

Petitioner's Motion for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* of Gregory H. Lantier

DOCKET NO.: 0107131-00573 US4

Filed on behalf of Intel Corporation

By: David L. Cavanaugh, Reg. No. 36,476  
John V. Hobgood, Reg. No. 61,540  
Ben Fernandez, Reg. No. 55,172  
Michael H. Smith, Reg. 71,190  
Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP  
1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20006  
Email: David.Cavanaugh@wilmerhale.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

INTEL CORPORATION  
Petitioner

v.

QUALCOMM INCORPORATED  
Patent Owner

Trial No. IPR2019-00128  
U.S. Patent No. 9,154,356

**PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR ADMISSION *PRO HAC VICE* OF  
GREGORY H. LANTIER**

**I. Statement of Precise Relief Requested**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c) and Paper No. 6 authorizing the parties to file motions for *pro hac vice* admissions under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), Petitioner Intel Corporation (“Petitioner”) requests that the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (the “Board”) admit Gregory H. Lantier *pro hac vice* in this proceeding, IPR2019-00128.

**II. Statement of Facts Showing Good Cause for the Board to Recognize Counsel *Pro Hac Vice* During the Proceeding**

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and to any other conditions as the Board may impose. Section 42.10(c) indicates that “where lead counsel is a registered practitioner, a motion to appear *pro hac vice* by counsel who is not a registered practitioner may be granted upon a showing that counsel is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.” The facts here establish good cause for the Board to recognize Gregory H. Lantier *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

1. Lead counsel, David Cavanaugh, and backup counsel, John Hobgood, Ben Fernandez, and Michael Smith, are registered practitioners.

2. Counsel, Gregory H. Lantier, is an experienced litigator and has established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.

Petitioner's Motion for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* of Gregory H. Lantier

3. Accompanying this motion as Exhibit 1338 is the October 7, 2019

Declaration of Gregory H. Lantier in Support of this Motion for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* ("Lantier Decl."). In his declaration, Mr. Lantier asserts:

I am a member in good standing of the Bars of the State of New York, the Commonwealth of Virginia, and the District of Columbia, and am admitted to practice before the United States District Courts for the Northern, Western, and Southern Districts of New York, the Eastern District of Virginia, the Eastern District of Texas, and the District of Columbia; the United States Court of Federal Claims; the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit; and the Supreme Court of the United States. Lantier Decl. ¶ 3 (Ex. 1338).

4. Mr. Lantier also asserts:

I am familiar with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding. I participated in the drafting of the Petition filed in this proceeding, and I have reviewed the papers filed in this proceeding. In addition to the instant proceeding, my firm currently represents Petitioner against the Patent Owner in the following other pending proceedings: IPR2018-01152, IPR2018-01153, IPR2018-01154, IPR2018-01240, IPR2018-01261, IPR2018-01293, IPR2018-01295, IPR2018-01326, IPR2018-01327, IPR2018-01328, IPR2018-01329, IPR2018-01330, IPR2018-

Petitioner's Motion for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* of Gregory H. Lantier

01334, IPR2018-01340, IPR2018-01344, IPR2018-01346, IPR2018-01429, IPR2019-00047, IPR2019-00048, IPR2019-00049, IPR2019-00128, IPR2019-00129. I am representing and have represented Petitioner Intel Corporation in multiple patent-related matters, including the following United States District Court cases: *Qualcomm Inc. v. Apple Inc.*, 3:17-cv-02402 (S.D. Cal.), which is related to and involves the same patent at issue in this proceeding; *VLSI Tech. LLC v. Intel Corp.*, Nos. 1:18-cv-00966 and 1:19-cv-00426 (D. Del.); *VLSI Tech. LLC v. Intel Corp.*, No. 5:17-cv-05671 (N.D. Cal.); *VLSI Tech. LLC v. Intel Corp.*, Nos. 6:19-cv-254, 6:19-cv-255, and 6:19-256 (W.D. Tex.). Lantier Decl. ¶¶ 13-14 (Ex. 1338).

5. In his declaration, Mr. Lantier also attests to each of the listed items required by the “Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission” in Case IPR2013-00639, Paper 7. *See* Lantier Decl. ¶¶ 1-15 (Ex. 1338).

### **III. Conclusion**

For the foregoing reasons, Petitioner respectfully requests that the Board admit Gregory H. Lantier *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

Respectfully Submitted,

/Michael Smith/

David L. Cavanaugh, Reg. No. 36,476  
John V. Hobgood, Reg. No. 61,540  
Ben Fernandez, Reg. No. 55,172  
Michael H. Smith, Reg. No. 71,190  
Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP

Dated: October 7, 2019

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.