

Filed on behalf of: Sanofi Mature IP

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

NEPTUNE GENERICS, LLC

Petitioner,

v.

AVENTIS PHARMA S.A.

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2019-00136
U.S. Patent No. 5,847,170

PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	BACKGROUND	3
A.	Taxane Research Efforts	4
B.	The Invention of Cabazitaxel	7
C.	The Prosecution History	8
D.	The Prior Challenges	11
1.	The Prior IPR Petition	12
2.	The Prior District Court Litigation	12
III.	POSA	14
IV.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	15
V.	LEGAL STANDARD	15
A.	Institution Standard	15
B.	Obviousness Standard	16
VI.	THE PETITION SHOULD BE DENIED BECAUSE ITS ARGUMENTS HAVE ALREADY BEEN PRESENTED	18
A.	The Board Should Reject the Petition Pursuant to Section 325(d)	18
B.	The Board Should Reject the Petition Pursuant to Section 314	27
VII.	THE PETITION IS BASED ON IMPROPER HINDSIGHT	28
VIII.	NEPTUNE FAILS TO ESTABLISH <i>PRIMA FACIE</i> OBVIOUSNESS	30

A.	Neptune fails to establish motivation to modify paclitaxel to obtain cabazitaxel	31
1.	Neptune fails to establish that a POSA would have modified C-7 and C-10	31
	(a) Neptune fails to establish that a POSA would have focused on modifying flexible positions	31
	(b) Neptune fails to establish that a POSA would have focused on modifying C-7 and C-10 based on ease of modification	35
2.	Neptune fails to establish a POSA would have modified taxanes in ways that would make them less water soluble	35
3.	Neptune fails to establish that a POSA would have made a methoxy modification at C-10.....	38
4.	Neptune fails to establish that a POSA would have made a methoxy modification at C-7.....	41
5.	Neptune fails to establish that a POSA would have simultaneously modified the C-7 and C-10 positions	44
B.	Neptune fails to establish that a POSA would have reasonably expected cabazitaxel to have improved properties	48
IX.	CONCLUSION.....	49

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

<i>Becton, Dickinson & Co. v. B. Braun Melsungen AG</i> , No. IPR2017-01586 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 15, 2017).....	18
<i>Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee</i> , 136 S. Ct. 2131 (2016).....	15
<i>Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd. v. Matrix Labs., Ltd.</i> , 619 F.3d 1346 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	17
<i>Gen. Plastic Indus. Co., Ltd. v. Canon Kabushiki Kaisha</i> , No. IPR2016-01357 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 6, 2017).....	27
<i>Harmonic Inc. v. Avid Tech., Inc.</i> , 815 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	15
<i>Intelligent Bio-Systems, Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd</i> , No. IPR2013-00324 (P.T.A.B. Nov. 21, 2013).....	19
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	16, 30
<i>Mylan Pharm. v. Bayer Intellectual Prop. GMBH</i> , No. IPR2018-01143 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 3, 2018).....	27
<i>Netapp, Inc. v. Realtime Data LLC</i> , No. IPR2017-01354 (P.T.A.B. Nov. 14, 2017).....	16
<i>Otsuka Pharm. Co., Ltd. v. Sandoz</i> , 678 F.3d 1280 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	17
<i>Procter & Gamble Co. v. Teva Pharms. USA, Inc.</i> , 566 F.3d 989 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	17
<i>Sanofi-Synthelabo v. Apotex, Inc.</i> , 550 F.3d 1075 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	17, 30
<i>Telebrands Corp. v. Tinnus Enters., LLC</i> , No. PGR2017-00051 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 22, 2018).....	20

iii

<i>Unified Patents Inc. v. Berman</i> , No. IPR2016-01571 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 14, 2016).....	19
<i>Yamanouchi Pharm. Co., Ltd. v. Danbury Pharmacal, Inc.</i> , 231 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2000).....	17
<i>Ziegmann, N.P.Z., Inc. v. Stephens</i> , No. IPR2015-01860 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 6, 2017).....	18

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 103(a).....	16
35 U.S.C. § 314(a).....	15, 27, 28
35 U.S.C. § 325.....	15
35 U.S.C. § 325(d).....	18-20, 27

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.