

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
13/590,423	08/21/2012	Aleksandar Modrag Tasic	121973	9482
	7590 11/14/201 INCORPORATED	EXAMINER		
5775 MOREHO	OUSE DR.	TRAN, KHANH C		
SAN DIEGO, O	JA 92121		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2631	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/14/2013	ELECTRONICELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com



				Page 1			
Office Action Summary		Application No. 13/590,423	Applicant(s TASIC ET A				
		Examiner KHANH C. TRAN	Art Unit 2631	AIA (First Inventor to File) Status No			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/21/2012. A declaration(s)/affidavit(s) under 37 CFR 1.130(b) was/were filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action. 4) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
6) 7) 8) 9) * If any cl participat	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,7,17 and 19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 2-6,8-16,18 and 20 is/are objected to Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o aims have been determined allowable, you may be eling intellectual property office for the corresponding a wuspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp or send	wn from consideration. r election requirement. ligible to benefit from the Patent pplication. For more information,	please see	ıway program at a			
Application Papers 10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 8/21/2012 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
12) Cert	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ified copies: All b Some * c None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureauter * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appl ority documents have been red u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this Na				



1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Attachment(s)

3) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

Application/Control Number: 13/590,423 Page 2

Art Unit: 2631

DETAILED ACTION

1. The present application is being examined under the pre-AIA first to invent provisions.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 2. Claims 1, 7, 17 and 19 are rejected under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eisenhut et al. U.S. Patent 7,751,513 B2.



Application/Control Number: 13/590,423 Page 3

Art Unit: 2631

Regarding claim 1, Eisenhut et al. discloses an apparatus (see FIG. 1) comprising:

a first amplifier stage configured to receive and amplify an input radio frequency (RF) signal and provide a first output RF signal to a first load circuit when the first amplifier stage is enabled (signal path 6 including an amplifier and an analog/digital conversion; column 5 lines 27-35. Eisenhut et al. further discloses the two signal paths 6 and 7 can be individually activated or disconnected by a corresponding activation signal at their associated inputs 62 and 72. The signal paths 6 and 7 can thus both be active, both be disconnected, or one of them be active and the other be disconnected; column 5 lines 20-23);

and a second amplifier stage configured to receive and amplify the input RF signal and provide a second output RF signal to a second load circuit when the second amplifier stage is enabled (signal path 7 including an amplifier and an analog/digital conversion; column 5 lines 27-35. Eisenhut et al. further discloses the two signal paths 6 and 7 can be individually activated or disconnected by a corresponding activation signal at their associated inputs 62 and 72. The signal paths 6 and 7 can thus both be active, both be disconnected, or one of them be active and the other be disconnected; column 5 lines 20-23).

Eisenhut et al. differs from the application claim in that Eisenhut et al. does not discloses the input RF signal comprising transmissions sent on multiple carriers at different frequencies to a wireless device as set forth in the application claim.



Application/Control Number: 13/590,423 Page 4

Art Unit: 2631

Because the application claim recites the signal input being an input RF signal, therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that one recognizes Eisenhut et al. would apply to the input RF signal as claimed.

Regarding claim 7, Eisenhut et al. further discloses a feedback circuit coupled between an output and an input of at least one of the first and second amplifier stages (FIG. 1 discloses a feedback circuit).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 2-6, 8-16, 18 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Thomsen et al. U.S. Patent 6,249,687 B1.

Yates U.S. Patent 7,039,377 B1.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

