UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOPRO, INC., GARMIN INTERNATIONAL, INC., AND GARMIN USA, INC.

Petitioners,

v.

CELLSPIN SOFT, INC.

Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No. 9,258,698

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2019-01107

MOTION FOR JOINDER UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 315(c) AND 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22 AND 42.122(b) TO RELATED *INTER PARTES* REVIEW IPR2019-00127



TABLE OF CONTENTS

				Page	
I.	STA	STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED			
II.	STA	STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS			
III.	STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED				
	A.	Leg	al Standard	3	
	В.	Peti	tioners' Motion for Joinder is Timely	3	
	C.	Eac	h Factor Weighs in Favor of Joinder	3	
		1.	Joinder Is Appropriate	3	
		2.	The Present Petition Is Substantively Identical to the Canon IPR Petition	4	
		3.	Joinder Will Not Impact the Trial Schedule for the Canon IPR	7	
		4.	Briefing and Discovery Will Be Simplified	7	
IV.	INA	PPLIC	CABILITY OF <i>GENERAL PLASTIC</i> FACTORS	9	
V.	CON	NCLU	SION	14	



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases	Page(s)
Apple Inc. v. Uniloc 2017 LLC, IPR2018-00580, Paper 13 (PTAB Aug. 21, 2018)	9, 10, 14
Canon U.S.A., Inc. v. Cellspin Soft, Inc., IPR2019-00127	1, 2, 15
Cellspin Soft, Inc. v. Fitbit, Inc., et al., Case No. 17-cv-06881, Doc. 74	2
Cellspin Soft, Inc. v. Garmin International, Inc. and Garmin USA, Inc., Case No. 3:17-cv-05934	2
Cellspin Soft, Inc. v. Garmin International, Inc., et al., Case No. 18-1822 (Fed. Cir.)	2
Cellspin Soft, Inc. v. GoPro, Inc., Case No. 18-1824 (Fed. Cir.)	2
Cellspin Soft, Inc. v. GoPro, Inc., Case No. 3:17-cv-05939	2
Celltrion, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc., IPR2018-01019, Paper 11 (PTAB Oct. 30, 2018)	10, 14
Dell Inc. v. Network-1 Sec. Solutions, Inc., IPR2013-00385, Paper 17 (PTAB July 29, 2013)	4
General Plastic Indus. Co., Ltd. v. Canon Kabushiki Kaisha, IPR2017-01357, Paper 19 (PTAB Sept. 6, 2017)	passim
Kyocera Corporation v. Softview LLC, IPR2013-00004, Paper 15 (PTAB Apr. 24, 2013)	3
Mylan Pharm. Inc. v. Novartis AG and LTS Lohmann Therapie-Systeme AG, IPR2014-00550, Paper 38 (PTAB Apr. 10, 2015)	8
Panasonic Corp. of North America, et al. v. Cellspin Soft, Inc., IPR 2019-00131	11



Shenzhen Silver Star Intelligent Tech. Co., Ltd. v. iRobot Corp., IPR2018-00898, Paper 9 (PTAB Oct. 1, 2018)	13
Sony Corp., et al. v. Memory Integrity, LLC, IPR2015-01353, Paper 11 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2015)	7, 9
Teva Pharms. USA, Inc. v. Allergan, Inc., IPR2017-00579, Paper 9 (PTAB Mar. 31, 2017)	6
Valve Corp. v. Electronic Scripting Products, Inc., IPR2019-00062, Paper 11 (PTAB Apr. 2, 2019)	14
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 101	2
35 U.S.C. § 315(c)	1, 3
35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(11)	13
Other Authorities	
37 C.F.R. § 42.22	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.101(b)	3
37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b)	1, 3



I. STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 315(c) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b), GoPro, Inc., Garmin International, Inc., and Garmin USA, Inc. (collectively, "Petitioners") respectfully request joinder of the concurrently-filed Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 9,258,698 ("the GoPro/Garmin Petition") with pending *Inter Partes* Review, *Canon U.S.A., Inc. v. Cellspin Soft, Inc.*, IPR2019-00127 for the '698 Patent ("the Canon IPR"). The Canon IPR was instituted on April 29, 2019, and therefore, this Motion for Joinder is timely filed under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22 and 42.122(b), as it is submitted no later than one month after the April 29, 2019, institution date of the Canon IPR. As detailed below, the GoPro/Garmin Petition presents substantially the same grounds of unpatentability, prior art, and evidence, and Petitioners are willing to accept an "understudy" role in the Canon IPR. Joinder will not affect the schedule or otherwise delay the Canon IPR, nor will joinder complicate any issues.

The Petitioners asked Canon whether it would oppose Petitioners' joinder request. Canon indicated it may oppose. Additionally, Canon indicated it will not allow Petitioners to retain Canon's expert for the present Petition. Therefore, Petitioners' expert for the present IPR has reviewed the evidentiary record of the opinions provided by Canon's expert, agrees with his opinions, and adopts those opinions for purposes of the present IPR. However, Petitioners are willing to rely on Canon's expert should Canon agree that Petitioners may retain him.

Joinder is appropriate because it will not unduly burden or prejudice the parties in



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

