Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) Request for Comments: 7230 Obsoletes: 2145, 2616 Updates: 2817, 2818 Category: Standards Track ISSN: 2070-1721 R. Fielding, Ed. Adobe J. Reschke, Ed. greenbytes June 2014

#### Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Message Syntax and Routing

Abstract

The Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) is a stateless applicationlevel protocol for distributed, collaborative, hypertext information systems. This document provides an overview of HTTP architecture and its associated terminology, defines the "http" and "https" Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) schemes, defines the HTTP/1.1 message syntax and parsing requirements, and describes related security concerns for implementations.

Status of This Memo

This is an Internet Standards Track document.

This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Further information on Internet Standards is available in Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7230.

Fielding & Reschke

DOCKE

Standards Track

[Page 1]

RFC 7230

HTTP/1.1 Message Syntax and Routing

June 2014

#### Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2014 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

This document may contain material from IETF Documents or IETF Contributions published or made publicly available before November 10, 2008. The person(s) controlling the copyright in some of this material may not have granted the IETF Trust the right to allow modifications of such material outside the IETF Standards Process. Without obtaining an adequate license from the person(s) controlling the copyright in such materials, this document may not be modified outside the IETF Standards Process, and derivative works of it may not be created outside the IETF Standards Process, except to format it for publication as an RFC or to translate it into languages other than English.

#### Table of Contents

1.	Intro	duction
	1.1.	Requirements Notation6
	1.2.	Syntax Notation6
2.	Archi	tecture
	2.1.	Client/Server Messaging7
	2.2.	Implementation Diversity8
	2.3.	Intermediaries9
	2.4.	Caches
	2.5.	Conformance and Error Handling12
	2.6.	Protocol Versioning13
	2.7.	Uniform Resource Identifiers16
		2.7.1. http URI Scheme17
		2.7.2. https URI Scheme
		2.7.3. http and https URI Normalization and Comparison19
3.	Messa	age Format
	3.1.	Start Line
		3.1.1. Request Line
		3.1.2. Status Line
	3.2.	Header Fields

Fielding & Reschke

DOCKET

Standards Track

[Page 2]

LARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

RFC	7230	HTTP/1.1 Message Syntax and Routing	June	2014
		3.2.1. Field Extensibility		23
		3.2.2. Field Order		23
		3.2.3. Whitespace		24
		3.2.4. Field Parsing		25
		3.2.5. Field Limits		26
		3.2.6. Field Value Components		27
	3.3.	Message Body		28
		3.3.1. Transfer-Encoding		28
		3.3.2. Content-Length		30
		3.3.3. Message Body Length		32
	3.4.	Handling Incomplete Messages		34
	3.5.	Message Parsing Robustness		
4	. Trans	sfer Codings		
-	4.1.	Chunked Transfer Coding		
		4.1.1. Chunk Extensions		
		4 1 2 Chunked Trailer Part		37
		4 1 3 Decoding Chunked		38
	4 2	Compression Codings		38
	1.2.	4 2 1 Compress Coding	· • • • • •	38
		4 2 2 Deflate Coding		38
		4 2 3 Gzip Coding	•••••	39
	43	TE		39
	4 4	Trailer	•••••	40
5	T.T. Megga	age Routing	•••••	40
5	5 1	Identifying a Target Resource	•••••	40
	5.2	Connecting Inhound	•••••	 
	5.2.	Pequest Target	•••••	/ · · · · · · · / 1
	5.5.	5.3.1 origin-form	•••••	42
		5.3.2 $abcolute_form$		12
		5.3.2. absolute form $5.3.2$ authority-form		/3
		5.3.4 actorick-form	•••••	43
	5 /	Upst	•••••	
	J.T. 5 5	Effortive Porport IPT	•••••	
	5.5.	Aggogiating a Pogpongo to a Pogpogt	, <b></b>	
	5.0.	Message Forwarding	•••••	
	5.7.		, <b></b>	
		5.7.1. Via	, <b></b>	
6	Conn	5./.2. Italistorillations	· • • • • •	
0		Connection		
	6.1.	Establishment	· • • • • •	
	6.Z.			
	0.3.	Persistence Personata   ( ) 1 Detroving Degraphs		
		6.3.1. Recrying Requests	· • • • • •	
	C 1	Generational Construction of the second seco		
	0.4. 6 F	Concurrency		
	0.5.	Tailutes and inneouls	, <b></b>	
	0.0. 67		•••••	
-	./.ס	Upgraue	•••••	
/	. ADINF	TTEC PYCENETON. HINTE		

