

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PANASONIC CORPORATION, PANASONIC CORPORATION OF
NORTH AMERICA, GOPRO, INC.,
GARMIN INTERNATIONAL, INC., AND GARMIN USA, INC.,
Petitioners

v.

CELLSPIN SOFT, INC.
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2019--00131
Patent 9,258,698 B2

RECORD OF ORAL HEARING
Oral Hearing Held January 28, 2020

Before GREGG I. ANDERSON, DANIEL J. GALLIGAN, and STACY B.
MARGOLIES, *Administrative Patent Judges.*

Case IPR2019-00131
Patent 9,258,698 B2

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

T. VANN PEARCE, JR ESQ
of: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
1152 15th St, NW
Washington D.C. 20005-1706
(202) 339-8696
vpearce@orrick.com

DAVID R. MEDINA, ESQ
of: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
1000 Marsh Rd
Menlo Park, CA 94025-1015
(650) 289-7139
dmedina@orrick.com

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

JOHN J. EDMONDS, ESQ
of: Edmonds & Schlather PLLC
2501 Saltus Street
Houston, Texas 77003
(713) 364-5291
jedmonds@ip-lit.com

RENE VAZQUEZ, ESQ.
of: Garteiser Honea
18296 St. Georges Ct.
Leesburg, VA 20176
(703) 989-2244

Case IPR2019-00131
Patent 9,258,698 B2

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Tuesday,
January 28, 2020, commencing at 3:40 p.m. at the U.S. Patent and
Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia

1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 3:40 p.m.

3 JUDGE MARGOLIES: We are here for a final hearing in
4 IPR2019-00131, captioned Panasonic Corporation, Panasonic
5 Corporation of North America, GoPro, Inc, Garmin International, Inc.
6 and Garmin USA, Inc. v., Cellspin Soft, Inc.

7 We'll introduce the panel. I am Judge Margolies, here in
8 Alexandria. Judge Anderson is appearing via video from California,
9 and Judge Galligan is appearing via video from our Dallas Regional
10 Office.

11 Let's get the parties' appearances. Who do we have appearing
12 on behalf of Petitioner?

13 MR. PEARCE: Good afternoon, Your Honors. Vann Pearce
14 from Orrick, on behalf of the Petitioners, and with me is my colleague
15 David Medina.

16 JUDGE MARGOLIES: Good afternoon, Counsel.

17 MR. MEDINA: Good afternoon.

18 JUDGE MARGOLIES: Who do we have appearing on
19 behalf of Patent Owner?

20 MR. EDMONDS: Good afternoon, Your Honors. John
21 Edmonds on behalf of the Patent Owner, Cellspin Soft, and to my left
22 is my co-counsel, Mr. Rene Vazquez.

23 JUDGE MARGOLIES: Thank you. Welcome.

24 We set forth the procedure for today's hearing in our trial
25 hearing order. And as a reminder, Petitioner Panasonic and Patent

1 Owner each will have one hour of total time to present arguments,
2 including arguments regarding the parties' Motions to Strike.
3 Petitioner has the burden of proof and will go first. Patent Owner
4 will, then, present opposition arguments. Then, to the extent Petitioner
5 has reserved his time, Petitioner will present rebuttal arguments. And
6 then, to the extent Patent Owner has reserved time, Patent Owner will
7 present surrebuttal arguments.

8 As per the prior hearing, for clarity in the transcript and for the
9 benefit of Judges Anderson and Galligan who are participating
10 remotely, when you refer to an exhibit on the screen, please identify
11 the exhibit number and page number. And when you refer to the
12 demonstrative slides, please identify the slide number.

13 In Paper 51, Patent Owner objected to some Petitioner's
14 demonstrative exhibits. Yesterday, we held a prehearing conference
15 and we ruled that Petitioner may use that demonstrative exhibits
16 during today's hearing.

17 Are there any questions on behalf of Patent Owner at this
18 time?

19 MR. EDMONDS: Yes, Your Honor. Just a similar issue that
20 I raised at the '127 proceeding we just finished. Just so the record is
21 clear, because I don't think that the telephonic hearing yesterday was
22 transcribed, it was our understanding that the Board has said that the
23 objections in the Motions to Strike -- in our case, it was also styled as
24 a Motion to Exclude -- are preserved and that the parties need not
25 object to each other's argument and, in fact, they should not be

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.