Network Working Group J. Postel
Request for Comments: 959 J. Reynolds
ISI

Obsoletes RFC: 765 (IEN 149) October 1985

FILE TRANSFER PROTOCOL (FTP)

Status of this Memo

This memo is the official specification of the File Transfer Protocol (FTP). Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

The following new optional commands are included in this edition of the specification:

CDUP (Change to Parent Directory), SMNT (Structure Mount), STOU (Store Unique), RMD (Remove Directory), MKD (Make Directory), PWD (Print Directory), and SYST (System).

Note that this specification is compatible with the previous edition.

1. INTRODUCTION

The objectives of FTP are 1) to promote sharing of files (computer programs and/or data), 2) to encourage indirect or implicit (via programs) use of remote computers, 3) to shield a user from variations in file storage systems among hosts, and 4) to transfer data reliably and efficiently. FTP, though usable directly by a user at a terminal, is designed mainly for use by programs.

The attempt in this specification is to satisfy the diverse needs of users of maxi-hosts, mini-hosts, personal workstations, and TACs, with a simple, and easily implemented protocol design.

This paper assumes knowledge of the Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) [2] and the Telnet Protocol [3]. These documents are contained in the ARPA-Internet protocol handbook [1].

2. OVERVIEW

In this section, the history, the terminology, and the FTP model are discussed. The terms defined in this section are only those that have special significance in FTP. Some of the terminology is very specific to the FTP model; some readers may wish to turn to the section on the FTP model while reviewing the terminology.



Postel & Reynolds

[Page 1]



RFC 959 File Transfer Protocol October 1985

2.1. HISTORY

FTP has had a long evolution over the years. Appendix III is a chronological compilation of Request for Comments documents relating to FTP. These include the first proposed file transfer mechanisms in 1971 that were developed for implementation on hosts at M.I.T. (RFC 114), plus comments and discussion in RFC 141.

RFC 172 provided a user-level oriented protocol for file transfer between host computers (including terminal IMPs). A revision of this as RFC 265, restated FTP for additional review, while RFC 281 suggested further changes. The use of a "Set Data Type" transaction was proposed in RFC 294 in January 1982.

RFC 354 obsoleted RFCs 264 and 265. The File Transfer Protocol was now defined as a protocol for file transfer between HOSTs on the ARPANET, with the primary function of FTP defined as transfering files efficiently and reliably among hosts and allowing the convenient use of remote file storage capabilities. RFC 385 further commented on errors, emphasis points, and additions to the protocol, while RFC 414 provided a status report on the working server and user FTPs. RFC 430, issued in 1973, (among other RFCs too numerous to mention) presented further comments on FTP. Finally, an "official" FTP document was published as RFC 454.

By July 1973, considerable changes from the last versions of FTP were made, but the general structure remained the same. RFC 542 was published as a new "official" specification to reflect these changes. However, many implementations based on the older specification were not updated.

In 1974, RFCs 607 and 614 continued comments on FTP. RFC 624 proposed further design changes and minor modifications. In 1975, RFC 686 entitled, "Leaving Well Enough Alone", discussed the differences between all of the early and later versions of FTP. RFC 691 presented a minor revision of RFC 686, regarding the subject of print files.

Motivated by the transition from the NCP to the TCP as the underlying protocol, a phoenix was born out of all of the above efforts in RFC 765 as the specification of FTP for use on TCP.

This current edition of the FTP specification is intended to correct some minor documentation errors, to improve the explanation of some protocol features, and to add some new optional commands.

Postel & Reynolds

[Page 2]



RFC 959 File Transfer Protocol October 1985

In particular, the following new optional commands are included in this edition of the specification:

CDUP - Change to Parent Directory

SMNT - Structure Mount

STOU - Store Unique

RMD - Remove Directory

MKD - Make Directory

PWD - Print Directory

SYST - System

This specification is compatible with the previous edition. A program implemented in conformance to the previous specification should automatically be in conformance to this specification.

2.2. TERMINOLOGY

ASCII

The ASCII character set is as defined in the ARPA-Internet Protocol Handbook. In FTP, ASCII characters are defined to be the lower half of an eight-bit code set (i.e., the most significant bit is zero).

access controls

Access controls define users' access privileges to the use of a system, and to the files in that system. Access controls are necessary to prevent unauthorized or accidental use of files. It is the prerogative of a server-FTP process to invoke access controls.

byte size

There are two byte sizes of interest in FTP: the logical byte size of the file, and the transfer byte size used for the transmission of the data. The transfer byte size is always 8 bits. The transfer byte size is not necessarily the byte size in which data is to be stored in a system, nor the logical byte size for interpretation of the structure of the data.

Postel & Reynolds

[Page 3]



RFC 959 File Transfer Protocol October 1985

control connection

The communication path between the USER-PI and SERVER-PI for the exchange of commands and replies. This connection follows the Telnet Protocol.

data connection

A full duplex connection over which data is transferred, in a specified mode and type. The data transferred may be a part of a file, an entire file or a number of files. The path may be between a server-DTP and a user-DTP, or between two server-DTPs.

data port

The passive data transfer process "listens" on the data port for a connection from the active transfer process in order to open the data connection.

DTP

The data transfer process establishes and manages the data connection. The DTP can be passive or active.

End-of-Line

The end-of-line sequence defines the separation of printing lines. The sequence is Carriage Return, followed by Line Feed.

EOF

The end-of-file condition that defines the end of a file being transferred.

EOR

The end-of-record condition that defines the end of a record being transferred.

error recovery

A procedure that allows a user to recover from certain errors such as failure of either host system or transfer process. In FTP, error recovery may involve restarting a file transfer at a given checkpoint.

Postel & Reynolds

[Page 4]



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