Fielding & Reschke

RM

DOCKE

Α

Standards Track

[Page 3]

RFC 72	HTTP/1.1 Message Syntax and Routing	June 2014
8.	IANA Considerations	61
	8.1. Header Field Registration	61
	8.2. URI Scheme Registration	62
	8.3. Internet Media Type Registration	62
	8.3.1. Internet Media Type message/http	62
	8.3.2. Internet Media Type application/http	63
	8.4. Transfer Coding Registry	64
	8.4.1. Procedure	65
	8.4.2. Registration	65
	8.5. Content Coding Registration	66
	8.6. Upgrade Token Registry	
	8.6.1. Procedure	66
	8.6.2. Upgrade Token Registration	67
9.	Security Considerations	67
	9.1. Establishing Authority	67
	9 2 Risks of Intermediaries	68
	9 3 Attacks via Protocol Element Length	
	9 4 Response Splitting	
	9 5 Request Smugaling	70
	9 6 Message Integrity	70
	9.7 Message Confidentiality	71 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	9.8 Drivagy of Server Log Information	····/⊥ 71
10	Acknowledgments	····/± 70
11	Peferences	72 71
11.	11 1 Normative References	· · · · · · · · / ٦ 7/
	11.2 Informative References	· · · · · · · / 4 75
7	11.2. Informative References	
Abt	A deepage from History	
	A.I. Changes from Hilp/1.0	
	A.I.I. Multinomed web Servers	
	A.I.2. Keep-Alive Connections	
	A.I.3. Introduction of Transfer-Encoding	
_	A.2. Changes from RFC 2616	
Apr	pendix B. Collected ABNF	
Inc	lex	

Fielding & Reschke

RM

DOCKE

Α

Standards Track

[Page 4]

RFC 7230 HTTP/1.1 Message Syntax and Routing

June 2014

#### 1. Introduction

The Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) is a stateless applicationlevel request/response protocol that uses extensible semantics and self-descriptive message payloads for flexible interaction with network-based hypertext information systems. This document is the first in a series of documents that collectively form the HTTP/1.1 specification:

- "Message Syntax and Routing" (this document) 1.
- 2. "Semantics and Content" [RFC7231]
- 3. "Conditional Requests" [RFC7232]
- 4. "Range Requests" [RFC7233]
- 5. "Caching" [RFC7234]
- 6. "Authentication" [RFC7235]

This HTTP/1.1 specification obsoletes RFC 2616 and RFC 2145 (on HTTP versioning). This specification also updates the use of CONNECT to establish a tunnel, previously defined in RFC 2817, and defines the "https" URI scheme that was described informally in RFC 2818.

HTTP is a generic interface protocol for information systems. It is designed to hide the details of how a service is implemented by presenting a uniform interface to clients that is independent of the types of resources provided. Likewise, servers do not need to be aware of each client's purpose: an HTTP request can be considered in isolation rather than being associated with a specific type of client or a predetermined sequence of application steps. The result is a protocol that can be used effectively in many different contexts and for which implementations can evolve independently over time.

HTTP is also designed for use as an intermediation protocol for translating communication to and from non-HTTP information systems. HTTP proxies and gateways can provide access to alternative information services by translating their diverse protocols into a hypertext format that can be viewed and manipulated by clients in the same way as HTTP services.

One consequence of this flexibility is that the protocol cannot be defined in terms of what occurs behind the interface. Instead, we are limited to defining the syntax of communication, the intent of received communication, and the expected behavior of recipients. If the communication is considered in isolation, then successful actions

Fielding & Reschke Standards Track

DOCKET

[Page 5]

**A L A R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

# DOCKET



## Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

