4355 (U.S.D.C. S.D.N.Y.), filed June 17, 2014; 14-cv-4428 (U.S.D.C. S.D.N.Y.), filed June 18, 2014; and 14-cv-7954 (U.S.D.C.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.