

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Panasonic Corporation of North America *et al.*

Petitioners

v.

Cellspin Soft, Inc.

Patent Owner

CASE: Unassigned

Patent No. 9,258,698

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,258,698**

PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT LIST

- Ex. 1001 Declaration of Dr. John Strawn
- Ex. 1002 Curriculum Vitae of Dr. John Strawn
- Ex. 1003 United States Patent No. 9,258,698 to Gurvinder Singh, et al. (“the ’698 Patent”)
- Ex. 1004 Patent File History for the ’698 Patent
- Ex. 1005 Japanese Patent Application Publication No. 2003-51772, identifying Hiroshi Mashita as inventor (“Mashita”)
- Ex. 1006 Certified translation of Mashita
- Ex. 1007 Japanese Patent Application Publication No. 2003-299014, identifying Jiro Onishi et al. as inventors (“Onishi”)
- Ex. 1008 Certified translation of Onishi
- Ex. 1009 Japanese Patent Application Publication No. 2004-102810, identifying Tomonobu Hiraishi as inventor (“Hiraishi”)
- Ex. 1010 Certified translation of Hiraishi
- Ex. 1011 United States Patent No. 8,738,794 to Gurvinder Singh, et al. (“the ’794 Patent”)
- Ex. 1012 Excerpts from *Mc-Graw Hill Dictionary of Computing & Communications*, Copyright 2003
- Ex. 1013 Excerpts from *Wiley Electrical and Electronics Engineering Dictionary*, Copyright 2004
- Ex. 1014 User guide for *Sony Ericsson Z520a*, Copyright 2005
- Ex. 1015 Cingular Wireless Service Agreement of 22 March, 2006
- Ex. 1016 User’s Guide for *Nokia N73*, Copyright 2006

- Ex. 1017 Excerpts from *Specification of the Bluetooth System*, Dated 4 November 2004
- Ex. 1018 Receipt for purchase of *Sony Ericsson Z520a*, dated December 20, 2005
- Ex. 1019 Amended Complaint dated March 2, 2018, *Cellspin Soft, Inc. v. Panasonic Corporation of North America*, Case No. 4:17-cv-05941, United States District Court for the Northern District of California
- Ex. 1020 *Bluetooth Basic Imaging Profile, Interoperability Specification*, Dated July 30, 2003
- Ex. 1021 “IMT-2000,” published by the National Telecommunications and Information Administration, United States Department of Commerce, August 2000

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. 37 C.F.R. § 42.8: MANDATORY NOTICES2

A. 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1): Real Parties-in-Interest2

B. 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2): Related Matters2

C. 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.8(b)(3), 42.8(b)(4): Lead and Back-up Counsel
and Service Information5

III. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(A): GROUNDS FOR STANDING6

IV. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B): IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE6

A. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1): Claims for Which IPR is Requested6

B. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2): Identification of Prior Art and
Asserted Grounds for Which IPR is Requested6

C. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art9

D. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3): Claim Construction10

1. “Cryptographically Authenticating” Phrase11

2. “New-Media”13

3. “Graphical User Interface (GUI)”13

4. Whether the Claims Require “Automatic” Operation14

E. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4): How the Claims Are Unpatentable16

F. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(5): Evidence Supporting Challenge16

**V. THERE EXISTS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT THE
CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE17**

A. Description of the ’698 Patent17

B. Prosecution History20

C. Common Claim Limitations24

D. Ground #1: All Challenged Claims Would Have Been Obvious
over Mashita, Onishi, and Hiraishi25

1. Mashita25

2. Onishi29

3.	Hiraishi.....	30
4.	Overview of Obviousness Arguments and Motivation to Combine Mashita, Onishi, and Hiraishi.....	31
5.	Independent Claims 1, 5, 8, and 13 [Common Limitations].....	33
a.	Claims 1 and 8 [preamble] [Limitation A].....	33
b.	Claims 1, 5, 8, and 13 [short-range wireless enabled digital camera device] [Limitation B].....	33
c.	Claims 1, 5, 8, and 13 [short-range wireless connection through cryptographic authentication] [Limitation C].....	37
d.	Claims 1, 5, 8, and 13 [acquiring new-media] [Limitation D].....	43
e.	Claims 1, 5, 8, and 13 [creating a new-media file] [Limitation E].....	49
f.	Claims 1, 5, 8, and 13 [storing the created new-media file in non-volatile memory] [Limitation F].....	50
g.	Claims 1, 5, 8, and 13 [receiving a data transfer request from the cellular phone] [Limitation G].....	51
h.	Claims 1, 5, 8, and 13 [transferring a new-media file to the cellular phone] [Limitation H].....	57
i.	Claims 1, 5, 8, and 13 [storing the received new-media file in non-volatile memory] [Limitation I].....	58
j.	Claims 1, 5, 8, and 13 [using HTTP to upload the received new-media file along with user information to a user media publishing website] [Limitation J].....	61
k.	Claims 1, 5, 8, and 13 [using a graphical user interface (GUI) to delete the created new-media file] [Limitation K].....	65
6.	Claim 8 [new-media includes video data and image data] [Limitation D1].....	69
7.	Claim 13 [non-transitory computer readable medium] [Limitation A1].....	69

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.