

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INTEL CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

v.

QUALCOMM INCORPORATED,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2019-00047 (Patent 9,154,356 B2)
Case IPR2019-00048 (Patent 9,154,356 B2)
Case IPR2019-00049 (Patent 9,154,356 B2)

Record of Oral Hearing
Held: April 7, 2020

Before SCOTT HOWARD, MICHELLE N. WORMMEESTER, and
AARON W. MOORE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

IPR2019-00047 (Patent 9,154,356 B2)
IPR2019-00048 (Patent 9,154,356 B2)
IPR2019-00049 (Patent 9,154,356 B2)

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

BEN FERNANDEZ, ESQ.
GREG LANTIER, ESQ.
Wilmer, Cutler, Hale, Pickering & Dorr, LLP
17th Street Plaza
1225 17th Street, Unit 2600
Denver, CO 80202

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

DAVID B. COCHRAN, ESQ.
Jones Day
901 Lakeside Avenue E.
Cleveland, OH 44114

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Tuesday, April 7, 2020, commencing at 12:30 p.m., by video.

IPR2019-00047 (Patent 9,154,356 B2)

IPR2019-00048 (Patent 9,154,356 B2)

IPR2019-00049 (Patent 9,154,356 B2)

1 record including demonstratives but please be sure when referring to
2 demonstratives, papers, or exhibits that you identify the slide or page
3 number. And finally, please be aware that members of the public may be
4 listening to, but not viewing, this hearing.

5 Okay. Our Hearing Order granted each side 90 minutes and we're
6 going to start with the Petitioner.

7 MR. FERNANDEZ: Thank you, Your Honor. May it please the
8 Board, again my name is Ben Fernandez and again I'm joined by my
9 colleague Mr. Greg Lantier. Also joining us on the line by your feed are Mr.
10 Brad Waugh and Mr. Matt Fagan, representatives of Petitioner Intel
11 Corporation. Just by way of background --

12 JUDGE MOORE: I apologize, did you want to reserve time for
13 rebuttal?

14 MR. FERNANDEZ: Yes, Your Honor. We would like to reserve 30
15 minutes for rebuttal.

16 JUDGE MOORE: Okay. So we don't have our clock with the light
17 on it here obviously but I will keep time. I will let you know when you have
18 35 minutes left and then when there are 30 minutes left of your time.

19 MR. FERNANDEZ: Thank you very much, Your Honor, and on
20 behalf of Intel we'd also like to thank the panel for the ability to move
21 forward with this hearing given the circumstances and I'll ask if at any time
22 I break up or become unclear please feel free to let me know or give me a
23 visual signal and I will attempt to readjust the audio or the video.

24 So with that, just a little bit of background, Judges Moore and
25 Wormmeester may remember we just had a hearing on February 27th about
26 the first two out of five petitions that Intel has filed against the 356 patent

IPR2019-00047 (Patent 9,154,356 B2)

IPR2019-00048 (Patent 9,154,356 B2)

IPR2019-00049 (Patent 9,154,356 B2)

1 and these grounds are summarized on slide 4 just for reference. Those are
2 the Lee petitions. Slide 5 of Petitioner's demonstratives lays out the grounds
3 in the Uehara petition and then slide 6 lays out the grounds in the Jeon and
4 Xiong petitions.

5 Today I will be covering the claim construction questions as well as
6 discussing the Uehara petition and, if amenable to the panel, my colleague
7 Mr. Lantier will then discuss the Jeon and Xiong petitions and grounds.

8 Today we have a lot of slides, probably (indiscernible) --

9 JUDGE WORMMEESTER: Counsel.

10 MR. FERNANDEZ: Yes.

11 JUDGE WORMMEESTER: Counsel, sorry, this is Judge
12 Wormmeester. Can you just pause for one second, we have a technical
13 difficulty on our side.

14 MR. FERNANDEZ: Yes, Your Honor.

15 JUDGE WORMMEESTER: Sorry about that.

16 (Pause.)

17 MR. FERNANDEZ: This is Mr. Fernandez. Can you hear me okay?

18 JUDGE WORMMEESTER: Yes, thank you.

19 MR. FERNANDEZ: All right. Judge Howard, I was just saying
20 before the audio cut out that first of all thanking the panel for
21 accommodating this hearing, especially given all of our circumstances and
22 we had a hearing that Judges Moore and Wormmeester will of course
23 remember from February 27 about the first of the new petitions out of the
24 five that Intel has filed against this 356 patent and those Lee based grounds
25 are summarized on slide 4 of Petitioner's demonstratives and slide 5
26 summarizes the Uehara grounds, this is the 047 petition, and slide 6

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.