

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Intel Corporation
Petitioner

v.

Qualcomm Incorporated
Patent Owner

Case IPR2019-00049
Patent 9,154,356

PATENT OWNER'S SUR-REPLY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. Introduction.....	1
II. Patent Owner’s Proposed Claim Construction Is Correct.....	2
A. Petitioner Fails To Establish That The Patentee Acted As A Lexicographer.....	2
B. Patent Owner Established That “Carrier Aggregation” Has A Well Understood Meaning In the Art.....	7
1. Intrinsic Evidence	8
2. Extrinsic Evidence	11
C. Petitioner’s Proposed Construction Cannot Be Correct.....	12
1. Petitioner’s Construction Violates The Doctrine Of Prosecution History Disclaimer	12
2. Petitioner’s Construction Is Incorrect Because It Reads Out The Word “Aggregation.”.....	15
3. Petitioner’s Arguments And Criticisms Of Patent Owner’s Proposed Constructions Are Based On The Flawed Premise That The Patentee Acted As A Lexicographer	17
D. The Term Carrier Aggregation Requires An Increased Bandwidth	18
III. Ground I – Petitioner Fails To Establish That Claims 2–8 and 11 Are Obvious Over Jeon And Xiong	19
A. Jeon In View Of Xiong Fails To Disclose The Recited First And Second Amplifier Stages.....	19
B. No Motivation To Select And Combine Jeon And Xiong.....	20
C. Jeon Fails To Disclose “Carrier Aggregation.”.....	21
D. Petitioner Also Fails To Establish That Jeon In View Of Xiong Renders Obvious Claims 3, 7, and 8	21
IV. Ground II – Petitioner Fails To Establish That Claims 2–8, and 11 Are Obvious Over Jeon, Xiong, And The Feasibility Study.....	22

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
A. No Motivation To Select And Combine Jeon, Xiong, And The Feasibility Study.....	22
B. Petitioner Failed to Establish That The Feasibility Study Is Analogous Art	23
V. Conclusion	24

..

I. Introduction

Patent Owner's response identified two fatal defects in Petitioner's challenge to the patentability of the '356 Patent. Petitioner's reply fails to refute these points.

First, the petition is based on a flawed construction of the term "carrier aggregation." Patent Owner established that the term has an established and well understood meaning to skilled artisans. That meaning, which is set forth as Patent Owner's proposed construction, is supported by the intrinsic evidence, and it is further supported by extrinsic evidence.

But Petitioner argues that the patentee acted as a lexicographer to assign the term a special definition different than its plain and ordinary meaning. The petition fails, however, to establish that the patentee expressed the necessary intent to redefine the term.

Furthermore, Petitioner's proposed construction cannot be correct because: (1) the proposed construction violates the doctrine of prosecution disclaimer, and (2) the proposed construction reads out the term "aggregation." Petitioner's reply is unsuccessful in rebutting either point.

Properly construed, Jeon in view of Xiong fails to disclose the "carrier aggregation" limitation. In addition, Petitioner fails to sufficiently articulate a motivation to select and combine the Feasibility Study reference (as a means of supplying the missing "carrier aggregation" limitation) with Jeon and Xiong. No

reasoned explanation is offered to explain why a skilled artisan would have been motivated to select and combine these two references. Absent such an explanation, Petitioner's alleged obviousness combination amounts to impermissible hindsight reconstruction of the claimed invention. Accordingly, Petitioner also fails to establish unpatentability for each ground with respect to the "carrier aggregation" limitation.

Second, Jeon in view of Xiong fails to disclose two amplifier stages that are "independently enabled or disabled" as recited by the claims. Petitioner concedes that Jeon fails to disclose the limitation. Xiong fails to disclose it as well. Xiong discloses a single differential amplifier stage that has one differential input and one differential output. This single amplifier stage includes a first and second gain path that can be switched, not to independently enable or disable the gain paths but to alter the amplifier's total gain. Petitioner does not identify any other reference for use in a combination to overcome the failure to disclose this limitation. This deficiency is dispositive of each ground in this IPR.

II. Patent Owner's Proposed Claim Construction Is Correct.

A. Petitioner Fails To Establish That The Patentee Acted As A Lexicographer.

Petitioner does not propose construing the term "carrier aggregation" according to its plain and ordinary meaning. Instead, Petitioner and its expert argue

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.