Trials@uspto.gov Paper 8
Tel: 571.272.7822 Entered: November 29, 2018

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD CISCO SYSTEMS, INC., Petitioner, v. TRACBEAM, LLC, Patent Owner. Case IPR2018-01723 Patent 7,525,484 B2

Before DAVID C. McKONE, JAMES A. TARTAL, and MATTHEW R. CLEMENTS, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

 ${\it TARTAL}, Administrative\ Patent\ Judge.$ 

DECISION
Granting Joint Motion to Dismiss Petition
37 C.F.R. §§ 42.71(a), 42.72, 42.74



Cisco Systems, Inc. ("Petitioner") filed a Petition (Paper 2) seeking institution of *inter partes* review of claims 25 and 26 of U.S. Patent No. 7,525,484 B2 (Ex. 1001, "the challenged patent"). Petitioner subsequently informed the Board that it had reached an agreement with Patent Owner TracBeam, LLC, to settle this proceeding and sought authorization to file a joint motion to dismiss this proceeding. With our authorization, the Parties filed a Joint Motion to Dismiss the Petition. Paper 6. A copy of the Settlement Agreement executed by the Parties was filed along with the Joint Motion to Dismiss. Ex. 1018. The Parties also filed a Joint Request to Treat Settlement Agreement as Business Confidential Information Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b). Paper 7.

The Parties represent that they have settled their dispute with respect to the challenged patent and memorialized their settlement in the written agreement. Paper 6. This proceeding is at an early stage. Patent Owner has not yet submitted a Preliminary Response, we have not considered the merits of the Petition, and we have not yet instituted a trial.

In view of the early stage of this proceeding, the Parties' representations, and the concurrent settlement of the district court lawsuit, we determine that it is appropriate to dismiss the Petition and terminate the proceeding. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(a). Therefore, the Joint Motion to Dismiss the Petition is granted. We also grant the request of the Parties to treat the Settlement Agreement as business confidential information. This paper does not constitute a final written decision pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 318(a).



IPR2018-01723 Patent 7,525,484 B2

For the foregoing reasons, it is:

ORDERED that the Joint Request to Treat Settlement Agreement as Business Confidential Information Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) is *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that the Settlement Agreement (Ex. 1018) shall be treated as business confidential information and shall be kept separate from the patent file; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the Joint Motion to Dismiss the Petition is *granted* and the proceeding is *dismissed*.



IPR2018-01723 Patent 7,525,484 B2

## PETITIONER:

David L. McCombs
Theodore M. Foster
Dina Blikshteyn
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP
david.mccombs.ipr@haynesboone.com
ipr.theo.foster@haynesboone.com
dina.blikshteyn.ipr@haynesboone.com

## PATENT OWNER:

Sean Luner DOVEL AND LUNER, LLP sean@dovel.com

Steven Sereboff SOCAL IP LAW GROUP LLP ssereboff@socalip.com

