

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AMAZON WEB SERVICES INC., and
AMAZON.COM, INC., and VADATA, INC.,

Petitioners,

v.

SAINT REGIS MOHAWK TRIBE,
Patent Owner.

IPR2019-00103
Patent 7,149,867

**PATENT OWNER SAINT REGIS MOHAWK TRIBE'S PRELIMINARY
RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT 7,149,867**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. PERTINENT FACTS 2

 A. SRC Computers creates the first high performance reconfigurable
 computer. 2

 B. Related Proceedings..... 4

III. THE BOARD SHOULD DENY INSTITUTION UNDER § 314(a). 5

 A. The Board should deny institution because SRC is a sole-source
 supplier for the U.S. Army’s TRACER Program..... 7

 B. The Board should deny institution because there is a district court
 case involving the same patent and same prior art..... 9

 C. The Board should deny institution because of the Tribe’s status as a
 sovereign..... 11

IV. TECHNOLOGY BACKGROUND..... 12

 A. Conventional Computer Architecture..... 12

 B. Field Programmable Gate Arrays. 13

 C. Reconfigurable Computing..... 14

V. PATENT OWNER’S CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS 16

 A. “a data prefetch unit” 16

VI. AMAZON HAS FAILED TO DEMONSTRATE A REASONABLE
 LIKELIHOOD OF PREVAILING AS TO ANY CHALLENGED
 CLAIM 18

 A. The Petition should be denied for failing to comply with §
 42.104(b)(3). 18

 B. The Petition should be denied because it relies on an incorrect
 construction of the term “data prefetch unit.” 21

VII. CONCLUSION.....23

VIII. LIST OF EXHIBITS.....26

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES:

<i>Cuozzo Speed Techs. v. Lee</i> , 136 S. Ct. 2131 (2016).....	6
<i>In re Paulsen</i> , 30 F.3d 1475, 1480 (Fed. Cir. 1994).....	17
<i>Michigan v. Bay Mills Indian Cmty.</i> , 134 S. Ct. 2024 (2014).....	11
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	17, 18
<i>Saint Regis Mohawk Tribe v. Mylan Pharm. Inc.</i> , 896 F.3d 1322 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	2, 11, 12

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDERS:

<i>Apple, Inc. v. ContentGuard Holdings, Inc.</i> , Case No. IPR2015-00456, 2015 WL 4036010 (PTAB June 15, 2015).....	20
<i>Carefusion Corp. v. Baxter Int'l, Inc.</i> , Case No. IPR2016-01456, 2017 WL 506561 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 6, 2017)	20
<i>Duo Security Inc. v. Strikeforce Tech., Inc.</i> , Case IPR2017-01064 (PTAB Oct. 16, 2017).....	23
<i>Eiko Global, LLC v. Blackbird Tech LLC</i> , Case IPR2017-00980 (PTAB Sept. 1, 2017)	23
<i>General Plastic Indus. Co., Ltd. v. Canon Kabushiki Kaisha</i> , Case IPR2016-01357, Paper 19 at 9-10 (PTAB Sept. 6, 2017)	6, 7, 10
<i>Google Inc. v. InfoGation Corp.</i> , Case IPR2017-00819 (PTAB Sept. 11, 2017).....	23
<i>Mylan Pharms., Inc. v. Bayer Intellectual Property GMBH</i> ,	

Case IPR2018-01143, slip op. at 12-14 (PTAB Dec. 3, 2018)	11
<i>NHK Spring Co., Ltd v. Intri-Plex Techs., Inc.</i> , Case IPR2018-00752, slip op. at 19-20 (PTAB Sept. 12, 2018).....	11
<i>Schlumberger Tech. Corp. v. EnerPol, LLC</i> , Case IPR2018-00077 (PTAB Apr. 25, 2018)	23
<i>United Microelectronics Corp. et al v. Lone Star Silicon Innovations LLC</i> , Case IPR2017-01513 (PTAB May 22, 2018)	23
<i>United Patents, Inc. v. Uniloc Luxembourg S.A.</i> , Case IPR2018-00057 (PTAB May 11, 2018)	23

STATUTES:

35 U.S.C. § 112	19
35 U.S.C. § 312	18
35 U.S.C. § 314	<i>passim</i>
35 U.S.C. § 316	7

RULES:

Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 11	21
-----------------------------	----

REGULATIONS:

37 C.F.R. § 11.18	21, 22
37 C.F.R. § 42.11	21
37 C.F.R. § 42.22	18
37 C.F.R. § 42.104	<i>passim</i>

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.