# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD CANON U.S.A., INC. Petitioner, v. Cellspin Soft, Inc. Patent Owner. CASE: IPR2019-00127<sup>1</sup> Patent No. 9,258,698

# PATENT OWNER CELLSPIN'S MOTION TO STRIKE AND, ALTERNATIVELY, EXCLUDE IMPROPER REPLY AND REPLY EVIDENCE ASSERTED BY PETITIONER CANON

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> GoPro, Inc., Garmin International, Inc. and Garmin USA, Inc. were joined as parties to this proceeding. Paper 27.



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction and Relief Requested1	
II.	Procedural History4	
III	Argument Including Basis for Relief Under §42.23(b) and Due Process.	
	A. Canon's new Reply theory concerning paired wireless connections being obvious, including in view of Bluetooth, should be stricken or, alternatively, excluded	-
	B. Canon's new Reply theory concerning paired wireless connection now being construed to require an "association" instead of merely requiring two-way communications; and Canon's new theory that Hiroishi and Hollstrom meet this new "association" theory of pairing, should be stricken or, alternatively, excluded	,
	C. Canon's new Reply theory concerning cryptographic authenticating being obvious based upon matters and language set forth in the Bluetooth standard or other new Exhibits relied upon by Canon, for various reasons, including cryptographic authentication being a feature of Bluetooth association models, encouragement, design choice, expectedness, routineness, safeness and/or due to predictable results, should be stricken or, alternatively, excluded	3
	D. Canon's new Reply theory concerning that if a POTISA wanted to establish a connection, he or she would necessarily use or "have to use" cryptographic authentication, including based upon analysis of contents of the Bluetooth Standard or other new Exhibits relied upon by Canon, should be stricken or, alternatively, excluded	.(
	E. Canon's new Reply theory concerning cryptographic authentication being a "mandatory" feature, including under Security Mode 3 of the Bluetooth Standard, should be stricken or alternatively excluded	1



F. Canon's new Reply theories for the GUI element being satisfied should be stricken or, alternatively, excluded	11
G. Canon's new Reply theories for combining, and motivations to combine, Hiroishi with Nozaki and/or Ando for using a mobile GUI for image deletion on the camera should be stricken or, alternatively, excluded.	12
H. Canon's new Reply theory concerning obviousness "if" Takahashi's newly named file is a different file should be stricken or, alternatively, excluded	14
IV Conclusion	14



# **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

## **Cases:**

Genzyme v. Biomarin Pharm., 825 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2016)		
Intelligent Bio-Sys. v. Illumina Cambridge, 821 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016)		
In re NuVasive, 841 F.3d 966 (Fed. Cir. 2016)		
Belden v. Berk-Tek, 805 F.3d 1064 (Fed. Cir. 2015)1		
Apple v. e-Watch, IPR2015-00412 (Paper 50, p. 44) (PTAB May 6, 2016)		
Abbott Labs. v. Cordis, 710 F.3d 1318 (Fed. Cir. 2013)		
Yeda Res. v. Mylan, 906 F.3d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 2018)2		
Dell v. Acceleron, 818 F.3d 1293 (Fed. Cir. 2016)2		
N. Am. Coal v. Miller, 870 F.2d 948 (3d Cir. 1989)2		
Canon v. Intellectual Ventures II, IPR2014-00631, Paper 50 (PTAB Aug. 19, 2015)		
Constitution and Statutes:		
37 C.F.R. §42.23(b)		
5 U.S.C. §§ 554(b)-(c), 556(d), 557(c)		
U.S. Constitution, Due Process Clause		



### I. Introduction and Relief Requested.

The Board should strike the improper new theories, directions, approaches, arguments and evidence in Canon's Reply and its exhibits noted in Section III, which are not proper rebuttal and which Canon could, and should, have presented in its prima facie case in its Petition (collectively the "Improper New Matters"), including pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §42.23(b), the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA") and due process. See Genzyme v. Biomarin Pharm., 825 F.3d 1360, 1365-66 (Fed. Cir. 2016); Intelligent Bio-Sys. v. Illumina Cambridge, 821 F.3d 1359, 1369-70 (Fed. Cir. 2016); In re NuVasive, 841 F.3d 966, 973 (Fed. Cir. 2016); Belden v. Berk-Tek, 805 F.3d 1064, 1078, 1081 (Fed. Cir. 2015); Apple v. e-Watch, IPR2015-00412 (Paper 50, p. 44) (PTAB May 6, 2016); See Consolidated Guide, pp. 73 & 80-81. See also 5 U.S.C. §§ 554(b)-(c), 556(d), 557(c); Abbott Labs. v. Cordis, 710 F.3d 1318, 1328 (Fed. Cir. 2013). Canon's Reply constitutes a clear, egregious and unfairly prejudicial violation, for at least the reason that everything, or at minimum substantially everything of substance or consequence, constitutes Improper New Matters.

This egregious violation severely prejudices Cellspin at this advanced stage of this proceeding. Failure to strike, or, alternatively, exclude, would also violate the APA and its guarantees of due process, including fair notice and the opportunity to respond and be fairly heard. Admission of these Improper New Matters would



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

