

115TH CONGRESS  
2D SESSION

S. \_\_\_\_\_

To amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to prevent the inter partes review process for challenging patents from diminishing competition in the pharmaceutical industry and with respect to drug innovation, and for other purposes.

---

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

Mr. HATCH (for himself and Mr. TILLIS) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on \_\_\_\_\_

---

**A BILL**

To amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to prevent the inter partes review process for challenging patents from diminishing competition in the pharmaceutical industry and with respect to drug innovation, and for other purposes.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*  
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4 This Act may be cited as the “Hatch-Waxman Integ-  
5 rity Act of 2018”.

1 **SEC. 2. PREVENTING THE INTER PARTES REVIEW PROCESS**  
2 **FOR CHALLENGING PATENTS FROM DIMIN-**  
3 **ISHING COMPETITION IN THE PHARMA-**  
4 **CEUTICAL INDUSTRY AND WITH RESPECT TO**  
5 **DRUG INNOVATION.**

6 (a) BRAND NAME DRUGS.—Section 505(b)(2) of the  
7 Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C.  
8 355(b)(2)) is amended—

9 (1) in subparagraph (A)(iv), by striking “and”  
10 at the end;

11 (2) in subparagraph (B), by striking the period  
12 at the end and inserting “; and”; and

13 (3) by adding at the end the following:

14 “(C) in each certification required under sub-  
15 paragraph (A) with respect to a patent, a certifi-  
16 cation that—

17 “(i) neither the applicant nor any party in  
18 privity with, related to, or cooperating with the  
19 applicant has filed, or will file, a petition to in-  
20 stitute inter partes review or post-grant review  
21 of that patent under chapter 31 or 32, respec-  
22 tively, of title 35, United States Code; and

23 “(ii) in making the certification required  
24 under subparagraph (A), the applicant is not  
25 relying in whole or in part on any decision  
26 issued by the Patent Trial and Appeal Board in

1 an inter partes review or post-grant review  
2 under chapter 31 or 32, respectively, of title 35,  
3 United States Code.”.

4 (b) GENERIC DRUGS.—Section 505(j)(2)(A) of the  
5 Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C.  
6 355(j)(2)(A)) is amended—

7 (1) in clause (vii)(IV), by striking “and” at the  
8 end;

9 (2) in clause (viii), by striking the period at the  
10 end and inserting “; and”;

11 (3) by inserting after clause (viii), as amended  
12 by paragraph (2), the following:

13 “(ix) in each certification required under  
14 clause (vii) with respect to a patent, a certifi-  
15 cation that—

16 “(I) neither the applicant nor any  
17 party in privity with, related to, or cooper-  
18 ating with the applicant has filed, or will  
19 file, a petition to institute inter partes re-  
20 view or post-grant review of that patent  
21 under chapter 31 or 32, respectively, of  
22 title 35, United States Code; and

23 “(II) in making the certification re-  
24 quired under clause (vii), the applicant is  
25 not relying in whole or in part on any deci-

1                   sion issued by the Patent Trial and Appeal  
2                   Board in an inter partes review or post-  
3                   grant review under chapter 31 or 32, re-  
4                   spectively, of title 35, United States  
5                   Code.”; and

6                   (4) in the flush text following clause (ix), as  
7                   added by paragraph (3), by striking “(viii)” and in-  
8                   serting “(ix)”.

9                   (c) BIOSIMILAR DRUGS; EVALUATION BY THE SEC-  
10                  RETARY.—Section 351(k) of the Public Health Service Act  
11                  (42 U.S.C. 262(k)) is amended—

12                  (1) in paragraph (2)(A)(iii)—

13                         (A) by redesignating subclauses (I) and  
14                         (II) as items (aa) and (bb), respectively, and  
15                         adjusting the margins accordingly;

16                         (B) in the matter preceding item (aa), as  
17                         so redesignated, by striking “An application”  
18                         and inserting the following:

19                                 “(I) IN GENERAL.—An applica-  
20                                 tion”;

21                         (C) in subclause (I), as so designated—

22                                 (i) in item (aa), as so redesignated, by  
23                                 striking “and” at the end;

1 (ii) in item (bb), as so redesignated,  
2 by striking the period at the end and in-  
3 sserting “; and”; and

4 (iii) by adding at the end the fol-  
5 lowing:

6 “(cc) shall, with respect to a  
7 patent described in subclause  
8 (II), include a certification that  
9 neither the applicant nor any  
10 party in privity with, related to,  
11 or cooperating with the applicant  
12 has filed, or will file, a petition to  
13 institute inter partes review or  
14 post-grant review of the patent  
15 under chapter 31 or 32, respec-  
16 tively, of title 35, United States  
17 Code.”; and

18 (D) by adding at the end the following:

19 “(II) PATENT DESCRIBED.—A  
20 patent is described in this subclause  
21 if—

22 “(aa) the patent covers the  
23 reference product or a method  
24 for using the reference product;  
25 and

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.