	ed States Patent 2	and Trademark Office	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER I P.O. Box (1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22 www.uspto.gov	FOR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
13/590,423	08/21/2012	Aleksandar Modrag Tasic	121973	9482
23696 7590 06/16/2014 QUALCOMM INCORPORATED 5775 MOREHOUSE DR.			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, KHANH C	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2631	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/16/2014	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com

Advisory Action	Application No. 13/590,423	Applicant(s) TASIC ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner KHANH C. TRAN	Art Unit 2631	AIA (First Inventor to File) Status No				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. NO NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED							
1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection. No Notice of Appeal has been filed. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance;							
(2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114 if this is a utility or plant application. Note that RCEs are not permitted in design applications. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action; or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
c) 🗌 A prior Advisory Action was mailed more than 3 months after the mailing date of the final rejection in response to a first after-final reply filed							
within 2 months of the mailing date of the final rejection. The current period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the prior Advisory Action or SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is earlier.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a), (b) or (c). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THIS ADVISORY ACTION IS THE FIRST RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S FIRST AFTER-FINAL REPLY WHICH WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. ONLY CHECK BOX (c) IN THE LIMITED SITUATION SET FORTH UNDER BOX (c). See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a							
extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The							
appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) or (c) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the							
mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL	compliance with 27 CEP 41 27 must	oo filod within t	we menthe of the date of filing the				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of							
Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). <u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendments filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because							
a) They raise new issues that would require furth	아랫동안 이 가지 않는 것 이 것 같아. 것 것 같아. 것 같아. 가지 않는 것 같아. 가지 않는 것 같아. 것 같아. 가지 않는 것 같아. 귀 ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ?	NOTE below);					
<ul> <li>b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for</li> </ul>							
appeal; and/or d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection		oompilant And					
<ul> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>							
<ul> <li>7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): (a) will not be entered, or (b) will be entered, and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.</li> </ul>							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. A declaration(s)/affidavit(s) under 37 CFR 1.130(b) was/were filed on							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing the Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
11. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
12. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
13. ⊠ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 14. □ Other:							
STATUS OF CLAIMS							
15. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1,11,12,17 and 19.			Qualcomm 2001, p.2				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
	/KHANH C TRAN/						

**DOCKET** A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>. The proposed amendment filed 6/6/2014 after Final Rejection includes the newly features "employing carrier aggregation" on independent claims 1, 17 and 19. Because the newly features are new issues and would require further consideration and search, therefore, the proposed amendment won't be entered for the aforementioned reasons.