Job No. 3244671

1	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
2	
3	BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
4	
5	NEPTUNE GENERICS, LLC
6	PETITIONER
7	V.
8	AVENTIS PHARMA, S.A.
9	PATENT OWNER
10	
11	
12	CASE NO. IPR2019-00136
13	PATENT NO. 5,847,170
14	FILED: MARCH 26, 1996
15	ISSUED: DECEMBER 8, 1998
16	INVENTORS: HERVÈ BOUCHARD,
17	JEAN-DOMINIQUE BOURZAT, ALAIN COMMERÇON
18	TITLE: TAXOIDS, THEIR PREPARATION, AND
19	PHARMACEUTICAL COMPOSITIONS CONTAINING THEM
20	
21	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE
22	FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 2019, 12:03 P.M. CENTRAL STANDARD TIME
23	BEFORE JUDGES TIMOTHY G. MAJORS AND
24	TINA HULSE
	Page 1



Job No. 3244671

1	APPEARANCES:
2	VENABLE, LLP
	DANIEL J. MINION
3	1290 Avenue of the Americas, 20th Floor
	New York, New York 10104
4	Phone: (212) 218-2538
	Email: dminion@venable.com
5	
	On behalf of the Patent Owner.
6	
	SKIERMONT DERBY, LLP
7	ALEXANDER E. GASSER
	1601 Elm Street, Suite 4400
8	Dallas, Texas 75201
	Phone: (214) 978-6600
9	Email: agasser@skiermontderby.com
L 0	On behalf of Neptune Generics, LLC.
L1	SKIERMONT DERBY, LLP
	MIEKE K. MALMBERG
L2	800 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1450
	Los Angeles, California 90017
L3	Phone: (213) 788-4500
	Email: mmalmberg@skiermontderby.com
L4	(Pro hac vice application to be submitted)
L 5	On behalf of Neptune Generics, LLC.
L6	
L7	* * * * *
L8	
L9	
20	
21	Christina Basis-Prinzi
	Certified Shorthand Reporter and
22	Registered Professional Reporter
	CSR No. 084-001769
23	One North Franklin Street, Suite 3000
	Chicago, Illinois 60606
24	Phone: (312) 442-9087
	Page 2



1	THE COURT: Would counsel please identify
2	themselves?
3	MR. GASSER: Alex Gasser for the Petitioner.
4	MS. MALMBERG: Mieke Malmberg for the Petitioner.
5	THE COURT: And for patent owner?
6	MR. MINION: Good afternoon, your Honor. This is
7	Daniel Minion on behalf of patent owner, Sanofi.
8	THE COURT: Hello, Mr. Minion. Anyone else on
9	line for the patent owner?
10	MR. MINION: Just me, your Honor.
11	THE COURT: All right. I take it that's everyone
12	then.
13	Did you get what you need, Court Reporter?
14	THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. And like I said, if
15	they could just state their names before they speak just so I
16	make sure I have everything straight, that will help me a
17	lot.
18	THE COURT: We'll do our best.
19	THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you so much, Judge.
20	THE COURT: Why don't we go ahead and begin then.
21	We're here today based on a request for a
22	conference from the petitioner. This is in regards to
23	IPR2019-00136, Neptune Generics vs. Aventis.
24	What we will go ahead and do, since petitioner

Page 3



1	requested this call and we just did the email, and so we
2	know the general nature of the request, but we'll open the
3	floor up to petitioner's counsel. And then, Mr. Minion,
4	you'll have an opportunity to respond.
5	MR. GASSER: Thank you, your Honors. This is Alex
6	Gasser for petitioner, Neptune.
7	As we indicated in our email from last week,
8	petitioner requests authorization to file a reply brief to
9	patent owner's preliminary response. Our request for
10	authorization is pursuant to 37 CFR, Section 42.108(c).
11	As we indicated in our email, there are
12	three general areas that we think are appropriate for a reply
13	brief and that they're good grounds to have such a reply
14	brief on the subjects. The primary area, which our
15	understanding is, the PTAB generally does regularly consider
16	replies for the Section 327(d) discretionary input for
17	arguments and issues relating to prior arguments that were
18	presented to the patent office, whether through an
19	examination or a formal IPR petition. I think our our
20	main arguments focus on that particular prong.
21	Also, in addition to that, we do believe that
22	there is additional reason to allow a reply brief on the
23	314 Section 314 arguments the discretionary arguments,
24	as well as just one legal, critical issue that permeates

Page 4



```
1
     patent owner's entire patent response regarding the
 2
      standard -- the proper standard for obviousness.
                 But just, very briefly, launching into the first
 3
     prong of the reason for good cause for a reply brief would be
 4
 5
      the 325(d) arguments which focused primarily on the
      earlier -- the differences between the earlier IPR petition
6
7
      filed by a different party, Mylan Pharmaceutical, against the
      same patent by Sanofi.
8
9
                 There are several issues relating to the 320, but
10
      the arguments that we could not have reasonably anticipated
      (sic). Just briefly for review, our petition at present --
11
12
     Neptune's petition relies on what we call (inaudible)
     reference --
13
                 THE COURT REPORTER: What reference was that?
14
15
      sorry.
16
                MR. GASSER: I'm sorry. Commercon,
17
     C-o-m-m-e-r-c-o-n.
18
                 THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you.
19
                 MR. GASSER: Commercon reference which is
20
      Exhibit 1009. And the Neptune petition relies on this
21
     primary reference as a guide to take our lead compound which
22
      is Paclitaxel and there's one change to the side chain. And
      then we'll rely on this to inform a person of ordinary skill
23
24
      in the art what portions of the remaining molecule should be
```



Page 5

DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

