	<u>ed States Patent 4</u>	AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22: www.uspto.gov	FOR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
13/590,423	08/21/2012	Aleksandar Modrag Tasic	121973	9482
	7590 08/01/2014 INCORPORATED	EXAMINER		
5775 MOREHO	OUSE DR.	TRAN, KHANH C		
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2631	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/01/2014	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com

	Application No. 13/590,423	Applicant(s) TASIC ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner KHANH C. TRAN	Art Unit 2631	AIA (First Inventor to File) Status No			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondenc	ce address			
 A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed the mailing date of D (35 U.S.C. § 133	this communication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
A declaration(s)/affidavit(s) under 37 CFR 1.1						
	action is non-final.	t. f utla - al				
3) An election was made by the applicant in response			ig the interview on			
 the restriction requirement and election 4) Since this application is in condition for allowar 	·		a tha marita ia			
closed in accordance with the practice under E			o the ments is			
	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 40	00 0.0. 210.				
Disposition of Claims*						
5) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
7) Claim(s) <u>1,11,12,14,17 and 19</u> is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) <u>2-10,13,15,16,18 and 20</u> is/are object						
9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	·					
* If any claims have been determined <u>allowable</u> , you may be el			way program at a			
participating intellectual property office for the corresponding a						
http://www.uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp or send	an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@uspto.c</u>	<u>10V</u> .				
Application Papers						
10) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
11) The drawing(s) filed on <u>8/21/2012</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 3	37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
Certified copies:		(-) - (·)·				
a) All b) Some** c) None of the:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau	-					
** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certifie						
Attachment(s)						
1) 🔀 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	3) Interview Summary					
2) 🕅 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08a and/or PTO/S	B/08b) Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
OCVET						
OCKET						
LARM Find authenticated court doc	uments without watermarks at <u>c</u>	locketalarm.o	com.			

DETAILED ACTION

1. The present application is being examined under the pre-AIA first to invent

provisions.

2. The RCE filed 7/17/2014 has been entered. Claims 1-20 are still pending in

this Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of pre-AIA 35 U.S.C.

102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1, 11-12, 14 and 17 are rejected under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as

being anticipated by Kaukovuori et al. U.S. Patent 8,442,473.

Regarding claim 1, Kaukovuori et al. discloses an apparatus (FIG. 15

embodiment) comprising:

DOCKE

a first amplifier stage configured to receive and amplify an input radio frequency

(RF) signal and provide a first output RF signal to a first load circuit when the first

amplifier stage is enabled, the input RF signal employing carrier aggregation comprising

transmissions sent on multiple carriers at different frequencies to a wireless device, the

A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Application/Control Number: 13/590,423 Art Unit: 2631

DOCKE

first output RF signal including at least a first carrier of the multiple carriers (Kaukovuori et al. teaches *a method of receiving data* transmitted via a combination of at least a plurality of radio frequency signals *using carrier aggregation* (see column 2 lines 44-49). FIG. 15 discloses a Radio Frequency Integrated Circuit (RFIC1) 1 including first amplifier stage LNA to provide a first output RF signal to a digital data path. The two clusters are each received with different bandwidth filter (see column 10, lines 22-53).

and a second amplifier stage configured to receive and amplify the input RF signal and provide a second output RF signal to a second load circuit when the second amplifier stage is enabled, the second output RF signal including at least a second carrier of the multiple carriers different than the first carrier (similarly, FIG. 15 further discloses a Radio Frequency Integrated Circuit (RFIC1) 1 including second amplifier stage LNA to provide a second output RF signal to a digital data path. The two clusters are each received with different bandwidth filter (see column 10, lines 22-53)).

Regarding claim 11, Kaukovuori et al. further discloses an input matching circuit coupled to the first and second amplifier stages and configured to receive a receiver input signal and provide the input RF signal (FIG. 15 discloses an RF FEM coupled to the RFIC1 and RFIC2 and configured to provide an RF input (see column 10 lines 25-35).

Regarding claim 12, Kaukovuori et al. further discloses the input matching circuit being tunable and comprising at least one adjustable circuit component (FIG. 15

Application/Control Number: 13/590,423 Art Unit: 2631

discloses an RF FEM configured to split the RF input signal (see column 10 lines 25-35).

Regarding claim 14, Kaukovuori et al. further discloses the first amplifier stage configured to receive and amplify the input RF signal and provide the first output RF signal to the first load circuit when the first amplifier stage is enabled (as recited in claim 1 rejection, FIG. 15 discloses a Radio Frequency Integrated Circuit (RFIC1) 1 including first amplifier stage LNA to provide a first output RF signal to a digital data path. The two clusters are each received with different bandwidth filter (see column 10, lines 22-53)).

and the second amplifier stage configured to receive and amplify the input RF signal and provide the second output RF signal to the second load circuit when the second amplifier stage is enabled (similarly, FIG. 15 further discloses a Radio Frequency Integrated Circuit (RFIC1) 1 including second amplifier stage LNA to provide a second output RF signal to a digital data path. The two clusters are each received with different bandwidth filter (see column 10, lines 22-53))

<u>Note</u>: the rejection is based on *the input RF signal (not a second input RF signal)*.

Regarding claim 17, claim is rejected on the same ground as for claim 1 because of similar scope.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.