UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Panasonic Corporation and Panasonic Corporation of North America Petitioners,

v.

Cellspin Soft, Inc. Patent Owner.

CASE: IPR2019-00131¹ Patent No. 9,258,698

PATENT OWNER CELLSPIN'S RESPONSE TO PANASONIC'S MOTION TO STRIKE

DOCKET

Δ

¹ GoPro, Inc., Garmin International, Inc. and Garmin USA, Inc. were joined as parties to this proceeding. Paper 27.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIESii
I. Introduction1
II. Argument1
A. The evidence submitted with Cellspin's Sur-Reply, and the Sur- Reply, Were a Necessary and Proper Response to the Improper New Matters
B. Cellspin's Necessary and Proper Response to Panasonic's Improper New Matters Should Not be Stricken in Whole or Part7
C. Panasonic's Authorities Are Inapplicable and/or Fail to Overcome Cellspin's Due Process and/or APA Rights9
 D. Application of PTAB Rules or a Ruling Permitting Panasonic to Submit its Alleged Rebuttal Reply Evidence and/or to Assert New Non-Rebuttal, Improper Theories, Grounds and Arguments, While Denying Cellspin the Right to Submit its Proper Sur-Reply and/or Sur-Reply Rebuttal Evidence Constitutes a Violation of Due Process
E. The Cited "Examples" are Misleading and Do Not Justify Extreme Relief
F. To the Extent that Leave Would be Necessary, it Should be Granted
G. The Board should deny the motion as being premature and unnecessary
H. The Board Should Not Strike the Sur-Reply Due to Spacing/Count Issues13
J. Even if the Board Struck Some or All Sur-Reply Evidence, it Would be Improper including Under Due Process, to Strike Any of the Sur-Reply

II. Conclusion

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases:

Yeda Res. v. Mylan, 906 F.3d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 2018)
Genzyme v. Biomarin Pharm., 825 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2016)
In re NuVasive, 841 F.3d 966, 973 (Fed. Cir. 2016)
Intelligent Bio-Sys. v. Illumina Cambridge, 821 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016) 8
Dell v. Acceleron, 818 F.3d 1293 (Fed. Cir. 2016)
Belden v. Berk-Tek, 805 F.3d 1064 (Fed. Cir. 2015)
Apple v. e-Watch, IPR2015-00412 (Paper 50) (PTAB May 6, 2016)
Abbott Labs. v. Cordis, 710 F.3d 1318, 1328 (Fed. Cir. 2013)
U.S. v. Olano, 507 U.S. 725, 733 (1993)
Keystone Driller v. General Excavator, 290 U.S. 240 (1933)9
Cisco Sys. v. Oyster Optics, IPR2017-01724 (Paper 25) (Sept. 28, 2017)9
<i>Trane U.S. v. SEMCO</i> , IPR2018-00514 (PTAB Apr. 17, 2019) 10
Fluke v. Ametek, IPR2016-01428 (Paper 26) (Aug. 16, 2017)
<i>EMC v. Intellectual Ventures, II</i> , IPR2016-01106 (Paper 23) (July 17, 2017)
<i>Silicon Labs. v. Cresta Tech.</i> , IPR2015-00615 (Paper 26) (PTAB Feb. 29, 2016)

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Snap-On v. Milwaukee Elec., IPR2015-01242 (PTAB Aug. 19, 2016)	3, 14
Pi-Net Intl. v. JPMorgan Chase, 600 F.App'x 774 (Fed. Cir. 2015) 15	5, 16
Aver Info. v. Pathway Innov. & Techs., IPR2017-02108 (Paper 27) (PTAB Nov. 30, 2018)	5

Constitution and Statutes:

37 C.F.R. §42.23(b)	Passim
5 U.S.C. §§ 554(b)-(c), 556(d), 557(c)	Passim
U.S. Constitution, Due Process Clause	Passim
37 C.F.R. § 42.6(a)(2)(ii)	14

I. Introduction.

Pending are motions to strike filed by Panasonic and Cellspin. Papers 44, 45. Per Cellspin's Motion, the Board should strike the improper new theories, directions, approaches, arguments and evidence in Panasonic's Reply and exhibits, which are not proper rebuttal and which could have presented in its prima facie case (the "Improper New Matters)" including as noted herein and in Paper 45. As also noted in the Cellspin's Motion, the Reply constitutes an unfairly prejudicial violation of 37 C.F.R. §42.23(b), the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA") and due process, including because everything, or at minimum substantially everything, is Improper New Matters. Panasonic's complaints about prejudice ring hollow. Cellspin's Sur-Reply and evidence were a necessary and proper response, pursuant to Cellspin's due process and APA rights, to have fair notice and opportunity to be heard (hereinafter a "Necessary and Proper" response), attempting, in a Sur-Reply, to rebut Improper New Matters. Panasonic's Motion should be denied, including because it would be an unfair violation of Cellspin's above rights to improperly strike Cellspin's rebuttal matters in and cited by its Sur-Reply, including without striking the Improper New Matters to which Cellspin Necessarily and Properly responded.

- II. Argument.
 - A. The evidence submitted with Cellspin's Sur-Reply, and the Sur-Reply, Were a Necessary and Proper Response to the Improper New Matters.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.