

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:) Investigation No.:
CERTAIN MOBILE ELECTRONIC DEVICES) 337-TA-1093
AND RADIO FREQUENCY AND PROCESSING)
COMPONENTS THEREOF (II))

OPEN SESSIONS

Pages: 1953 – 2270 (with excerpts)
Place: Washington, D.C.
Date: Tuesday, September 25, 2018



Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.
Stenotype Reporters
1625 I Street, NW
Suite 790
Washington, D.C. 20006
202-347-3700
Nationwide Coverage
www.acefederal.com

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

BEFORE THE

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

- - - - -x

IN THE MATTER OF: :

CERTAIN MOBILE ELECTRONIC DEVICES : Investigation No.

AND RADIO FREQUENCY AND PROCESSING : 337-TA-1093

COMPONENTS THEREOF (II) :

- - - - -x

HEARING

Tuesday, September 25, 2018

Courtroom C

U.S. International Trade

Commission

500 E Street SW

Washington, DC

The Hearing commenced, pursuant to notice of the Judge,
at 9:31 a.m., before the Honorable MaryJoan McNamara,
Administrative Law Judge for the United States
International Trade Commission.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

202-347-3700

1 signals down the line, as is shown here. And they are
2 depicted as being separate signals in this figure.

3 Q Now, if we could go to CDX-3C.109. So even if
4 Lee had a single RF input signal, and you have just
5 explained to us why you don't think that's the case, would
6 the Lee reference satisfy the limitation that we're looking
7 at here, "the input RF signal employing carrier
8 aggregation"?

9 A No, it would not, because as I said a moment
10 ago, Lee is separate radios in a multiradio device, for
11 Bluetooth and Wi-Fi. These signals are received, but they
12 are unrelated, and there's nothing taught in Lee about
13 creating a wider pipeline, send a signal data stream and
14 aggregate it to increase the data rate.

15 Q Okay. So then why is receiving a Bluetooth
16 signal and a Wi-Fi signal at the same time not carrier
17 aggregation?

18 A Because nothing is combined together to increase
19 the data rate.

20 Q So does Lee refer to Bluetooth and Wi-Fi
21 anywhere as carrier aggregation?

22 A No, he does not.

23 Q And does it use the phrase "carrier aggregation"
24 at all?

25 A That phrase does not appear in Lee.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

202-347-3700

1 combining signals together to increase the aggregated data
2 rate. That is not in Hirose.

3 Q Okay. Now, what did the PTO include about -- or
4 conclude about the amendment to add carrier aggregation
5 over the Hirose reference rejection?

6 A In -- in the file history, when that language
7 was added, Hirose was overcome. That was the conclusion of
8 the patent examiner.

9 Q Okay. So now, we just talked about the
10 limitation that read in claim 1, "the input RF signal
11 employing carrier aggregation."

12 Okay?

13 A Okay.

14 Q So is that same limitation in claim 17?

15 A Yes, it is. It's claim 17 is the method claim
16 of claim 1.

17 Q Okay.

18 A The apparatus of claim 1.

19 Q Okay. I understand. So then the analysis that
20 you just walked through regarding the limitation the input
21 RF signal employing carrier aggregation, would that apply
22 to claim 17 as well?

23 A Yes, it would be the same analysis with the same
24 conclusion.

25 Q Okay. Now I'd like to move to the second basis

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

202-347-3700

1 JUDGE MC NAMARA: Please be seated.

2 Any time you are ready, Ms. Tallon.

3 MS. TALLON: Thank you, your Honor.

4 And we should be able to be on the public record
5 for the duration, which is about 2-1/2 hours -- no, I'm
6 kidding.

7 Don't worry, Dr. Foty. Hope you're feeling
8 better, sir.

9 THE WITNESS: I said that's the wrong question.
10 I am feeling better, but it will be a long time before I
11 get better.

12 CROSS-EXAMINATION

13 BY MS. TALLON:

14 Q I hope you get there soon.

15 Dr. Foty, I'm going to start with your opinion
16 on the '356 patent and Dr. Fay's opinion that the claims
17 are anticipated. Do you have that in mind?

18 A That's a good starting point.

19 Q Now, you testified on your direct with
20 Mr. Nelson that the Lee reference was before the Patent
21 Office during prosecution of the '356 patent; right?

22 A Lee appears on the face of the '356 patent, yes.

23 Q Correct. And I think I got this quote from you
24 right. I heard you say apparently the Patent Office
25 reached the conclusion that Lee and Winiecki taught away

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

202-347-3700

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.