

DOCKET NO.: 0107131-00573US3

Filed on behalf of Intel Corporation

By: David L. Cavanaugh, Reg. No. 36,476
John V. Hobgood, Reg. No. 61,540
Benjamin S. Fernandez, Reg. No. 55,172
Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP
1875 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20006
Tel: (202) 663-6000
Email: David.Cavanaugh@wilmerhale.com
John.Hobgood@wilmerhale.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INTEL CORPORATION
Petitioner

v.

QUALCOMM INCORPORATED
Patent Owner

Case IPR2019-00049

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 9,154,356
CHALLENGING CLAIMS 2-8 and 11**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES	1
	A. Real Party-in-Interest	1
	B. Related Matters.....	1
	C. Counsel	2
	D. Service Information	2
III.	CERTIFICATION OF GROUNDS FOR STANDING	2
IV.	OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED.....	3
	A. Prior Art Patents and Printed Publications.....	3
	B. Grounds for Challenge	5
V.	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF TECHNOLOGY	6
	A. Basic Receiver Front End.....	7
	B. Low Noise Amplifiers	11
	1. Cascode Configuration.....	12
	C. Carrier Aggregation.....	13
	D. Optional Receiver Circuits	16
	1. Impedance Matching Circuits	16
	2. Feedback Circuit	18
	3. Attenuation Circuit.....	19
	4. Inductors.....	20
VI.	OVERVIEW OF THE '356 PATENT	21
	A. Independent Claim 1	22
	B. Summary of the Prosecution History	25
	1. <i>First Office Action</i>	26
	2. <i>Second Office Action</i>	27
	3. <i>Third Office Action</i>	28
	4. <i>Fourth Office Action</i>	28
VII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	29
	A. “carrier aggregation”	30

VIII.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	34
IX.	OVERVIEW OF PRIOR ART	34
	A. <i>A Scalable 6-to-18 GHz Concurrent Dual-Band Quad-Beam Phased-Array Receiver in CMOS</i> (“Jeon”).....	34
	B. U.S. Patent Publication No. 2010/0237947 A1 (“Xiong”)	36
	C. 3GPP TR 36.912 Feasibility study for Further Advancements for E-UTRA (LTE-Advanced) (Release 9) (“Feasibility Study”)	38
	D. <i>Resistive-Feedback CMOS Low-Noise Amplifiers for Multiband Applications</i> (“Perumana”)	40
X.	SPECIFIC GROUNDS FOR PETITION	41
	A. Ground I: Claims 2-8 and 11 Are Obvious Over Jeon in View of Xiong.....	41
	1. <u>Claim 1</u>	41
	2. <u>Claim 2</u>	61
	3. <u>Claim 3</u>	64
	4. <u>Claim 4</u>	68
	5. <u>Claim 5</u>	69
	6. <u>Claim 6</u>	71
	7. <u>Claim 7</u>	76
	8. <u>Claim 8</u>	78
	9. <u>Claim 11</u>	79
	B. Ground II: Claims 2-8 and 11 Are Obvious Over Jeon in View of Xiong and the Feasibility Study.....	80
XI.	CONCLUSION.....	84

I. INTRODUCTION

Intel Corporation (“Intel” or “Petitioner”) respectfully requests *Inter Partes* Review of 2-8 and 11 of U.S. Patent No. 9,154,356 (the “’356 Patent”) (EX1201-’356-Patent) pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§311-19 and 37 C.F.R. §42.1 *et seq.*

II. MANDATORY NOTICES

A. Real Party-in-Interest

Intel and Apple Inc. (“Apple”) are the real parties-in-interest.

B. Related Matters

Qualcomm Incorporated (“Qualcomm” or “Patent Owner”) has asserted the ’356 patent against Apple in *Certain Mobile Electronic Devices and Radio Frequency and Processing Components Thereof*, Investigation No. 337-ITC-1093, currently pending before the International Trade Commission (“ITC 1093 Investigation”).

Qualcomm also has asserted the ’356 patent against Apple in another currently pending case, *Qualcomm Inc. v. Apple Inc.*, No. 3:17-cv-02398 (S.D. Cal.) (“Related Matter No. 3:17-cv-02398”).

Petitioner is filing the following other petitions for *inter partes* review of the ’356 patent: IPR-2019-00047, IPR-2019-00048, IPR-2019-00128, and IPR-2019-00129.

Petitioner requests that these petitions be assigned to the same panel.

C. Counsel

Lead Counsel: David L. Cavanaugh (Registration No. 36,476)

Backup Counsel: John V. Hobgood (Registration No. 61,540);

Benjamin S. Fernandez (Registration No. 55,172).

D. Service Information

E-mail: David.Cavanaugh@wilmerhale.com;

John.Hobgood@wilmerhale.com;

Ben.Fernandez@wilmerhale.com.

Post and hand delivery: Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP

1875 Pennsylvania Ave., NW

Washington, DC 20006

Tel: (202) 663-6000

Fax: (202) 663-6363

Petitioner consents to email delivery on lead and backup counsel.

III. CERTIFICATION OF GROUNDS FOR STANDING

Petitioner certifies pursuant to Rule 42.104(a) that the patent for which review is sought is available for *inter partes* review and that Petitioner is not barred or estopped from requesting an *inter partes* review challenging the patent claims on the grounds identified in this Petition.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.