UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC., Petitioner,

V.

IXI IP, LLC, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2019-00125 Patent 7,039,033 B2

DECLARATION OF NATHAN NOBU LOWENSTEIN IN SUPPORT OF PATENT OWNER'S MOTION FOR *PRO HAC VICE* ADMISSION OF NATHAN NOBU LOWENSTEIN UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)



- I, Nathan Nobu Lowenstein, declare as follows:
- 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of California.
- 2. I am a member in good standing in all jurisdictions where I have been admitted to practice.
- 3. I have never been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body.
- 4. I have never had an application denied for admission to practice before any court or administrative body.
- 5. I have never had any sanctions or contempt citations imposed upon me by any court or administrative body.
- 6. I have read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice
 Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of 37 C.F.R.
- 7. I agree to be subject to the U.S.P.T.O. Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq*. and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a).
 - 8. I am a partner at the law firm of Lowenstein & Weatherwax LLP.
- 9. I have practiced law in California since 2005, and the majority of my practice has consisted of patent litigation and other patent related matters such as PTAB litigations. Representative patent litigations where I have been actively involved as patent litigation counsel include:



- Microprocessor Enhancement Corp. v. Texas Instruments Inc., 8:08-cv-01123 (C.D. Cal.).
- The Quantum World Corp. v. Atmel Corp., 2:07-cv-00024 (E.D. Tex.).
- St. Jude Med., Inc. v. Access Closure, Inc., 4:08-cv-04101 (W.D. Ark.).
- *Tessera, Inc. v. Micron Tech., Inc.*, 2:05-cv-00094 (E.D. Tex.).
- 10. My experience in post-grant patent proceedings includes drafting patent owner responses, taking depositions, and presenting oral arguments before the Board. Representative matters where I was actively involved include:
 - ZTE (USA), Inc. v. SEVEN Networks, LLC (IPR2019-00412, -00460, -00461).
 - Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd. v. SEVEN Networks, LLC (IPR2018-01106, -01108, -01120, -01122, -01124, -01125, -01126, -01127).
 - Google LLC v. SEVEN Networks, LLC (IPR2018-01047 through 01052, -01101, -01116 through -01118).
 - Intel Corp. v. VLSI Tech. LLC (IPR2018-01033, -01038, -01040, -01105, -01107, -01144).
 - Unified Patents Inc. v. Sound View Innovations, LLC (IPR2018-00096, -00599).



- Hulu, LLC v. Sound View Innovations, LLC (IPR2018-00017, -00366,
 -00582, -00864, -01023, -01039).
- Alphonso, Inc. v. Free Stream Media Corp. (IPR2017-01730, -01731).
- Facebook, Inc. v. Sound View Innovations, LLC (IPR2017-00985, -00986, -00998, -01002 through -01006).
- Kingston Tech. Co. v. Polaris Innovations, Ltd. (IPR2016-01621 through -01623, IPR2017-00114, -00116, -00238).
- Intel Corp. v. Future Link Sys., LLC (IPR2016-01398, -01400 through -01402).
- Matters involving Solocron Media, LLC (IPR2015-00342, -00349, -00350, -00364, -00376, -00380, -00383, -00387 through -00392).
- Microsoft Corp. v. IpLearn-Focus, LLC (IPR2015-00095, -00097).
- Matters involving Maxim Integrated Products, Inc. (CBM2014-00038 through -041, -00177 through -00180, CBM2015-00098, -00101, -00102, IPR2016-00032, -00033).
- Nissan N. Am., Inc. v. Diamond Coating Techs., LLC (IPR2014-01545 through -01548).
- 11. I have previously been admitted to appear, *pro hac vice*, in the following matters before the U.S.P.T.O:



- Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd. v. SEVEN Networks, LLC (IPR2018-01106, -01108, -01124, -01125).
- Google LLC v. SEVEN Networks, LLC (IPR2018-01047 through -01052, -01101, -01116 through -01118).
- Intel Corp. v. VLSI Tech. LLC (IPR2018-01033, -01038, -01040, -01105, -01107, -01144).
- Unified Patents Inc. v. Sound View Innovations, LLC (IPR2018-00096, -00599).
- Hulu, LLC v. Sound View Innovations, LLC (IPR2018-00017, -00366,
 -00582, -00864, -01023, -01039).
- Facebook, Inc. v. Sound View Innovations, LLC (IPR2017-00985, -00986, -00998, -01002 through -01006).
- Intel Corp. v. Future Link Sys., LLC (IPR2016-01398, -01401, -01402).
- Kingston Tech. Co. v. Polaris Innovations, Ltd. (IPR2016-01621 through -01623, IPR2017-00114, -00116).
- Compass Bank v. Maxim Integrated Prods., Inc. (CBM2015-00098, -00101, -00102).
- Microsoft Corp. v. IpLearn-Focus, LLC (IPR2015-00095, -00097).



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

