

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner

v.

UNILOC LUXEMBOURG S.A.
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2018-01756
U.S. Patent No. 7,653,508

DECLARATION OF WILLIAM C. EASTTOM II (CHUCK EASTTOM)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	4
II.	BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS	4
III.	THE '508 PATENT	5
IV.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	7
V.	ONE OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	8
VI.	GENERAL ISSUES	8
	A. Tamura	8
	B. Pasolini	10
	C. Motivation to combine	11
	D. Obviousness	13
	E. Anticipation.....	15
VII.	SPECIFIC OBVIOUSNESS CLAIM ELEMENTS.....	15
	A. Claim 1 b. “continuously determining an orientation of the inertial sensor;”	15
	B. Claim 1 c “assigning a dominant axis;”	24
	C. Claim 1 d: “updating the dominant axis as the orientation of the inertial sensor changes; and”,	26
	D. 1 d. “updating the dominant axis as the orientation of the inertial sensor changes; and”	29
	E. Claim 1 e “counting periodic human motions by monitoring accelerations relative to the dominant axis.”	30
	F. Claim 2 “The method of claim 1, further comprising: using acceleration measurements along only the dominant axis to count steps.”	32
	G. Claim 3 “The method of claim 1, further comprising: maintaining a cadence window, wherein the cadence window is continuously updated as an actual cadence changes; and”	33

H.	Claim 3 b “counting a periodic human motion when an acceleration measurement that meets motion criteria is within the cadence window.” ..	38
I.	Claim 4 “The method of claim 3, wherein at least one of the motion criteria is a dynamic motion criterion, the dynamic motion criterion being continuously updated to reflect current conditions.”	40
J.	Claim 5 “The method of claim 4, wherein the dynamic motion criteria includes at least a lower threshold, wherein the lower threshold is adjusted based on at least one of a rolling average of accelerations and the orientation of the inertial sensor.”	41
K.	Claim 11 b “a dominant axis logic to continuously determine an orientation of a device, to assign a dominant axis, and to update the dominant axis as the orientation of the device changes; and	43
L.	Claim 11 c. “a counting logic to count periodic human motions by monitoring accelerations relative to the dominant axis.”	43
M.	Claim 12 “The device of claim 11, wherein: [t]he counting logic uses acceleration measurements along only the dominant axis to count steps.” .	44
122.	Claim 13 “The device of claim 11, further comprising: a cadence logic to continuously update a dynamic cadence window; and the counting logic to count a periodic human motion when an acceleration measurement that meets motion criteria is taken within the cadence window.	44
N.	Claim 14 “The device of claim 11, further comprising: a comparator, to compare measurements of acceleration to dynamic motion criteria, the dynamic motion criteria being continuously updated to reflect current conditions; and the counting logic to count a periodic human motion when the measurements of acceleration satisfy the dynamic motion criteria.”	46
VIII.	SPECIFIC ANTICIPATION CLAIM ELEMENTS	47
A.	Claim 6: “A method of monitoring human activity using an inertial sensor, comprising: running a device that includes the inertial sensor in a non-active mode, in which periodic human motions are buffered; switching the device from the non-active mode to an active mode, after identifying a number of periodic human motions within appropriate cadence windows; and during the active mode, counting each of the periodic human motions to enable the monitoring of human activity.”	47

B.	Claim 7 “The method of claim 6, further comprising: switching the device from the active mode to the non-active mode when a number of expected periodic human motions are not identified in the appropriate cadence windows.”	49
C.	Claim 8 “The method of claim 6, further comprising: switching from a sleep mode to the non-active mode of operation when an acceleration is detected.”	50
D.	Claim 15 Claim 15 “A device including an inertial sensor, comprising: a counting logic, to identify and count periodic human motions; a mode logic, to switch the device from a non-active mode to an active mode after a number of periodic human motions are detected within appropriate cadence windows by the counting logic; and a buffer, to buffer periodic human motions when the device is in the non-active mode”	51
E.	Claim 16 “The device of claim 15, wherein: the mode logic to switch the device from the active mode to the non-active mode when a number of expected periodic human motions are not identified in the appropriate cadence windows.”	52
F.	Claim 19 “The device of claim 15, further comprising: a cadence logic, to set the appropriate cadence windows.”	52
G.	Claim 20 “The device of claim 19, where the cadence logic adjusts the cadence windows based on a measured cadence associated with the periodic human motion.”	52
IX.	CONCLUSIONS.....	53

I. INTRODUCTION

1. I have been retained by Uniloc to provide my expert opinions regarding validity of U.S. Patent No. U.S. Patent No. 7,653,508 (“508 Patent”). The ‘508 patent was granted January 26, 2010 based on from application 11/644,455 that was filed on December 22, 2006.

2. I am being compensated for my time at my standard consulting rate of \$300 per hour. I am also being reimbursed for expenses that I incur during the course of this work. My compensation is not contingent upon the results of my study or the substance of my opinions.

II. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS

3. I have 25+ years of experience in the computer science industry including extensive experience with computer security, computer programming, and computer networking. I have authored 26 computer science books, including textbooks used at universities around the world. I hold a Doctor of Science in Cyber Security, as well as two masters (one in Applied Computer Science). I hold 44 different computer industry certifications, including many in networking subjects. I am experienced with multiple programming languages. I also have extensive experience in computer networking. I have extensive experience with mobile devices, including all aspects of mobile devices (hardware and software), mobile forensics, and programming for mobile devices. I am a Distinguished Speaker for the Association of Computing Machinery (ACM), and a reviewer for the IEEE Security and Privacy journal, as well as a reviewer for the four

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.